# Report on the Basic Survey (Radiation Dose Estimates)

#### 1. Summary of Survey

#### 1.1 Purpose

In consideration of radiation effects of the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant accident caused by the Great East Japan Earthquake, we aim to estimate external exposure doses of Fukushima residents from their behavior records, and to inform them of the results for their future health management.

#### **1.2 Survey Population**

- (1) Those who were registered residents of Fukushima Prefecture from March 11 to July 1, 2011.
- (2) Those who lived or stayed in Fukushima without being registered as residents and who commuted to Fukushima from outside for work, school, or other reasons (hereinafter, "Temporary Residents"). We have sent them Basic Survey questionnaires when requested.

#### 2. Response Rates and Radiation Dose Estimates

#### 2.1 Response Rates of Residents

The overall response rate to the Basic Survey (radiation dose estimates), for the entire population of Fukushima Prefecture, was 27.7% (569,438 of 2,055,236) as of March 31, 2023.

Among the respondents, 75,441 (\*1) answered with the simplified questionnaire.

The number of responses received from April 1, 2022 to March 31, 2023 (FY2022) was 59 with the original questionnaire, and 191 with the simplified one.

Table 1 Response rate to the Basic Survey												
	As of I	March 31, 2023										
Survey Population 2,055,236 Response Rate												
Original questionnaire	493,997	24.0%										
Simplified questionnaire	75,441	3.7%										
Total Responses	569,438	27.7%										
·												

(\*1) The number of submissions using the simplified questionnaire is subject to change, because we may need to ask some respondents who used the simplified questionnaire to resubmit using the original questionnaire, based on the content of their simplified questionnaire responses.

Table 2 shows the response rate for each age group.

Table 2 Response rate by age group													
As of March 31, 2023													
Age group	0 - 9	10 - 19	20 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 59	60-	Total					
Response Rate	46.8%	36.3%	18.2%	24.9%	22.5%	23.0%	27.9%	27.7%					

### 2.2 Radiation Dose Estimates

Out of 569,438 total responses, 555,318 are considered valid. Others have incomplete or invalid answers, from which movements and/or location history are inadequately documented for dose estimation (\*2). Among valid responses, 555,216 dose estimates have been completed, and results have been reported to 555,028 respondents (Table 3) \*3.

Table 3 Basic Survey response, dose estimation and notification summary by region															
Region	egion Survey population Responses Response rate Valid Response rate Valid Response rate Valid Response rate rate rate rate rate rate rate rat														
	a b c=b/a d e=d/a f g=f/d h														
Kenpoku	Kenpoku         504,015         152,481         30.3%         149,558         29.7%         149,553         100.0%         149,501         100.0%														
Kenchu	Kenchu 557,176 137,295 24.6% 134,196 24.1% 134,169 100.0% 134,15														
Kennan	152,225	35,643	23.4%	34,833	22.9%	34,825	100.0%	34,815	99.9%						
Aizu	267,198	58,431	21.9%	56,229	21.0%	56,208	100.0%	56,199	99.9%						
Minamiaizu	30,788	6,428	20.9%	6,121	19.9%	6,121	100.0%	6,120	100.0%						
Soso	195,594	90,281	46.2%	87,571	44.8%	87,566	100.0%	87,474	99.9%						
lwaki	348,240	88,879	25.5%	86,810	24.9%	86,774	100.0%	86,762	99.9%						
Total	2,055,236	569,438	27.7%	555,318	27.0%	555,216	100.0%	555,028	99.9%						
Some figures in	Some figures include preliminary survey data (Yamakiya District of Kawamata Town, Namie Town, and litate Village).														

Refer to Appendix 1 for the results of each municipality.

Percentages are rounded to one decimal place

(\*2) Incomplete or invalid responses are those in which additional information was necessary for dose estimation (e.g., by soliciting details of their behavior through direct contact, etc.), but was not obtained because the respondents' contact information was not available or because respondents declined to participate in the survey (including those informed through our Call Center).

(\*3) The number of responses, valid responses, dose estimates completed, and results returned in Table 3, Table 4, and Appendix 1 include data from the responses that did not contain behavior records for the full four months after March 11, which is the period favored for dose estimation.

#### 2.3 Response rates and dose estimates for temporary residents

We have been estimating doses for non-residents who were visiting or staying in Fukushima Prefecture at the time of the accident (see Table 4).

Table 4 Summary of temporary residents' response status														
As of March 31, 2023														
Questionnaire	Reponses	Reponse	Valid	Valid	Estimation	Completion	Result	Notification						
sent		rate	responses	response	completed	rate	notified	rate						
				rate										
а	b	c=b/a	d	e=d/a	f	g=f/d	h	i=h/d						
4,178	2,171	52.0%	2,161	51.7%	2,161	100.0%	2,161	100.0%						

#### 3. Results of Radiation Dose Estimates

Table 5 shows a breakdown of completed dose estimates from Table 3, excluding those with estimation periods less than four months. Radiation doses for a total of 476,476 residents have been estimated to date. Results for 467,256 respondents (excluding radiation workers) suggest that about 87% of the respondents in Kenpoku and about 92% in Kenchu were exposed to <2 mSv. The doses for approximately 88% of the respondents in Kennan and more than 99% of those in Aizu and Minamiaizu were <1 mSv. Furthermore, the doses for about 77 % of respondents in Soso and more than 99% of respondents in Iwaki were also <1 mSv.

Table 5 Su	mmary of est	imated effec	tive dose o	distribution													,	a of Marah	21 2022
										Sur	nmary by	region excl	uding radi	ation worke	ers			AS OF MALCH	31, 2023
Effective dose (mSv)	Total	Excl	uding radia	ation worke	ers	Kenpol	ku (*4)	Ken	chu	Ken	Kennan		:u	Minamiaizu		Soso (*5)		lwa	aki
< 1	296,555	290,823	62.2%	02.00/		24,987	20.0%	58,602	51.5%	26,430	88.2%	46,300	99.3%	4,987	99.3%	55,909	77.3%	73,608	99.1%
< 2	149,998	147,648	31.6%	93.6%		83,963	67.0%	46,476	40.8%	3,516	11.7%	311	0.7%	37	0.7%	12,708	17.6%	637	0.9%
< 3	26,182	25,808	5.5%	E 00/	99.8%	15,740	12.6%	8,304	7.3%	18	0.1%	25	0.1%	0	_	1,691	2.3%	30	0.0%
< 4	1,587	1,504	0.3%	5.0%		473	0.4%	429	0.4%	0	_	1	0.0%	0	—	597	0.8%	4	0.0%
< 5	551	505	0.1%	0.2%		40	0.0%	5	0.0%	0	_	0	_	0	_	459	0.6%	1	0.0%
< 6         442         390         0.1%         0.2%         19         0.0%         3         0.0%         0         -         0         -         367         0.5%         1         0.0%													0.0%						
< 7	270	231	0.0%	0.1%		10	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	_	1	0.0%	0	_	219	0.3%	0	—
< 8	155	116	0.0%	0.170	0.1% 0.2%	1	0.0%	0	_	0	-	0	_	0	_	115	0.2%	0	—
< 9	118	78	0.0%	0.0%		1	0.0%	0	—	0	—	0	—	0	_	77	0.1%	0	—
<10	73	41	0.0%	0.070		0	—	0	—	0	-	0	—	0	—	41	0.1%	0	—
<11	70	37	0.0%	0.0%		0	—	1	0.0%	0	_	0	—	0	_	36	0.0%	0	—
<12	52	30	0.0%	0.070		1	0.0%	0	_	0	_	0	_	0	_	29	0.0%	0	—
<13	37	13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	—	0	_	0	_	0	_	0	_	13	0.0%	0	—
<14	36	12	0.0%	0.070		0	_	0	—	0	—	0	—	0	—	12	0.0%	0	_
<15	27	6	0.0%	0.0%		0	_	0	—	0	_	0	—	0	—	6	0.0%	0	_
≥15	323	14	0.0%	0.070	0.0%	0	_	0	—	0	—	0	—	0	—	14	0.0%	0	_
Total	476,476	467,256	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	125,235	100%	113,821	100%	29,964	100%	46,638	100%	5,024	100%	72,293	100%	74,281	100%
Max	66mSv	25mSv				11mSv		10mSv		2.6mSv	$\angle$	6.0mSv	$\square$	1.9mSv	$\angle$	25mSv		5.9mSv	
Mean value	0.9mSv	0.8mSv				1.4mSv	$\square$	1.0mSv	$\angle$	0.6mSv	$\square$	0.2mSv	$\angle$	0.1mSv	$\angle$	0.7mSv	$\angle$	0.3mSv	
Median	Median         0.6mSv         0.6mSv         0.1mSv         0.1mSv         0.3mSv																		
(*4) Includes t	he Preliminary	survey respo	nses and re	esults of Ya	makiya distri	ict, Kawama	ata Town			*Percentag	es are roune	ded to one d	ecimal plac	e					
(*5) Includes t	he Preliminary	survey respo	nses and re	esults of Na	mie Town an	d litate Villa	ige			*The summ	ary data is	calculated e	xcluding th	ose estimat	on periods	were less th	an four moi	nths	

• Distribution of estimated external doses by area, by age group, by gender, and by municipality (Appendix 2, 3-1, 3-2, and 4, respectively).

#### 4. Evaluation of the effective dose estimation results

The latest effective radiation dose estimates show similar trends to those observed thus far in prior years. Since previous epidemiological studies indicate no significant health effects at doses  $\leq$ 100 mSv<sup>1</sup>), we concluded that radiation doses estimated so far are unlikely to cause adverse effects on health, although this conclusion is based on external radiation doses estimated only for the first four months following the accident.

#### Reference

 Sources and effects of ionizing radiation, United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation, UNSCEAR 2008 Report, Volume 2, submitted to the General Assembly, with scientific annexes.

# 5. Questionnaire Response Guidance Sessions

In FY2022, we held a total of 25 response guidance sessions at Thyroid Ultrasound Examination venues in 7 regions in the prefecture as follows.

First half of the year:	11 times between July 24, 2022 and August 19, 2022
Second half of the year:	14 times between December 18, 2022 and March 27, 2023

Contact opportunities remain open for those who wish to discuss their level of exposure. Reissuance of questionnaires can still be requested through the homepage of the Radiation Medical Science Center and the Call Center. In addition, information leaflets about the Basic Survey are available at municipal offices.

#### Appendix 1

#### Response rates to the Basic Survey by municipality

As of March 31, 2023

	Region	Survey	Total	Response	Valid	Valid	Dose	Completed	Results	Notified	
&	Municipalities	population	responses	rate	responses	rate	estimated	rate	sent	rate	Remarks
		а	b	c=b/a	d	e=d/a	f	g=f/d	h	i=h/d	
	Fukushima	295,632	94,065	31.8%	92,555	31.3%	92,550	100.0%	92,518	100.0%	
	Nihonmatsu	60,854	16,936	27.8%	16,5/1	27.2%	16,571	100.0%	16,569	100.0%	
Region & Municipalit Nuncipalit N	Motomiya	31 750	0 116	27.170	8 047	20.4%	8 0/7	100.0%	8 0/6	99.9%	
pok	Koori	13 207	3,110	20.7 %	3 777	28.6%	3 777	100.0%	3 777	100.0%	
≺en	Kunimi	10,201	3.030	29.4%	2.942	28.5%	2.942	100.0%	2.942	100.0%	
-	Kawamata	15,883	5,190	32.7%	5,017	31.6%	5,017	100.0%	5,012	99.9%	
	Otama	8,790	1,937	22.0%	1,893	21.5%	1,893	100.0%	1,893	100.0%	
	Total	504,015	152,481	30.3%	149,558	29.7%	149,553	100.0%	149,501	100.0%	
	Koriyama	339,671	87,406	25.7%	85,639	25.2%	85,622	100.0%	85,617	100.0%	
	Sukagawa	80,156	17,339	21.6%	16,903	21.1%	16,896	100.0%	16,896	100.0%	
	Tamura	41,723	10,587	25.4%	10,223	24.5%	10,221	100.0%	10,218	100.0%	
	Kagamiishi	13,109	2,924	22.3%	2,861	21.8%	2,861	100.0%	2,861	100.0%	
_	Tenei	6,469	1,259	19.5%	1,228	19.0%	1,228	100.0%	1,228	100.0%	
chu	Isnikawa	17,489	4,251	24.3%	4,147	23.7%	4,147	100.0%	4,147	100.0%	
≺en	Hirata	7,000	1,011	20.0%	1,403	20.0%	1,403	100.0%	1,402	99.9%	
-	Asakawa	7,000	1,007	23.0%	1,011	22.0%	1,011	100.0%	1 4 9 6	99.9%	
	Furudono	6 321	1,002	21.4%	1 291	20.0%	1 291	100.0%	1 291	100.0%	
	Miharu	18,989	4,881	25.7%	4,785	25.2%	4,784	100.0%	4,783	100.0%	
	Ono	11,700	2,612	22.3%	2,548	21.8%	2,548	100.0%	2,547	100.0%	
	Total	557,176	137,295	24.6%	134,196	24.1%	134,169	100.0%	134,157	100.0%	
	Shirakawa	65,427	16,225	24.8%	15,893	24.3%	15,888	100.0%	15,884	99.9%	
	Nishigo	20,088	5,081	25.3%	4,964	24.7%	4,964	100.0%	4,963	100.0%	
	Izumizaki	6,931	1,444	20.8%	1,405	20.3%	1,405	100.0%	1,404	99.9%	
с	Nakajima	5,306	1,025	19.3%	1,000	18.8%	1,000	100.0%	1,000	100.0%	
nna	Yabuki	18,341	4,140	22.6%	4,034	22.0%	4,034	100.0%	4,033	100.0%	
Kei	Tanagura	15,384	3,076	20.0%	3,011	19.6%	3,009	99.9%	3,009	99.9%	
	Yamatsuri	6,491	1,485	22.9%	1,438	22.2%	1,438	100.0%	1,436	99.9%	
	Hanawa	10,061	2,341	23.3%	2,290	22.8%	2,289	100.0%	2,288	99.9%	
	Samegawa	4,196	826	19.7%	798	19.0%	798	100.0%	798	100.0%	
	Total Aizuwakamatsu	102,220	30,043 20,872	23.4%	28 807	22.9%	34,620	00.0%	28 881	99.9%	
	Kitakata	53 199	11 150	23.4%	10 722	22.0%	10 721	100.0%	10 716	99.9 <i>%</i>	
	Kitashiobara	3.276	613	18.7%	590	18.0%	588	99.7%	588	99.7%	
	Nishiaizu	7,725	1,464	19.0%	1,362	17.6%	1,362	100.0%	1,362	100.0%	
	Bandai	3,888	796	20.5%	778	20.0%	778	100.0%	777	99.9%	
	Inawashiro	16,271	3,672	22.6%	3,540	21.8%	3,539	100.0%	3,538	99.9%	
nz	Aizubange	17,881	3,329	18.6%	3,185	17.8%	3,184	100.0%	3,184	100.0%	
Ai	Yugawa	3,513	745	21.2%	712	20.3%	712	100.0%	712	100.0%	
	Yanaizu	4,077	734	18.0%	702	17.2%	702	100.0%	702	100.0%	
	Mishima	2,029	375	18.5%	341	16.8%	341	100.0%	341	100.0%	
	Kaneyama	2,544	631	24.8%	575	22.6%	575	100.0%	575	100.0%	
	Showa	1,569	354	22.6%	327	20.8%	327	100.0%	327	100.0%	
	Alzumisato	23,412	4,090	20.1%	4,490	19.2%	4,497	100.0%	4,490	100.0%	
	Shimogo	207,190	1 260	21.9%	1 202	21.0%	1 202	100.0%	1 202	99.9%	
izu	Hinoemata	614	1,200	23.5%	135	22.0%	135	100.0%	135	100.0%	
mia	Tadami	5 030	1 152	20.0%	1 090	21.0%	1 090	100.0%	1 090	100.0%	
lina	Minamiaizu	18,495	3,872	20.9%	3,694	20.0%	3,694	100.0%	3,693	100.0%	
2	Total	30,788	6,428	20.9%	6,121	19.9%	6,121	100.0%	6,120	100.0%	
	Soma	37,365	13,331	35.7%	12,824	34.3%	12,823	100.0%	12,804	99.8%	
	Minamisoma	70,013	30,314	43.3%	29,514	42.2%	29,513	100.0%	29,492	99.9%	
	Hirono	5,165	2,239	43.3%	2,149	41.6%	2,146	99.9%	2,144	99.8%	
	Naraha	7,963	4,191	52.6%	4,033	50.6%	4,033	100.0%	4,025	99.8%	
	Tomioka	15,749	8,642	54.9%	8,426	53.5%	8,426	100.0%	8,417	99.9%	
õ	Kawauchi	2,996	1,543	51.5%	1,489	49.7%	1,489	100.0%	1,489	100.0%	
Sos	Okuma	11,473	6,092	53.1%	5,868	51.1%	5,868	100.0%	5,867	100.0%	
	Futaba	7,051	3,953	56.1%	3,853	54.6%	3,853	100.0%	3,846	99.8%	
	Namie	21,334	12,994	60.9%	12,700	59.5%	12,700	100.0%	12,685	99.9%	
	Katsurao	1,541	825	53.5%	/68	49.8%	/68	100.0%	768	100.0%	
	JIIIICNI	0,300 6 5 9 0	2,711	32.4% 52.2%	2,012	50.6%	2,012	100.0%	∠,009 २.२२२	99.9% 00.9%	
	Total	195 50/	00 281	JZ.3%	87 571	<u>44</u> 8%	87 566	100.0%	3,320 87 <u>4</u> 74	99.0% QQ Q%	
lwaki	lwaki	348 240	88 870	25.5%	86 810	24 9%	86 774	100.0%	86 762	99.9 % 90 0%	
man	計	2,055,236	569,438	27.7%	555.318	27.0%	555.216	100.0%	555.028	99.9%	

#### Appendix 2

#### Distribution of estimated external doses by region

#### As of March 31, 2023

Estimated		Excluding										
dose (mSv)	Total	radiation worker	Kenpoku	Kenchu	Kennan	Aizu	Minami aizu	Soso	lwaki	Pr	oportion( <sup>e</sup>	%)
< 1	296,555	290,823	24,987	58,602	26,430	46,300	4,987	55,909	73,608	62.2%	03.8%	
< 2	149,998	147,648	83,963	46,476	3,516	311	37	12,708	637	31.6%	95.070	
< 3	26,182	25,808	15,740	8,304	18	25	0	1,691	30	5.5%	5.8%	99.8%
< 4	1,587	1,504	473	429	0	1	0	597	4	0.3%	0.070	
< 5	551	505	40	5	0	0	0	459	1	0.1%	0.2%	
< 6	442	390	19	3	0	0	0	367	1	0.1%	0.270	
< 7	270	231	10	1	0	1	0	219	0	0.0%	0.1%	
< 8	155	116	1	0	0	0	0	115	0	0.0%	0.170	0.2%
< 9	118	78	1	0	0	0	0	77	0	0.0%	0.0%	
<10	73	41	0	0	0	0	0	41	0	0.0%	0.070	
<11	70	37	0	1	0	0	0	36	0	0.0%	0.0%	
<12	52	30	1	0	0	0	0	29	0	0.0%	0.070	
<13	37	13	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<14	36	12	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0.0%	0.070	
<15	27	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0.0%	0.0%	
≥15	323	14	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	476,476	467,256	125,235	113,821	29,964	46,638	5,024	72,293	74,281	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Max	66	25	11	10	2.6	6.0	1.9	25	5.9			
Mean Value	0.9	0.8	1.4	1.0	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.3			
Median	0.6	0.6	1.4	0.9	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.3			



Appendix 3-1

Estimation Period - 4 months (From March 11 to July 11)

#### As of March 31, 2023

# Distribution of estimated external doses by age group (excluding radiation workers)

Estimated			Ag	e at the tim	e of the di	saster (yea	ırs)			Total
(mSv)	0 - 9	10 - 19	20 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 59	60 - 69	70 - 79	80 -	TOLAI
< 1	48,472	45,476	21,436	34,478	28,817	32,914	36,338	25,736	17,156	290,823
< 2	23,131	21,941	10,179	18,380	16,725	18,560	19,499	12,294	6,939	147,648
< 3	6,513	4,311	1,143	2,353	2,252	2,975	3,425	1,996	840	25,808
< 4	253	161	81	158	154	230	233	164	70	1,504
< 5	19	47	35	39	75	95	81	76	38	505
< 6	14	13	29	34	47	86	73	66	28	390
< 7	3	7	10	22	24	45	52	47	21	231
< 8	4	4	8	9	13	35	22	14	7	116
< 9	2	6	2	7	8	16	16	12	9	78
<10	0	1	2	3	3	12	11	5	4	41
<11	1	1	2	2	6	11	5	6	3	37
<12	0	0	1	3	0	5	8	11	2	30
<13	0	0	0	0	1	6	4	1	1	13
<14	0	0	1	1	1	4	3	2	0	12
<15	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	6
≥15	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	1	2	14
Total	78,412	71,968	32,929	55,489	48,128	55,000	59,779	40,431	25,120	467,256

Estimation Period - 4 months (From March 11 to July 11)

Appendix 3-2

As of March 31, 2023

#### Distribution of estimate external doses by gender (excluding radiation workers)

Estimated		By ge				
dose (mSv)	Male	Proportion (%)	Female	Proportion (%)	Total	Proportion (%)
< 1	129,682	60.6	161,141	63.6	290,823	62.2%
< 2	68,400	32.0	79,248	31.3	147,648	31.6%
< 3	14,017	6.6	11,791	4.7	25,808	5.5%
< 4	955	0.4	549	0.2	1,504	0.3%
< 5	282	0.1	223	0.1	505	0.1%
< 6	199	0.1	191	0.1	390	0.1%
< 7	130	0.1	101	0.0	231	0.0%
< 8	64	0.0	52	0.0	116	0.0%
< 9	49	0.0	29	0.0	78	0.0%
<10	24	0.0	17	0.0	41	0.0%
<11	23	0.0	14	0.0	37	0.0%
<12	16	0.0	14	0.0	30	0.0%
<13	6	0.0	7	0.0	13	0.0%
<14	8	0.0	4	0.0	12	0.0%
<15	3	0.0	3	0.0	6	0.0%
≥15	11	0.0	3	0.0	14	0.0%
Total	213,869	100.0	253,387	100.0	467,256	100.0%

Basic Survey	
Summary of estimated dose results (Estimation period for 4 months from March 11 to July 11)	

Appendix 4

As of March 31, 2023

Distribution of estimated external doses by municipality (excluding radiation workers)

		Mean						Estim	ated cı	umulat	ive do	ses)							
Region	Municipality	dose	د 1	< 2	< 3	= 1	< 5	< 6	< 7	< 8	< 0	< 10	c 11	< 12	< 13	< 11	< 15	> 15	計
		4030		~2	- 3	~4	~ 5	~ 0	~/	~0	- 9	< 10	< 11	~ 12	~ 13	~ 14	< 1J	2 10	
	Fukushima	1.4	16,207	52,699	9,410	151	13	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	78,494
	Nihonmatsu	16	1 318	8 678	3 538	91	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13 626
	Date	13	1 306	9 102	1 135	147	8	2	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1/ 705
2 X	Matamiua	1.0	740	5,102	1,100	04	4	2	0	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,406
<u>d</u>	Motorniya	1.5	740	5,464	1,201	24	1	0	0	U	0	U	U	U	0	U	0	U	7,496
(en	Koori	1.3	315	2,754	66	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,138
x	Kunimi	1.0	968	1,437	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,417
	Kawamata	1.2	643	2,754	185	56	17	6	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3,665
	Otama	1.3	394	1.075	133	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.604
	Subtotal	14	24 987	83 963	15 740	473	40	10	10	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	125 235
	Korivomo	1.1	24,002	40.979	7.940	410	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	72 220
	Outrania	1.5	24,003	40,070	7,049	419	5	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13,230
	Sukagawa	0.7	10,883	3,220	338	4	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0	U	14,451
	Tamura	0.4	7,699	684	24	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,410
	Kagamiishi	0.5	2,372	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,448
<b>_</b>	Tenei	1.2	405	590	60	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,056
Ę	Ishikawa	0.3	3.209	39	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.250
ŭ	Tamakawa	0.3	1 185	19	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 207
ž	Hiroto	0.0	1,100	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,207
		0.5	1,301	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,330
	Asakawa	0.3	1,235	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,250
	Furudono	0.3	1,074	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,090
1	Miharu	0.7	3,128	817	24	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3,972
	Ono	0.3	2,028	83	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,113
	Subtotal	10	58,602	46.476	8.304	429	5	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	113,821
	Shirakawa	0.7	12 512	1 282	0	.20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13 80/
1	Nichigo	0.7	2,010	2.040	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4 000
1	NISHIGO	0.9	2,250	2,046	3	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	4,299
1	Izumizaki	0.4	1,164	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,186
an	Nakajima	0.4	845	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	859
Ē	Yabuki	0.4	3,393	83	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,477
Å	Tanagura	0.4	2.571	28	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,602
	Yamatsuri	0.1	1 160	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 169
	Hanawa	0.1	1,100	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,100
	nanawa	0.2	1,079	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,902
	Samegawa	0.3	655	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	666
	Subtotal	0.6	26,430	3,516	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29,964
	Aizuwakamatsu	0.2	23,885	160	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24,059
	Kitakata	0.3	8,980	56	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,040
	Kitashiobara	04	479	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	483
	Nichiaizu	0.1	1 023	. 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 025
	Devela	0.1	1,023	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,023
	Bandai	0.3	657	9		U	U	0	U	U	0	U	U	U	0	U	0	U	667
5	Inawashiro	0.2	2,862	31	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,896
<b>Z</b> I	Aizubange	0.3	2,679	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,694
1	Yugawa	0.4	608	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	612
	Yanaizu	0.2	558	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	563
	Mishima	0.2	248	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	248
	Venevene	0.2	407	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	440
	Kaneyama	0.1	407	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	410
	Showa	0.2	245	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	246
	Aizumisato	0.3	3,669	23	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,695
	Subtotal	0.2	46,300	311	25	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46,638
izı	Shimogo	0.1	972	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	977
lia	Hinoemata	0.1	105	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	105
an	Tadami	0.1	222	5 F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	003
lin		0.1	2 002	07	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 055
_ <u> </u>	winami-aizu	0.1	3,028	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,055
	Suptotal	0.1	4,987	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,024
1	Soma	0.6	10,041	467	87	20	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,622
1	Minamisoma	0.7	19,143	6,228	514	99	35	3	7	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	26,035
	Hirono	0.3	1,839	59	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,902
1	Naraha	0.3	3,403	131	13	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,551
	Tomioka	0.5	5 835	1 104	100	18	3	2	1	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7 060
0	Kowouch	0.5	0,000	250	40	10	0	4	4	4	2	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	1 009
ose	Nawauchi	0.6	963	350	16	1	U	1	1	T	0	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	1,333
Ō	Okuma	0.8	3,374	1,284	112	17	6	4	4	3	0	2	2	1	0	4	0	1	4,814
1	Futaba	0.6	2,676	468	77	19	6	4	3	6	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	3,265
1	Namie	0.8	5,767	2,118	383	68	40	17	12	13	9	6	11	7	5	4	3	8	8,471
1	Katsurao	0.7	502	162	24	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	693
1	Shinchi	0.5	2 180	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 200
1	litate	10	196	217	262	340	364	334	180	85	60	30	23	17	0	1	2	4	2,200
	Subtetel	4.0	100	10 700	1 604	549	450	334	109	00	02	30	20	17	0	4	3	4	2,330
	Subiotal	0.7	55,909	12,708	1,691	597	459	367	219	115	11	41	36	29	13	12	6	14	72,293
Iwaki	Iwaki	0.3	73,608	637	30	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	74,281
-	Total (A)	0.8	290,823	147,648	25,808	1,504	505	390	231	116	78	41	37	30	13	12	6	14	467,256
			62.2%	31.6%	5.5%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Pro	portion (%)		93.	.8%	5.8	8%	0.:	2%	0.	1%	0.	0%	0.0	)%	0.0	0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
1	,			ç	9.8%					0.2%					0.0%			0.0%	100.0%
Tempo	orary visitors (R)	1	1 580	283	19	2	0	0	n		0	n	0	0	0	0	0	1	1 803
т	$(\Delta) \pm (B)$		202.440	1/7 004	25.000	1 500	505	200	224	110	70	44	27	20	10	10	0	1	460.440
10			232,412	147,951	20,020	1,500	505	080	201	110	10	41	51	50	10	12	0	15	+05,149

# Report on the Results of Mental Health and Lifestyle Survey for FY2021

#### 1. Purpose

The Great East Japan Earthquake of March 11, 2011, subsequent accident at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant, and life under prolonged evacuation have caused great anxiety and psychological distress among Fukushima residents. Objectives of the Mental Health and Lifestyle Survey are to properly assess our residents' physical, psychological, and lifestyle conditions and to provide them with appropriate care along with social support.

# 2. Methods

#### (1) Eligible persons

- Those who were registered as residents in covered areas\* from March 11, 2011 to April 1, 2012 (even after moving from those areas)
- Those who were registered as residents of municipalities designated as evacuation zones as of April 1, 2021
- · Others, as warranted, based on Basic Survey results, even if the above conditions are not met

The total number of eligible persons: 196,569 (as of October 31, 2022)	
Ages 0–3 Survey: born from April 2, 2018 to April 1, 2021	2,499 people
Ages 4–6 Survey: born from April 2, 2015 to April 1, 2018	3,217 people
Elementary School Students Survey: born from April 2, 2009 to April 1, 2015	8,077 people
Junior High School Students Survey: born from April 2, 2006 to April 1, 2009	5,116 people
Adults Survey: born on April 1, 2006 or before	177,660 people

\* Covered areas: Municipalities that were designated as evacuation zones by Japanese Government in 2011.

Hirono Town, Naraha Town, Tomioka Town, Kawauchi Village, Okuma Town, Futaba Town, Namie Town, Katsurao Village, Iitate Village, Minamisoma City, Tamura City, Kawamata Town, and parts of Date City (including specific spots recommended for evacuation)

# (2) Methods

#### A. Survey sheets

Survey sheets developed for each age group were mailed to eligible persons. The Adults Survey sheets were to be answered by the addressees themselves, and other survey sheets (Junior High School Students Survey and surveys for younger age groups) were to be answered by parents/guardians of the addressees. The Junior High School Students Survey also contains questions to be answered by the addressees themselves.

The 2021 questionnaire reflects decions of the 40th Prefectural Oversight Committee Meeting, which considered the possibility that many survey items might be burdensome for respondents, so the number of survey items was reduced, and questions were focused on general mental health, sleep status, alcohol consumption, and other topics directly related to our support (reducing by about half the general mental health-related items of the Questionnaire). The questions were also slightly modified (e.g., smoking questions included vaping with electronic cigarettes).

#### B. Mailing dates

Survey sheets were mailed from January 28, 2022.

#### C. Method of answering

Responses were returned either by post or online.

(Online responses were accepted from the day when the survey sheets were delivered to April 30, 2022.)

#### (3) Data tabulation period

Responses received from the start of the FY2021 through October 31, 2022 were tabulated.

#### 3. Summary of Survey Results

The results were tabulated by age groups including children (Ages 0 - 3, Ages 4 - 6, Elementary School Students, Junior High School Students) and adults. Due to some unreported items, totals may not match the number of valid responses. Percentages in this text and in tabulation results are rounded, and therefore may not add up to exactly 100%.

To mark yearly trends, the first survey year for FY2011 (or the second year for FY2012), the fifth year for FY2015, and the tenth year for FY2020, excuding questions about 'COVID-19 Influences on daily lives', and this year's results are indicated in their respective graphs and figures. For the past 10 years of results, please refer to Mental Health and Lifestyle Survey documentation (ML-1) of the "45<sup>th</sup> Fukushima Prefectural Oversight Committee Meeting."

# (1) Results of the Children's Surveys (Ages 0 – 3, Ages 4 – 6, Elementary School Students, and Junior High School Students Surveys)

#### A. Number of respondents (and rates)

Total responses (and response rates) to the surveys on children (ages 0–3, ages 4–6, elementary school, and junior high school) in FY2021 are as indicated in Table 1 and Figure 1.

The percentages of online responses in FY2021 were 35.0% for those aged 0 to 3, 35.8% for those aged 4 to 6, 31.2% for elementary school students, and 29.5% for junior high school students; these were the highest numbers ever.

Age group	Respondents	Response Rate	Valid responses	Response Rate
0-3	446	(17.8)	446	(17.8)
4-6	482	(15.0)	481	(15.0)
Elementary school students	1,304	(16.1)	1,300	(16.1)
Junior high school students	760	(14.9)	760	(14.9)
Total	2,992	(15.8)	2,987	(15.8)

#### Table 1. FY2021 Number of total responses and valid responses (response rates)



Figure 1. Changes in response rates for the children's surveys

#### B. Frequency of daily exercise

In the FY2021 survey, "Rarely" was the response of 3.9% in ages 2-3, 5.4% in ages 4-6, 36.5% of elementary school students, and 32.6% of junior high school students (see Figure 2 – 5).

According to a national survey on school children conducted in FY2021<sup>\*1</sup>, the proportions of those who exercise for less than 60 minutes per week (excluding PE classes at school) were 8.8% of elementary school boys and 14.4% of elementary school girls, 7.8% of junior high school boys and 18.1% of junior high school girls. Although these results are not directly comparable to the results of our survey, they are worthy of attention and action.

\*1 Sports Agency "FY2021 National Survey on Physical Fitness, Athletic Performance and Exercise Habits" Chapter 1. Summary of the Survey Results,

https://www.mext.go.jp/sports/content/20211216-spt\_sseisaku02-000019583\_1.pdf



Figure 2. Changes in frequency of exercise: ages 2–3



Figure .3. Changes in frequency of exercise: ages 4-6



Figure. 4 Changes in frequency of exercise: elementary school students



Figure. 5 Changes in frequency of exercise: junior high school students

### C. Proportion of those scoring 16 points or higher on SDQ

### (assessment of children's emotions and behavior)

Children's emotions and behaviors were surveyed using the SDQ (Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, with a cutoff value of 16 based on previous studies). In FY2021, the proportion of children with high-risk scores (SDQ score of 16 or higher) showing certain problematic behavior was 6.9% for children aged 4 to 6, 8.0% for elementary school children, and 8.4% for junior high school students (Figure 6).

Compared with the 9.5% with high-risk scores in a survey covering children who were not affected by the disaster (\*<sup>2</sup>), the proportion of Fukushima children with high-risk scores was higher for all age groups in FY2011, especially among children aged 4 to 6 (24.4%). The percentage declined thereafter for all age groups, with some leveling from FY2019 through FY2021, where percentages stayed about the same as prior studies (Figure 6). A comparison of boys and girls showed that high-risk scores were generally higher among boys than girls, and this is consistent with prior results (Figure 7–9). By residential location at the time of the survey (both in and outside Fukushima prefecture), the proportion of those with high-risk scores were higher among those living in Fukushima among children under elementary school age, and higher among junior high school students those living outside the prefecture (Figure 10).

#### [About SDQ]

The SDQ consists of 25 question related to children's emotions and behaviors, which are to be answered by the child's parent/guardian according to what extent each question applies to the child's behavior over the past six months. Scores of 16 or higher are considered to be indicative of certain problematic behaviors that warrant expert support.



\*2 Matsuishi T, et al. (2008) Scale properties of the Japanese version of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ): A study of infant and school children in community samples. Brain and Development. 30: 410-415.





Figure 7. Changes in the proportion of those scoring 16 points or higher in SDQ: ages 4-6



Figure 8. Changes in the proportion of those scoring 16 points or higher in SDQ: elementary school students



Figure 9. Changes in the proportion of those scoring 16 points or higher in SDQ: junior high school students



Figure 10. Changes in proportion of those scoring 16 points or higher in SDQ, by the location of residence at the time of the survey.

#### D. Influence on daily life due to the spread of COVID-19

In the FY2021 survey, those who responded that that COVID-19 exerted influence on their daily life "To some extent" or "Significantly" accounted for 57.5% of those aged 0 to 3, 64.3% of those aged 4 to 6, 67.1% of elementary school students, 60.1% of junior high school students themselves and 63.3% of the guardians of junior high school students (\*). Generally, the percentage of children affected was higher than the previous year (Figure 11).





\*From the standpoint of parents/guardians

#### (2) Results of the Adults' Survey (aged 16 or older)

#### A. Number of respondents (response rate)

In the FY2021 survey, the number of adult (aged 16 or older) respondents (response rate) was 37,891 people (21.3%), and the number of those who made valid responses (valid response rate) was 37,762 people (21.3%) (Figure 12).By age group, the number of respondents (response rate) was 5,182 people (10.5%) for those aged 16 to 39, 10,848 people (17.4%) for those aged 40 to 64, and 21,861 people (33.3%) for those aged 65 or older (Figure 13).An online response system was introduced in FY2016, and the percentage of online responses was the highest ever in FY2021, at 16.1%.



Figure 12. Changes in the response rates in the Adults Survey



Figure 13. Response rates in the FY2021 Adults Survey, by age group

#### B. Subjective health condition

Regarding self-reported health conditions in FY2021, "Very good" or "Good" answers reached 29.9%, continuing a gradually increasing trend (Figure 14). When looked at by age group, the proportion of those who answered "Very good" or "Good" was higher in younger generations: 22.8% in age 65 or older, and 52.7% in age 39 or younger (Figure 15).



Figure 14. Changes in subjective health condition



Figure 15. Subjective health condition by age group in the FY2021 Adults Survey

# C. Sufficiency of sleep

38.6% of the respondents answered "Sufficient" in the FY2021 survey. Conversely, the proportion of those who answered "Very insufficient" or "Greatly insufficient or couldn't get any sleep" was 13.9% (Figure 16).



Figure 16. Changes in the degree of sleep sufficiency in adults

#### **D.** Frequency of exercise

The exercise frequency of "Almost everyday" and "2-4 times a week" improved gradually, reaching 41.1% in FY2021 survey (Figure 17). A national survey (\*3) showed 40.6% for those who exercise more than 2 days a week, although not be directly comparable with our survey because of differences in participants' age and other attributes, indicates that exercise habits of Fukushima residents were similar to those in Japan overall. When looked at by residential location at the time of the survey, those living in Fukushima prefecture tended exercise more frequently than those living outside the prefecture (Figure 18).

\*3 FY2019 National Health and Nutrition Survey, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare <u>https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/000711007.pdf</u>



Figure 17. Changes in the frequency of exercise in adults



Figure 18. Frequency of exercise by location of residence at the time of the survey (in Fukushima or other prefectures) in the FY2021 Adults Survey

#### E. Prevalence of smoking

In FY2021 survey, the proportion of smokers was 23.1% in males and 6.8% in females, and overall ratio was 14.5%. It had been showing a downward trend, but the proportion in FY2021 was higher than the previous year. It is still high compared with the "Healthy Japan 21 (Phase 2)" goal of 12%, so further efforts are warranted.



Figure 19. Changes in prevalence of smoking, by gender

#### F. Proportion of those suspected of problematic drinking (CAGE score 2 points or higher)

Problematic drinking behaviors were examined using the CAGE questionnaire (with a cutoff value of 2 points based on previous studies). In the FY2021 survey, the proportion of those with high-risk scores (CAGE score of 2 points or higher) was 14.5% in males and 6.6% in females, part of a downward trend for both (Figure 20). When looked at by age groups and gender, the percentage was the highest among those aged 40 to 64 (Figure 21). When compared by residential location at the time of the survey (in or outside the prefecture), the percentage was slightly higher among those living outside the prefecture for both males and females (Figure 22).

#### [About CAGE]

The CAGE questionnaire consists of 4 questions about drinking behaviors over the past 30 days, with "yes" (1) or "no" (0) answers. Those scoring 2 points or higher are considered as likely to have problematic drinking.



# Figure 20. Changes in proportion of those disclosing evidence of problematic drinking (2 points or higher in CAGE), by gender



Figure 21. Proportion of those disclosing evidence of problematic drinking (2 points or higher in CAGE) in the FY2021 Survey, by age group and by gender



Figure 22. Proportion of those disclosing evidence of problematic drinking (2 points or higher in CAGE) in the FY2021 Survey, by residential location and by gender

#### G. Proportion of those judged to be needed support for depression or anxiety

General mental health and the possibility of a mood disorder (e.g., depression) or anxiety disorder were examined using the K6 Distress Scale (with a cutoff value of 13 based on previous studies).

In the FY2021 survey, the proportion of those with high-risk scores (K6 score of 13 points or higher) for mood disorder or anxiety disorder was 6.1% overall (Figure 23). In FY2011, the proportion of those with high-risk scores was quite high, at 14.6%, and declined substantially, but it has turned upward in FY2021. However, the percentage is still high in Fukushima compared to a result of 3% shown in a previous study covering members of the public who were not affected by the disaster. (\*4) By gender, the percentage was higher in females (6.8%) than in males (5.3%) (Figure 24). The comparison by age group showed that the percentage was higher among young people than among older people (Figure 25). The comparison by residential location at the time of the survey (in or outside Fukushima prefecture) showed that 5.7% of those living in the prefecture, versus 8.3% of those living outside the prefecture were at high risk (Figure 26).

#### [About K6]

The K6 Distress Scale consists of 6 questions about how often feelings and behaviors related to depression and anxiety occurred during the past 30 days. A score of 13 or more is considered to indicate a possible mood or anxiety disorder.

\*4 Norito Kawakami. Distribution of mental health status and its related factors based on the K6 Distress Scale in a national survey (part of a research project on a system for grasping and analyzing statistical information on the health status of Japanese people from the perspective of households) supported by FY2006 Health and Labor Science Research Grant (for research projects on advanced utilization of statistical information).



Figure 23. Changes in the proportion of those scoring 13 or higher on K6



Figure 24. Changes in the proportion of those scoring 13 points or higher on K6, by gender



Figure 25. Proportion of those scoring 13 points or higher on K6 in the FY2021 Survey, by age group



# Figure 26. Proportion of those scoring 13 points or higher on K6 in the FY2021 Survey, by location of residence at the time of the survey

#### H. Influence on daily life due to the spread of COVID-19

In the FY2021 survey, those who responded that COVID-19 exerted influence on their daily life "Significantly" or "To some extent" (the affected group) accounted for 41.6%, and there was no substantial change from FY2020 survey, when the first COVID-19 influence question was introduced (Figure 27). By gender, the affected group accounted for 40.7% among males and a slightly higher 42.4% among females (Figure 28). By age group, the affected group accounted for 38.0% among those aged 16 to 39, 42.8% among those aged 40 to 64, and 41.9% among those aged 65 or older (Figure 29).

On the other hand, comparing the percentages of those scoring 13 points or higher on K6 between the group of people who were affected by COVID-19 to some extent or significantly and the group of people who were not at all affected or were scarcely affected by COVID-19, the relevant percentages were considerably higher for the former group (Figure 30), showing substantial differences in mental health conditions between these groups, as in the FY2020 survey.



Figure 27. Influence on daily life due to the spread of COVID-19: Overall



Figure 28. FY2021 Influence on daily life due to the spread of COVID-19: By gender







Figure 30. FY2021 Percentage of those scoring 13 points or higher on K6 by level of influence on daily life due to the spread of COVID-19

#### I. Risk perception of health effects of radiation

Regarding possible effects on the next generation, 24.3% responded that they think effects on the next generation are likely to occur ("Possibilities are high" and "Possibilities are very high" combined) in the FY2021 survey, continuing a downward trend (Figure 31). In a comparison by residential location at the time of the survey (in or outside the prefecture), risk perception was higher among those living outside of prefecture (Figure 32).



Figure 31. Changes in proportion of risk perception of radiation effects to the next generation (Risk perception)



# Figure 32. FY2021 Changes in proportion of risk perception of radiation effects on the next generation, by location of residence at the time of the survey (Risk perception)

#### J. Availability of consultation resources

The FY2021 survey asked, "Do you know anyone or any organization you can consult with when you have physical or mental problems?" A total of 6,947 participants (18.8%) answered "No" versus 10.6 % in the previous year.

#### (3) Conclusions

To reduce the burden on respondents, the survey was conducted with significantly fewer questionnaire items, and the response rate, which had been declining every year, rose slightly.

As for children (ages 15 and under), there was a slight improvement in exercise habits for those ages 2 to 3 years, but no improvement was seen for those ages 4 to 6 years and older, possibly due to the coronavirus pandemic. The proportion of children affected by the pandemic generally increased in terms of disruption to their daily lives. On the other hand, there has been a trend toward year-to-year improvement in children's emotional and behavioral (SDQ) status. One reason for the improvement may be that more than 10 years have passed since the earthquake, and the number of children who have not experienced the disaster has increased.

As for the general population (ages 16 and older), no improvement was seen in sleep status, exercise habits, smoking, or overall mental health (K6) this year, which had been improving year by year. Overall mental health worsened by about 1 point from the previous year, and in fact, residents whose lives were disturbed by the COVID-19 pandemic were significantly worse off than those whose lives were not disturbed, suggesting an important impact of pandemic. In addition, the same tendency for poor overall mental health among young people and those living outside the prefecture that had been observed previously was also observed in FY2021. The percentage of smokers increased slightly, possibly due to the inclusion of electronic cigarettes in the question about smoking from FY2021. As for where to consult, the number of respondents who answered, "There is no person or organization I can consult" increased compared to the previous year. The format of the question on where to consult had been changed to omit examples.

# 4. Outline of Post-Survey Support

As part of the Mental Health and Lifestyle Survey, we fed back individual results that can be useful to residents for their better mental and physical health management and provided support to those who were judged to need counseling or support regarding their mental health or lifestyle habits, with the aim of ascertaining their circumstances, providing advice for improvements, and connecting them to health or medical facilities.

# (1) Coverage of support

Out of those who responded to the FY2021 Mental Health and Lifestyle Survey, those who were judged to need counseling or support by telephone or mail were covered as support candidates.

Tabulation in this report covers those who responded by October 31, 2022, and to which we provided support by December 31, 2022.

#### (2) Individual result reports

Individual result reports were sent in July and September to those who responded by August 31, 2022, to help guide their understanding of mental health and lifestyle issues and better manage their own health.

Type of survey sheet	Number of notices sent	Contents			
For children ages 0 - 3	446	Height, weight, dietary habits (children aged 1 or older), fitness habit(children aged 2 or older), and bedtime			
For children ages 4 - 6	481				
For elementary school students	1,302	Height, weight, dietary habits, fitness habit, bedtime, and mental and behavioral stress reaction (SDQ score)*1			
For junior high school students	757				
For adults	37,661	Body mass index (BMI)*2, dietary habits, fitness habit, sleep, and mental stress reaction (K6 score)*3			

#### Table 2. FY2021 Number of individual result reports sent out

\*1 Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire, a mental health and behavioral screening scale for children

\*2 Body mass index, calculated based on height and weight as written in the survey forms

\*3 Psychological distress scale which screens for general mental illnesses, such as depression and anxiety

In result reports for children, standard height and weight by age in months as of the day of filling in the survey form were provided for reference.

# (3) Criteria to identify those in need of support and methods of providing support

A. Criteria to assess the need for support

In accordance with the level of significance and urgency, the following criteria were set to identify those in need of support (Tables 3 and 4)

# Table 3. FY2021 Criteria to assess the need for support regarding issues for children

		Moods and behavior (SDQ)	Whether or not having any person or organization to consult with / problems concerning growth,	Remarks / Free comment
Selection	Criteria I	1) SDQ: 20 or higher	Having worries concerning growth and having no person or organization to consult with.	Consultation by expert
criteria	Criteria II	3) SDQ: 16 or higher		The urgency level should be judged by an expert.

#### Table 4. FY2021 criteria to assess the need for support regarding personal issues for adults

		Mental health	Medical control	Sleep disorder	Mental disorder	Smoking and drinking	Free comment
Selection criteria	Criteria I	1) K6: 13 points or higher	1) With hypertension or diabetes but not seeing a doctor, and (i) with BMI of 27.5 or higher or (ii) not having taken health check for a year.				The urgency level should
	Criteria II	2) K6: 10 points or higher	2) Falling under 1) above, but (i) and (ii) are not applicable	Having no mental disorder, and being very unsatisfied with sleep.	Having mental disorder, but not seeing a doctor, or making no reply to the relevant question		be judged by an expert.
	Criteria III		3) Other than 1) and 2) above, and not having taken health check for a year			CAGE score 2 points or higher	

\* Smoking cessation calls for smokers

# B. Methods of providing support

# (i) Support for those meeting Criteria I

For those who met Criteria I, our "Mental Health Support Team" of clinical psychologists, public health nurses, clinical nurses, etc., made phone calls and provided counseling. The team asked about support recipients' health conditions, assessed current problems, and advised further examination at health/medical facilities when necessary (hereafter "telephone counseling").

# (ii) Support for those meeting Criteria II

For those who met Criteria II, we sent reply-paid postcards to confirm their intention whether or not to receive telephone counseling. Telephone counseling was provided to either those who expressed their intention to receive support or those who were judged to need support based on the content of their replies. For those who have any problems pertaining to medical management, sleep, or drinking habits, we also sent relevant information pamphlets.

# (iii) Support for those meeting Criteria III

For those who met Criteria III, we sent brochures to help them adopt healthier lifestyles.

# 5. Summary of Results of Post-Survey Support

# (1) Telephone counseling

A. Support for issues concerning children

(A) Number of support candidates and recipients

The numbers of support candidates and recipients based on Criteria I and II are shown in Figure 33,

and Tables 5 and 6 show the number of support recipients by age group and gender



# Figure 33. FY2021 Numbers of support candidates and recipients for issues regarding children

# Table 5. FY2021 Support recipients by gender (children)

Classification	Во	Boys Girls		Total	
Overall	54	(50.5%)	53	(49.5%)	107
Ages 0-3	8	(61.5%)	5	(38.5%)	13
Ages 4-6	3	(42.9%)	4	(57.1%)	7
Elementary school students	26	(52.0%)	24	(48.0%)	50
Junior high school students	17	(45.9%)	20	(54.1%)	37

# Table 6. FY2021 Support recipients by place of residence (children)

Classification	n Fukushima prefecture Outside Fukushima		Total		
Overall	91	(85.0%)	16	(15.0%)	107
Ages 0-3	12	(92.3%)	1	(7.7%)	13
Ages 4-6	7	(100.0%)	0	(0.0%)	7
Elementary school students	44	(88.0%)	6	(12.0%)	50
Junior high school students	28	(75.7%)	9	(24.3%)	37

#### (B) Results of Support

The Mental Health Support Team made phone calls to responders (mostly parents or guardians) and asked about current issues, based on survey responses. Table 7 shows the issues identified through telephone counseling for FY2012, FY2015, FY2020 and FY2021, akin to other tables and figures, and Table 8 shows the specific conselling contents for FY2021.

### Table 7. Telephone counselling contents (children)

Persons / %

FY2012	FY2015	FY2020	FY2021
Anxiety caused by the disaster, radiation or exposure	School life related issues	School life related issues	School life related issues
147 (23.6%)	54 (21.6%)	25 (26.3%)	38人 (35.5%)
School life related issues	Physical health	Daily life	Behavioral issues (anger, Irritation, or violence)
136人 (21.8%)	15 (6.0%)	18 (18.9%)	15 (14.0%)
Physical health	Sleep	Behavioral issues (anger, Irritation, or violence)	Daily life
102 (16.4%)	9 (3.6%)	12 (12.6%)	14 (13.1%)
Behavioral issues (anger, Irritation, or violence)	Behavioral issues (anger, Irritation, or violence)	Sleep	Anxiety over the future
90 (14.4%)	8 (3.2%)	9 (9.5%)	9 (8.4%)
Depression	Dietary habit	Physical health Dietary habit	Sleep
83 (13.3%)	4 (1.6%)	6 each (6.3%)	8 (7.5%)

\*FY2011 is not included because the tabulation method was different from that of other years, Therefore, Table 7 starts from FY2012.

School life related	(Ages 4-6)
issue	Hard to concentrate and not be able to do what other preschoolers are doing.
	(Elementary school students)
	Have difficulty to respond truancy.
	(Junior high school students)
	Complain of headache or abdominal pain in the morning and miss many days of school.
Behavioral issues	(Ages 0-3)
(anger, Irritation,	Get frustrated, making strange noises or banging their own heads against the wall.
	(Elementary school students)
	Beating or lashing out at family members.
	(Junior high school students)
	Use abusive language with family and friends.
Daily life	(Ages 0-3)
	Want to make finger sucking stopped.
	(Ages 4-6, Elementary school students , Junior high school students)
	Game addiction.
	(Junior high school students)
	Unable to leave the house unless accompanied by family members with anthropophobia.
Anxiety over the	(Ages 4-6)
tuture	Have worries for slow language development.
	(Elementary school students)
	Worries about the future of a child with a developmental disability.
	(Junior high school students)
	Worries about continuing education because the child does not study at all.
Sleep	(Elementary school students)
	Truancy problems and day and night is reversed.
	(Junior high school students)
	Waking up in the middle of the night or early in the morning.
Others	(Ages 4-6)
	Childcare burden became heavier because of the limitation at preschools by COVID-19 pandemic.
	(Junior high school students)
	Depressed because of the school trip was cancelled due to COVID-19 pandemic.

#### Table 8. FY2021 Detailes of telephone counselling (children)

The telephone counselling included listening, medical consultation recommendations, lifestyle guidance, and psychoeducation. Table 9 shows the situation at the time of initial telephone counselling. Because of telephone counselling, the reasons for continuing the support were the child's condition (both physical and mental), school maladjustment, and the guardian's condition (both physical and mental).

In FY2021, there were no cases that required information sharing with outside agencies or institutions.

#### Table 9. FY2021 Status of the initial telephone counselling

								Pe	rsons (%)
Classification	Continuous need	s support ed	One time	support	Details u	nknown	Support	declined	Total
Overall	12	(11.2%)	89	(83.2%)	4	(3.7%)	2	(1.9%)	107
Ages 0-3	0	(0.0%)	12	(92.3%)	1	(7.7%)	0	(0.0%)	13
Ages 4-6	1	(14.3%)	6	(85.7%)	0	(0.0%)	0	(0.0%)	7
Elementary school students	7	(14.0%)	40	(80.0%)	1	(2.0%)	2	(4.0%)	50
Junior high school students	4	(10.8%)	31	(83.8%)	2	(5.4%)	0	(0.0%)	37

· Continuous support needed:

Those who were judged to need continuous support, including those with poor physical conditions, gravely affected by the disaster, unable to adapt to society or school, experiencing isolation, or having other ongoing concerns. Continued support includes recommending consultation with specialists at healthcare/medical facilities and providing their information to other support organizations.

 $\cdot$ One time support:

Those judged as being able to take care of themselves as some improvements were seen in their physical conditions or living environment or they were already in contact with support resources.

· Details unknown:

No details were obtained (for various reasons).

Support declined:

Those who were councelled turned down offers of support.

### B. Support for adults

#### (A) Number of support candidates and recipients

Figure 34 shows the numbers of support candidates and recipients based on Criteria I and II and support recipients.

Table 10 shows the distribution of support recipients by gender and by age group. Table 11 shows the proportion of support recipients in total responses. Table 12 indicates support recipients by location of residence at the time of the survey. Table 13 shows support reciepients in all responses by location of residence (in or outside the prefecture) at the time of the survey.



Figure 34. FY2021 Number of support candidates and recipients for personal issues of adults

Classification	Under 40		40 - 64		65 and older		Total
Overall	341	(13.3%)	783	(30.5%)	1,440	(56.2%)	2,564
Mental Health Survey							
Male	117	(13.6%)	262	(30.4%)	483	(56.0%)	862
Female	181	(15.4%)	330	(28.1%)	662	(56.4%)	1,173
Life Style Survey							
Male	25	(7.7%)	130	(39.9%)	171	(52.5%)	326
Female	18	(8.9%)	61	(30.0%)	124	(61.1%)	203

Table 10. FY2021 Telephone support recipients by gender (adult)

#### Table 11. FY2021 Telephone support recipients by age group (adult)

Number of reependente	Under 40	40-64	65 and older	Total
Number of respondents	5,182	10,848	21,861	37,891
Those who received the support	341	783	1,440	2,564
(Rate)	(6.6%)	(7.2%)	(6.6%)	(6.8%)

# Table 12. FY2021 Support recipients by the place of residence at the time of the survey (adult)

Classification	Fukushima Prefecture		Outside Fukushima		Total
Overall	2,116	(82.5%)	448	(17.5%)	2,564
Mental Health Survey	1,666	(81.9%)	369	(18.1%)	2,035
Life Style Survey	450	(85.1%)	79	(14.9%)	529

# Table 13. FY2021 Support recipients by the place of residence at the time of the survey in total response (adult)

Number of reenendente	Fukushima Prefecture	Outside Fukushima	Total
Number of respondents	32,817	5,074	37,891
Those who received the support	2,116	448	2,564
(Rate)	(6.4%)	(8.8%)	(6.8%)

#### (B) Results of Support

The Mental Health Support Team made phone calls and asked about current issues, based on survey responses. Table 14 shows the contents of telephone counseling for FY2012, FY2015, FY2020 and FY2021. Table 15 indicates details of the contents in FY2021.

FY2012	FY2015	FY2020	FY2021	
Physical health	Physical health	Physical health	Physical health	
2,761 (46.1%)	1,145 (44.6%)	866 (44.0%)	1,233 (48.1%)	
Sleep	Sleep	Sleep	Sleep	
2,349 (39.2%)	798 (31.1%)	583 (29.6%)	680 (26.5%)	
Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression	
1,417 (23.7%)	342 (13.3%)	296 (15.0%)	451 (17.6%)	
Family relationships	Dietary habits	Dietary habits	Exercise habit	
1,058 (17.7%)	236 (9.2%)	249 (12.7%)	333 (13.0%)	
Living environment	Anxiety over the future	Exercise habits	Dietary habits	
1,049 (17.5%)	235 (9.2%)	245 (12.4%)	272 (10.6%)	

#### Table14. Contents of the telephone support (adult)

\* FY2011 is not included because the tabulation method was different from that for other years; therefire, the table indicated from FY2012

# Table15. FY2021 Detailed contents of the telephone support (adult)

	Have chronic stomach pain
Physical	Have been feeling dizzy and anxious since the earthquake, and seeing psychosomatic and otorhinolaryngology doctors
health	Under the medical treatment for high blood pressure and diabetes.
	Unable to sleep without sleeping pills
Sleep	Taking sleeping pills but awake early in the morning
	Have difficulty getting into sleep with work related anxieties
	Visited psychosomatic clinic because of poor physical health, and was diagnosed with depression
Depression	Been depressing by lack of interaction with son's family who are out of Fukushima prefecture
	Mood swings Interference with life, like many other people
Evoroico	Too hot to go for a walk
LXEICISE	Used to go for a walk before COVID-19 epidemic, but not anymore
Habit	Have not been excising since stated to work
Diotony	Have no appetite at all
babit	Side effects of medications made increased appetite and had weight gain
Habit	Hard to keep food restriction
	The March 16, 2022 earthquake messed up the house. Because the water was cut off and busy carrying water for daily use
Others	Unable to visit the family member in the hospital due to epidemic of COVID-19.
	Thought , able to go back to hometown in 10 or 20 years, but gave up returning

During the telepone counseling (support), our support staff recommendations included: to see a doctor / visit clinic, guidance on daily habits, and psychoeducation. Table 16 shows the results of the first telephone support, and as a result, 'continuous support' was recommended for 142 persons due to mental health issues and 126 persons due to physical health issues.

For further actions taken after the support, there were 7 cases in which we shared information with municipalities and the Fukushima Center for Disaster Mental Health, and sent referral forms to see a registered physician.
Classification	Contin support	iuous needed	One time support		Details unknown		Support declined		Total
Overall	255	(9.9%)	2,240	(87.4%)	45	(1.8%)	24	(0.9%)	2,564
Mental Health Survey	243	(11.9%)	1,729	(85.0%)	43	(2.1%)	20	(1.0%)	2,035
Life Style Survey	12	(2.3%)	511	(96.6%)	2	(0.4%)	4	(0.8%)	529

### Table 16. FY2021 Results of the first telephone support for personal issues of adults

### Need continuous support

Those judged as needing continuous support, for reasons of poor physical condition, being gravely affected by the disaster, being unable to adapt to society or school, seeming to be isolated, and other reasons of concern. Continuous support includes recommending consultation at healthcare/medical facilities and providing personal information to other support organizations.

•One time support:

Those for whom some improvements were seen in their physical conditions or living environment, and/or they were already in contact with support resources.

· Details unknown: No details were obtained (for various reasons).

Declined support: Those who said that they would not need support.

### (2) Support by sending information brochures

For 2,842 persons who met Criteria III, information brochures were sent to help them better manage their health conditions. Brochures on such topics as obesity and drinking problems were sent to 1,784 persons and 1,058 persons, respectively.

### (3) Consultation by incoming call

During the support period in FY2021, 945 incoming call calls were made to the "KOKOKARA CHOUSA Dial". The breakdown of incoming calls (978 in total) were as follows: 530 calls were returned from support canditates who were not available at the time of telephone support, 181 were consultations, 145 were inquiries about the survey, and 122 were for other reasons.

Regarding inquiries about the survey, most of them were about changes in basic information or how to fill out the survey. In addition, there were incoming calls for consultation throughout the year, mainly on matters related to the health of themselves and/or their families, and relationships at their workplaces and among friends.

### (4) Conclusions

In the cases of "children," a high percentage of the consultations were for "school-related issues," followed by "anger / frustration / violence" and "daily life and habits," a trend similar to that of the previous year. In the "Continued support" cases, 12 (11.2%) of the respondents were judged to be somewhat concerned about their social/school maladjustment or isolation at the time of the initial telephone support.

In the cases of "adults," "physical health," "sleep," and "depression" were the most frequently consulted topics, showing a similar trend to the previous year. In the initial telephone support, 243 (11.9%) of those who received mental health telephone support and 12 (2.3%) of those who received lifestyle telephone support chose "Continuation of support."

For support recipients who were judged to need continued support or who wished to continue receiving support either for their own issues (adults) or issues related to their children, our Support Team continued to provide telephone support to monitor their conditions and provided them with information on support resources. If the Support Team judged that the urgency was very high, they provided information of support recipients to the recipients' local health/medical facilities. For those to whom the team could not offer telephone support because they were absent at the time of the call, etc., we sent a "Mental Health and Lifestyle Support Book," produced by the Radiation Medical Science Center for the Fukushima Health Management Survey, to encourage them to perform self-checks on their physical and mental health, along with information on various consultation services including our telephone number dedicated to inquiries about the Mental Health and Lifestyle Survey, the "KOKOKARA CHOUSA Dial".

# 6. FY2021 Mental Health and Lifestyle Survey (1) Ages 0 to 3

							Persons	Percentage
Res	ponse Method			(Valid responses:	446)	• Paper	290	65.0%
						• Online	156	35.0%
Sex	(			(Valid responses:	446)	• Boys	241	54.0%
	(Mean age:	1.8 )				• Girls	205	46.0%
Res	idential location at the time	of survey		(Valid responses:	446)	<ul> <li>In Fukushima prefecture</li> </ul>	429	96.2%
						Outside the prefecture	17	3.8%
Q1	Health condition			(Valid responses:	445)	<ul> <li>Very good</li> </ul>	233	52.4%
						• Good	163	36.6%
						• Fair	48	10.8%
						Unsatisfactory	1	0.2%
						<ul> <li>Very unsatisfactory</li> </ul>	0	0.0%
Q2	Height	Boys	Age 1	(Valid responses:	72)	Average height	77.2	cm
			Age 2	(Valid responses:	74)	Average height	87.4	cm
			Age 3	(Valid responses:	70)	Average height	94.9	cm
		Girls	Age 1	(Valid responses:	67)	Average height	75.5	cm
			Age 2	(Valid responses:	60)	Average height	86.9	cm
			Age 3	(Valid responses:	55)	Average height	94.4	cm
	Weight	Boys	Age 1	(Valid responses:	78)	Average weight	10.3	kg
			Age 2	(Valid responses:	81)	Average weight	12.5	kg
			Age 3	(Valid responses:	78)	Average weight	14.5	kg
		Girls	Age 1	(Valid responses:	77)	Average weight	9.6	kg
			Age 2	(Valid responses:	64)	Average weight	12.3	kg
			Age 3	(Valid responses:	59)	Average weight	14.3	kg
Q3	Frequency of exercising			(Valid responses:	259)	<ul> <li>Almost everyday</li> </ul>	188	72.6%
						<ul> <li>2-4 times a week</li> </ul>	47	18.1%
						Once a week	14	5.4%
						• Rarely	10	3.9%
Q4	Loss of confidence in child	l rearing		(Valid responses:	446)	• Yes	80	17.9%
						• No	187	41.9%
						<ul> <li>Neither yes nor no</li> </ul>	179	40.1%
Q5	Childcare concerns			(Valid responses:	446)	• Yes	59	13.2%
						• No	299	67.0%
						<ul> <li>Neither yes nor no</li> </ul>	88	19.7%
Q6	Availability of consultation	resources		(Valid responses:	446)			
	Do you have someone to co	nsult with about	child rearir	ng?		• Yes	428	96.0%
						• No	18	4.0%
Q7	Influence of the COVID-19	pandemic		(Valid responses:	445)	Not at all	107	24.0%
	Is the COVID-19 affecting	g your daily life?	<b>&gt;</b>			Not much	82	18.4%
						To some extent	197	44.3%
						Very much	59	13.3%

### (2) Ages 4 to 6

30: 17: 25: 22: 26: 22: 25: 20: 25: 16: 55: 25: 16: 55: 25: 16: 55:	9 64.2% 2 35.8% 7 53.4% 4 46.6% 9 95.4% 2 4.6% 5 53.7% 1 33.8% 9 12.4%
17: 25: 22: 26: 22: 25: 25: 25: 16: 5: 25:	2         35.8%           7         53.4%           4         46.6%           9         95.4%           2         4.6%           3         53.7%           1         33.8%           3         12.4%
25 22 efecture 45 ecture 25 25 16 5 5	7 53.4% 4 46.6% 9 95.4% 2 4.6% 5 53.7% 1 33.8% 9 12.4% 1 0.2%
22 efecture 45 ecture 23 25 16	4         46.6%           9         95.4%           2         4.6%           3         53.7%           1         33.8%           9         12.4%
efecture 45: ecture 2: 250 16 50	9 95.4% 2 4.6% 6 53.7% 1 33.8% 9 12.4% 1 0.2%
ecture 2: 250 16 59	2 4.6% 6 53.7% 1 33.8% 9 12.4%
25 16 5	6 53.7% 1 33.8% 9 12.4%
16 59	1 33.8% 9 12.4%
5	9 12.4%
	1 0.00/
xm/ /	i 0.2%
лу	) 0.0%
ge height 102.8	3 cm
ge height 108.4	4 cm
ge height 116.2	2 cm
ge height 103.	0 cm
ge height 109.4	4 cm
ge height 115.	3 cm
je weight 16.9	9 kg
je weight 18.0	ô kg
je weight 21.9	Э kg
je weight 16.4	4 kg
je weight 18.	7 kg
je weight 21.	1 kg
298	8 62.2%
í 12	5 26.1%
30	0 6.3%
2	<u>3</u> 5.4%
_	
8.4	4
Boys) 8.9	9
Sirls) 7.8	3
33	3 6.9%
2:	2 8.6%
1	1 4.9%
ecture) 32	2 7.0%
ture)	1 4.5%
5.	3 11.1%
420	5 68.9%
46	8 97.5%
1:	2 2.5%
9	1 19.1%
7	9 16.6%
26	0 54.6%
40	6 9.7%
	pry         ()           ge height         102.8           ge height         108.4           ge height         108.4           ge height         116.2           ge height         109.4           ge height         115.3           ge weight         18.4           ge weight         18.1           ge weight         18.1           ge weight         21.1           299         21.1           299         21.2           30         21.2           30         21.2           30         31           30ys)         8.4           30ys)         8.4           31         32           ecture)         32           iture)         53           420         46i           11         9           7*         26i           41         421

### (3) Elementary school students

							Persons	Percentage
Resp	oonse Method			(Valid responses:	481)	<ul> <li>Paper</li> </ul>	309	64.2%
						Online	172	35.8%
Sex				(Valid responses:	481)	• Boys	257	53.4%
	(Mean age	5.0)				• Girls	224	46.6%
Resi	dential location at the time of survey			(Valid responses:	481)	<ul> <li>In Fukushima prefecture</li> </ul>	459	95.4%
						<ul> <li>Outside the prefecture</li> </ul>	22	4.6%
Q1	Health condition			(Valid responses:	477)	<ul> <li>Very good</li> </ul>	256	53.7%
						• Good	161	33.8%
						• Fair	59	12.4%
						<ul> <li>Unsatisfactory</li> </ul>	1	0.2%
						<ul> <li>Very unsatisfactory</li> </ul>	0	0.0%
Q2	Height	Boys	Age 4	(Valid responses:	70)	Average height	102.8	cm
			Age 5	(Valid responses:	90)	Average height	108.4	cm
			Age 6	(Valid responses:	81)	Average height	116.2	cm
		Girls	Age 4	(Valid responses:	62)	Average height	103.0	cm
			Age 5	(Valid responses:	77)	Average height	109.4	cm
			Age 6	(Valid responses:	73)	Average height	115.3	cm
	Weight	Boys	Age 4	(Valid responses:	73)	Average weight	16.9	kg
	C C		Age 5	(Valid responses:	94)	Average weight	18.6	kg
			Age 6	(Valid responses:	80)	Average weight	21.9	kg
		Girls	Aae 4	(Valid responses:	64)	Average weight	16.4	ka
			Age 5	(Valid responses:	79)	Average weight	18.7	kg
			Age 6	(Valid responses:	77)	Average weight	21.1	kg
Q3	Frequency of exercising			(Valid responses:	479)	Almost everyday	298	62.2%
						<ul> <li>2-4 times a week</li> </ul>	125	26.1%
						Once a week	30	6.3%
						<ul> <li>Rarely</li> </ul>	26	5.4%
Q4	Child's emotion and behavior (S	DQ)						
1)	SDQ			(Valid responses:	481)	<ul> <li>Average score</li> </ul>	8.4	
				(Valid responses:	257)	<ul> <li>Average score (Boys)</li> </ul>	8.9	
				(Valid responses:	224)	<ul> <li>Average score (Girls)</li> </ul>	7.8	
						<ul> <li>≥ 16 points</li> </ul>	33	6.9%
						(Boys)	22	8.6%
						(Girls)	11	4.9%
				(Valid responses:	459)	(In Fukushima prefecture)	32	7.0%
				(Valid responses:	22)	(Outside the prefecture)	1	4.5%
2)	Developmental/psychological prob	olems		(Valid responses:	479)	• Yes	53	11.1%
						• No	426	88.9%
Q5	Availability of consultation resource	es		(Valid responses:	480)			
	Do you have someone to consult v	vith about o	child rearing	g?		• Yes	468	97.5%
						• No	12	2.5%
Q6	Influence of the COVID-19 panden	nic		(Valid responses:	476)	<ul> <li>Not at all</li> </ul>	91	19.1%
	Is the COVID-19 affecting your da	aily life?				<ul> <li>Not much</li> </ul>	79	16.6%
						<ul> <li>To some extent</li> </ul>	260	54.6%
						<ul> <li>Very much</li> </ul>	46	9.7%

### (4) Junior high school students

Response method(Valid responses: online760 )Paper53670.5% onlineSex(Valid responses: (Average age: 13.9 )(Valid responses: offits760 )Boys38450.5% offitsResidential location at the time of survey(Valid responses: (Valid responses: Offits760 )In the prefecture outside the prefecture59778.6% outside the prefectureQ1 Health condition(Valid responses: (Valid responses:544 )Very good20136.9% outside the prefectureQ1 Health condition(Valid responses: (Valid responses:544 )Very good20136.9% outside the prefectureQ2 HeightBoysGrade 7 (Valid responses: (Valid responses:89 )Average height Average height159.1 cm Grade 8 (Valid responses: Grade 7 (Valid responses: gen 9 (Valid responses: gen						Persons Per	centage
Online         224         29.5%           Sex         (Valid responses:         760         · Boys         384         50.5%           (Average age: 13.9         13.9         · Girls         376         49.5%           Residential location at the time of survey         (Valid responses:         760         · In the prefecture         597         78.6%           Q1 Health condition         (Valid responses:         544         · Very good         201         36.9%           Q1 Health condition         (Valid responses:         544         · Very good         201         36.9%           Good         166         30.5%         · Fair         169         31.1%           · Unsatisfactory         8         1.5%         · Very unsatisfactory         0         0.0%           Q2 Height         Boys         Grade 7 (Valid responses:         89         Average height         159.1 cm           Grade 8 (Valid responses:         96         Average height         168.3 cm           Grade 9 (Valid responses:         80         Average height         168.8 cm           Grade 9 (Valid responses:         80         Average height         153.0 cm           Grade 8 (Valid responses:         86         Average height         156.2 cm<	Respo	nse method	(Valid responses:	760 )	• Paper	536	70.5%
Sex(Valid responses:760· Boys38450.5%(Average age:13.9·· Girls37649.5%Residential location at the time of survey(Valid responses:760· In the prefecture59778.6%Q1 Health condition(Valid responses:544· Very good20136.9%Q1 Health condition(Valid responses:544· Very good20136.9%Q2 HeightBoysGrade 7 (Valid responses:89· Very unsatisfactory81.5%Q2 HeightBoysGrade 7 (Valid responses:96Average height159.1 cmGrade 8 (Valid responses:96Average height166.3 cmGrade 9 (Valid responses:80Average height168.8 cmGrade 9 (Valid responses:80Average height153.0 cmGrade 8 (Valid responses:92Average height155.6 cmGrade 9 (Valid responses:86Average height156.2 cmWeightBoysGrade 7 (Valid responses:87Average height156.2 cm					Online	224	29.5%
(Average age: 13.9 )       · Girls       376       49.5%         Residential location at the time of survey       (Valid responses: 760 )       · In the prefecture       597       78.6%         Q1 Health condition       (Valid responses: 544 )       · Very good       201       36.9%         Q1 Health condition       (Valid responses: 544 )       · Very good       201       36.9%         · Good       166       30.5%       · Fair       169       31.1%         · Unsatisfactory       8       1.5%       · Very unsatisfactory       0       0.0%         Q2 Height       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses: 89)       Average height       159.1 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses: 96 )       Average height       166.3 cm       Grade 9 (Valid responses: 96 )       Average height       168.8 cm         Girls       Grade 7 (Valid responses: 92 )       Average height       153.0 cm       Grade 8 (Valid responses: 92 )       Average height       155.6 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses: 93 )       Average height       155.6 cm       Grade 9 (Valid responses: 93 )       Average height       156.2 cm         Weight       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses: 87 )       Average weight       49.0 kg       156.2 cm	Sex		(Valid responses:	760)	• Boys	384	50.5%
Residential location at the time of survey       (Valid responses: 760)       In the prefecture       597       78.6%         Q1 Health condition       (Valid responses: 544)       · Very good       201       36.9%         Q1 Health condition       (Valid responses: 544)       · Very good       201       36.9%         Good       166       30.5%       · Good       166       30.5%         Very unsatisfactory       0       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%         Q2 Height       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses: 96)       Average height       159.1 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses: 96)       Average height       168.8 cm       Grade 9 (Valid responses: 96)       Average height       168.8 cm         Girls       Grade 7 (Valid responses: 92)       Average height       153.0 cm       Grade 9 (Valid responses: 92)       Average height       155.6 cm         Weight       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses: 93)       Average height       156.2 cm	(Avera	ge age: 13.9 )			• Girls	376	49.5%
Outside the prefecture16321.4%Q1 Health condition(Valid responses:544)· Very good20136.9%· Good16630.5%· Fair16931.1%· Unsatisfactory81.5%· Very unsatisfactory00.0%Q2 HeightBoysGrade 7 (Valid responses:89)Average height159.1 cmGrade 8 (Valid responses:96)Average height166.3 cmGrade 9 (Valid responses:80)Average height168.8 cmGirlsGrade 7 (Valid responses:92)Average height153.0 cmGrade 8 (Valid responses:92)Average height155.6 cmGrade 9 (Valid responses:93)Average height156.2 cmWeightBoysGrade 7 (Valid responses:87)Average weight49.0 kg	Reside	ential location at the time of survey	(Valid responses:	760)	<ul> <li>In the prefecture</li> </ul>	597	78.6%
Q1 Health condition       (Valid responses: 544)       • Very good       201       36.9%         • Good       166       30.5%         • Fair       169       31.1%         • Unsatisfactory       8       1.5%         • Very unsatisfactory       0       0.0%         Q2 Height       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses: 89)       Average height       159.1 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses: 96)       Average height       166.3 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses: 80)       Average height       168.8 cm         Girls       Grade 7 (Valid responses: 92)       Average height       153.0 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses: 92)       Average height       155.6 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses: 93)       Average height       156.2 cm         Weight       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses: 87)       Average weight       49.0 kg					<ul> <li>Outside the prefecture</li> </ul>	163	21.4%
Good       166       30.5%         Fair       169       31.1%         Unsatisfactory       8       1.5%         Very unsatisfactory       0       0.0%         Q2 Height       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       89)       Average height       159.1 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses:       96)       Average height       166.3 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses:       80)       Average height       168.8 cm         Girls       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       80)       Average height       153.0 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses:       92)       Average height       155.6 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses:       93)       Average height       156.2 cm         Weight       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       87)       Average weight       49.0 kg	Q1 He	alth condition	(Valid responses:	544 )	<ul> <li>Very good</li> </ul>	201	36.9%
Verage height       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses: 89)       Average height 159.1 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses: 96)       Average height 166.3 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses: 80)       Average height 168.8 cm         Grade 7 (Valid responses: 92)       Average height 168.8 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses: 92)       Average height 153.0 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses: 92)       Average height 155.6 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses: 93)       Average height 155.6 cm         Weight       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses: 87)       Average weight 49.0 kg					• Good	166	30.5%
• Unsatisfactory       8       1.5%         • Very unsatisfactory       0       0.0%         Q2 Height       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       89 )       Average height       159.1 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses:       96 )       Average height       166.3 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses:       80 )       Average height       168.8 cm         Girls       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       92 )       Average height       153.0 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses:       92 )       Average height       155.6 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses:       93 )       Average height       156.2 cm         Weight       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       87 )       Average weight       49.0 kg					• Fair	169	31.1%
Very unsatisfactory       0       0.0%         Q2 Height       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       89 )       Average height       159.1 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses:       96 )       Average height       166.3 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses:       80 )       Average height       168.8 cm         Girls       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       92 )       Average height       153.0 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses:       86 )       Average height       155.6 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses:       93 )       Average height       156.2 cm         Weight       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       87 )       Average weight       49.0 kg					Unsatisfactory	8	1.5%
Q2 Height       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       89 )       Average height       159.1 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses:       96 )       Average height       166.3 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses:       80 )       Average height       168.8 cm         Girls       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       92 )       Average height       153.0 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses:       92 )       Average height       155.6 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses:       93 )       Average height       156.2 cm         Weight       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       87 )       Average weight       49.0 kg					Very unsatisfactory	0	0.0%
Grade 8 (Valid responses:       96 )       Average height       166.3 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses:       80 )       Average height       168.8 cm         Girls       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       92 )       Average height       153.0 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses:       92 )       Average height       155.6 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses:       93 )       Average height       156.2 cm         Weight       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       87 )       Average weight       49.0 kg	Q2 Hei	ight Boys	Grade 7 (Valid responses:	89)	Average height	159.1 cm	
Grade 9 (Valid responses:       80)       Average height       168.8 cm         Girls       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       92)       Average height       153.0 cm         Grade 8 (Valid responses:       86)       Average height       155.6 cm         Grade 9 (Valid responses:       93)       Average height       156.2 cm         Weight       Boys       Grade 7 (Valid responses:       87)       Average weight       49.0 kg			Grade 8 (Valid responses:	96)	Average height	166.3 cm	
Girls     Grade 7 (Valid responses:     92 )     Average height     153.0 cm       Grade 8 (Valid responses:     86 )     Average height     155.6 cm       Grade 9 (Valid responses:     93 )     Average height     156.2 cm       Weight     Boys     Grade 7 (Valid responses:     87 )     Average weight     49.0 kg			Grade 9 (Valid responses:	80)	Average height	168.8 cm	
Grade 8 (Valid responses:     86 )     Average height     155.6 cm       Grade 9 (Valid responses:     93 )     Average height     156.2 cm       Weight     Boys     Grade 7 (Valid responses:     87 )     Average weight     49.0 kg		Girls	Grade 7 (Valid responses:	92)	Average height	153.0 cm	
Grade 9 (Valid responses:     93 )     Average height     156.2 cm       Weight     Boys     Grade 7 (Valid responses:     87 )     Average weight     49.0 kg			Grade 8 (Valid responses:	86)	Average height	155.6 cm	
Weight         Boys         Grade 7 (Valid responses:         87 )         Average weight         49.0 kg			Grade 9 (Valid responses:	93)	Average height	156.2 cm	
	Weid	aht Boys	Grade 7 (Valid responses:	87)	Average weight	49.0 ka	
Grade 8 (Valid responses: 96) Average weight 56.4 kg	11019		Grade 8 (Valid responses:	96 )	Average weight	56 4 kg	
Grade 9 (Valid responses: 78) Average weight 59.1 kg			Grade 9 (Valid responses:	78)	Average weight	59.1 kg	
Cirls $(Valid responses: 88)$ Average weight 16.6 kg		Girls	Grade 7 (Valid responses:	88)		46.6 kg	
Grade 8 (Valid responses: 85) Average weight 40.0 kg		Gina	Grade 8 (Valid responses:	85)	Average weight	40.0 kg	
Grade 9 (Valid responses: 93) Average weight 51.3 kg			Grade 9 (Valid responses:	93)	Average weight		
Q3 Frequency of exercising (Valid responses: 543) • Almost everyday 162 29.8%	Q3 F	requency of exercising	(Valid responses:	543)	Almost everyday	01:0 kg	29.8%
• 2-4 times a week 146 26.9%	<b>u</b> o .	indrano) ei oneleinig	(1 and 100pono001	010 /	<ul> <li>2-4 times a week</li> </ul>	146	26.9%
• Once a week 58 10.7%					Once a week	58	10.7%
• Rarely 177 32 6%					Barely	177	32.6%
Q4 Influence of the COVID-19 pandemic (Valid responses: 541) • Not at all 62 11.5%	Q4 Ir	fluence of the COVID-19 pandemic	(Valid responses:	541)	• Not at all	62	11.5%
Is the COVID-19 affecting your daily life?		s the COVID-19 affecting your daily life?	, i		Not much	154	28.5%
(From student himself/herself perspective) • To some extent 248 45.8%	(	From student himself/herself perspective)			To some extent	248	45.8%
• Very much 77 14.2%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Verv much	77	14.2%
Q5 Child's emotion and behavior (SDQ)	Q5 C	hild's emotion and behavior (SDQ)			3		
1) SDQ (Valid responses: 747) Average score 7.8 points	1)	SDQ	(Valid responses:	747)	Average score	7.8 poir	ıts
(Valid responses: 379) Average score (Boys) 8.0 points			(Valid responses:	, 379 )	Average score (Boys)	8.0 poir	nts
(Valid responses: 368) Average score (Girls) 7.7 points			(Valid responses:	368)	Average score (Girls)	7.7 poin	its
≥ 16 points 63 8.4%				,	≥ 16 points	63	8.4%
(Boys) 29 7.7%					(Boys)	29	7.7%
(Girls) 34 9.2%					(Girls)	34	9.2%
(Valid responses: 588) (In Fukushima) 48 8.2%			(Valid responses:	588)	(In Fukushima)	48	8.2%
(Valid responses: 159) (Outside the prefecture) 15 9.4%			(Valid responses:	159)	(Outside the prefecture)	15	9.4%
2) Developmental/psychological problems(Valid responses: 746)Yes10914.6%	2)	Developmental/psychological problems	(Valid responses:	746)	• Yes	109	14.6%
• No 637 85.4%					• No	637	85.4%
Q6 Availability of consultation resources (Valid responses: 748)	Q6 A	vailability of consultation resources	(Valid responses:	748)			
Have someone to consult with about child rearing?Yes70193.7%	Hav	e someone to consult with about child rea	ring?		• Yes	701	93.7%
No 47 6.3%	07			746	• No	47	6.3%
Q/ Influence of the COVID-19 pandemic (Valid responses: 742) • Not at all 160 21.6%	Q/Ir	Instruction of the COVID-19 pandemic	(Valid responses:	742)	Not at all	160	21.6%
IS THE COVID-19 Allecting your daily life? • Not much 112 15.1% (From parents/quardians perspective) • To some extent 376 50.7%	//	From parents/quardians perspective)			<ul> <li>NOLITIUCTI</li> <li>To some extent</li> </ul>	112	15.1%
• Very much 94 12.7%	(				Very much	94	12.7%

### (5) Adults

						Persons	Percentage
Resp	oonse method		(Valid responses:	37,762 )	• Paper	31,664	83.9%
					Online	6,098	16.1%
Sex			(Valid responses:	37,762)	• Male	17,724	46.9%
(Avei	rage age:62.9)			07 700 V	• Female	20,038	53.1%
Resi	dential location at the time of s	urvey	(Valid responses:	37,762)	In Fukushima	32,678	86.5%
01				24.420.)	Outside the prefecture	5,084	13.5%
QT	Health condition		(valid responses:	34,439)	• Very good	2,271	0.0%
					• Good	8,030	23.3%
						19,720	37.3% 11.7%
						4,020	1 10/
						393	1.170
		16-39	(Valid responses:	4,493 )	Very good	905	20.1%
					• Good	1,465	32.6%
					• Fair	1,831	40.8%
					Unsatisfactory	258	5.7%
					<ul> <li>Very unsatisfactory</li> </ul>	34	0.8%
		40-64	(Valid responses:	10,303 )	<ul> <li>Very good</li> </ul>	741	7.2%
					• Good	2,702	26.2%
					• Fair	5,704	55.4%
					<ul> <li>Unsatisfactory</li> </ul>	1,032	10.0%
					<ul> <li>Very unsatisfactory</li> </ul>	124	1.2%
		65 and older	(Valid responses:	19,643 )	<ul> <li>Very good</li> </ul>	625	3.2%
					• Good	3,863	19.7%
					• Fair	12,190	62.1%
					Unsatisfactory	2,730	13.9%
					<ul> <li>Very unsatisfactory</li> </ul>	235	1.2%
Q2	Height and weight						
	Height	Male	(Valid responses:	17,484)	Average height	166.5	cm
		Female	(Valid responses:	19,601)	Average height	153.6	cm
	Weight	Male	(Valid responses:	17,489)	Average weight	67.3	kg
		Female	(Valid responses:	19,585)	Average weight	54.6	kg
	BMI	Male	(Valid responses:	17.391)	Average BMI	24.2	ka/m <sup>2</sup>
		Female	(Valid responses:	19,397)	Average BMI	23.1	ka/m <sup>2</sup>
Q3	Past Medical history		· ·	, ,	<u> </u>		5
1	) Hypertension (or high blood p	ressure)	(Valid responses:	36,348)	• No	19,945	54.9%
		,			• Yes	16,403	45.1%
					(Currently under treatment)	14,721	90.7%
·····					(Not under treatment)	1,518	9.3%
2	) Diabetes (or uncontrolled bloc	od sugar)	(Valid responses:	35,649)	• No	29,616	83.1%
					• Yes	6,033	16.9%
					(Currently under treatment)	5 122	Q1 Q0/
					(Not under treatment)	3,433 482	91.9% 8.1%
3	) Mental disorder		(Valid responses:	35,712)	• No	32,188	90.1%
			•	. /	• Yes	3,524	9.9%
						-	
					(Currently under treatment)	2,518	73.1%
					(Currently not under treatment	593	17.2%
					(Not under treatment)	333	9.7%
4)	medical check ups in the past	one year	(Valid responses:	36,506)	• Yes	27,192	74.5%
,		-		,	• No	9,314	25.5%

					Persons	Percentage
Q4	Satisfaction with sleep	(Valid responses:	34,002 )	Sufficient	13,130	38.6%
				<ul> <li>Slightly insufficient</li> </ul>	16,142	47.5%
				<ul> <li>Very insufficient</li> </ul>	4,052	11.9%
				<ul> <li>Greatly insufficient</li> </ul>	678	2.0%
Q5	Frequency of exercising	(Valid responses:	36,918)	<ul> <li>Almost everyday</li> </ul>	6,100	16.5%
				<ul> <li>2-4 times a week</li> </ul>	9,077	24.6%
				<ul> <li>Once a week</li> </ul>	6,571	17.8%
				<ul> <li>Rarely</li> </ul>	15,170	41.1%
	In Fukushima	(Valid responses:	31,928)	<ul> <li>Almost everyday</li> </ul>	5,369	16.8%
				<ul> <li>2-4 times a week</li> </ul>	7,764	24.3%
				<ul> <li>Once a week</li> </ul>	5,695	17.8%
				<ul> <li>Rarely</li> </ul>	13,100	41.0%
	Outside the prefecture	(Valid responses:	4,990)	<ul> <li>Almost everyday</li> </ul>	731	14.6%
				<ul> <li>2-4 times a week</li> </ul>	1,313	26.3%
				<ul> <li>Once a week</li> </ul>	876	17.6%
				<ul> <li>Rarely</li> </ul>	2,070	41.5%
Q6	Living condition					
1)	Current place of residence	(Valid responses:	37,033 )	<ul> <li>In Fukushima</li> </ul>	31,712	85.6%
				Outside the prefecture	5,321	14.4%
2)	Do you live by yourself?	(Valid responses:	36,952 )	• Yes	6,431	17.4%
				• No	30,521	82.6%
3)	Do you work?	(Valid responses:	36,848 )	<ul> <li>Yes (I work)</li> </ul>	15,340	41.6%
	(Valid responses:			<ul> <li>No(include students,etc.)</li> </ul>	21,508	58.4%
Q7	Smoking	(Valid responses:	35,947)	<ul> <li>I have never smoked</li> </ul>	20,367	56.7%
				• I quit	10,359	28.8%
				• Yes	5,221	14.5%
		(Valid responses:	17,073)	Male	3,939	23.1%
		(Valid responses:	18,874)	Female	1,282	6.8%

					Persons	Percentage
Q8	Alcohol		,			
1)	Alcohol consumption	(Valid responses:	35,722 )	No, or rarely	19,243	53.9%
				l quit	1,816	5.1%
2)	Experiences related to clockal			Yes (Once a month or more)	14,663	41.0%
Z) 1	Experiences related to alconol				0 000	71 0%
١.	have you ever left the necessity of cut back on drinking?	(Valid responses:	13 771 )		9,099	71.9%
_		(valia responses.	10,7717	165	5,072	20.170
2.	Have you ever felt offended because		10 700 )	• No	12,775	93.1%
	others childized your drinking?	(Valid responses:	13,728 )	• Yes	953	6.9%
3.	Have you felt guilty about drinking?			• No	12,312	89.7%
		(Valid responses:	13,720 )	• Yes	1,408	10.3%
4.	Have you ever had an another drink in the			• No	12,947	94.5%
	morning for curing a hangover?	(Valid responses:	13,700)	• Yes	753	5.5%
				F	1,639	12.0%
		(Valid responses:	9,313)	Male	1,352	14.5%
		(Valid responses:	4,327 )	Female	287	6.6%
	Mala	(Valid responses:	734)	20.30	103	14 0%
	Wale	(Valid responses:	3 129 )	40-64	522	14.0 %
		(Valid responses:	5 450	65 and older	727	13.3%
		(14	0,400 /		121	10.070
	Female	(Valid responses:	841)	20-39	59	7.0%
		(Valid responses:	1,839	40-64	159	8.6%
		(Valid responses:	1,647 )	65 and older	69	4.2%
	Male	(Valid responses:	8,154)	In Fukushima	1,176	14.4%
		(Valid responses:	1,159)	Outside the prefecture	176	15.2%
	Female	(Valid responses:	3,600)	In Fukushima	225	6.3%
		(Valid responses:	727 )	Outside the prefecture	62	8.5%
Q9	General mental health status					
1)	Kessler psychological distress scale	(Valid responses:	32,871)	Average score	4.2 p	points
	(K6)	(Valid responses:	15,538)	Average score (Male)	3.9 p	points
		(Valid responses:	17,333)	Average score (Female)	4.5 p	points
				<ul> <li>         ≥ 13 points     </li> </ul>	1,989	6.1%
		(Valid responses:	15,538)	(Male)	819	5.3%
		(Valid responses:	17,333 )	(Female)	1,170	6.8%
		(Valid responses:	4,468 )	(16-39)	393	8.8%
		(Valid responses:	10,179)	(40-64)	717	7.0%
		(Valid responses:	18,224 )	(65 and older)	879	4.8%
		(Valid responses:	28,391)	(In Fukushima)	1,618	5.7%
		(Valid responses:	4,480)	(Outside the prefecture)	371	8.3%
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, /	· · · /		

Persons Pe	ercentage
------------	-----------

				1 0100110	roroontago
Q10 Influence of the COVID-19 panel	lemic				
1) Impact on daily life	(Valid responses:	35,817)	Not at all	12,501	34.9%
			Not much	8,425	23.5%
			To some extent	11,825	33.0%
			Very much	3,066	8.6%
Ma	e (Valid responses:	17,017 )	<ul> <li>Not at all</li> </ul>	6,154	36.2%
			Not much	3,944	23.2%
			To some extent	5,514	32.4%
			Very much	1,405	8.3%
Femal	e (Valid responses:	18,800)	<ul> <li>Not at all</li> </ul>	6,347	33.8%
			Not much	4,481	23.8%
			To some extent	6,311	33.6%
			<ul> <li>Very much</li> </ul>	1,661	8.8%
16-3	9 (Valid responses:	5,126)	<ul> <li>Not at all</li> </ul>	2,239	43.7%
			Not much	939	18.3%
			To some extent	1,483	28.9%
			Very much	465	9.1%
40-6	4 (Valid responses:	10,612 )	<ul> <li>Not at all</li> </ul>	3,561	33.6%
			Not much	2,514	23.7%
			To some extent	3,500	33.0%
			<ul> <li>Very much</li> </ul>	1,037	9.8%
65 and olde	er (Valid responses:	20,079 )	<ul> <li>Not at all</li> </ul>	6,701	33.4%
			Not much	4,972	24.8%
			To some extent	6,842	34.1%
			<ul> <li>Very much</li> </ul>	1,564	7.8%
Kessler psychological distress scal	e (Valid responses:	18,411 )	<ul> <li>Not at all / Not much</li> </ul>	607	3.3%
≥ 13 points on K6	(Valid responses:	13,207)	<ul> <li>To some extent / Very much</li> </ul>	1,243	9.4%
2) Interfering event during COVID	19 pandemic		Deterioration of health status	5,944	-
*Multiple answers allowed			<ul> <li>Deterioration of a family member</li> </ul>	3,472	-
			<ul> <li>Nursing care for a family member</li> </ul>	1,978	-
			<ul> <li>Got divorced/separated from the</li> </ul>	222	-
			<ul> <li>Started living apart from the famil</li> </ul>	670	-
			<ul> <li>Death of a family member</li> </ul>	1,381	-
			Death of a loved one other than fa	2,815	-
			<ul> <li>Started working or changed jobs</li> </ul>	733	-
			• Lost a job	498	-
			<ul> <li>Retired or quit a job</li> </ul>	527	-
			Increased interpersonal problems	5,858	-
			Entering higher education	1,726	-
			<ul> <li>Other significant event</li> </ul>	3,191	-

		Persons	Percentage
Q11 Risk perception of radiation health effects 1) Possibility of radiation health effects			
Health effects will likely to occur to the next generation and beyond	Verylow	8,343	24.9%
as a result of current radiation exposure.	Low	16,977	50.8%
(Valid responses: 33,447	)High	6,905	20.6%
	Very high	1,222	3.7%
In Fukushima (Valid responses: 28,927	) Very low	7,223	25.0%
	Low	14,907	51.5%
	High	5,839	20.2%
	Very high	958	3.3%
Outside the prefecture (Valid responses: 4,520	) Very low	1,120	24.8%
	Low	2,070	45.8%
	High	1,066	23.6%
	Very high	264	5.8%
2) Hindrance to daily life (Valid responses: 33,748	) • Frequently	683	2.0%
Daily life hindered by fear of radiation during the past month?	<ul> <li>Sometimes</li> </ul>	2,454	7.3%
	Rarely	4,946	14.7%
	Never	25,665	76.0%
問12 Availability of consultation resources (Valid responses: 36,884	)		
Do you have someone to consult with or talk about you	• Yes	29,937	81.2%
mental/physical problems?	• No	6,947	18.8%

### Implementation Status of the "Comprehensive Health Check," Fukushima Health Management Survey (FY2011-FY2022)

### 1. Overview of the Comprehensive Health Check

### 1-1 Purpose

The Great East Japan Earthquake and the accident at TEPCO's Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant led to a large-scale evacuation of residents. Many evacuees have since been concerned about their own health, due primarily to drastic changes in their lifestyle, such as diet and exercise habits, in addition to the loss of opportunity to undergo necessary health checks. In response to this situation, the Comprehensive Health Check (CHC) has been conducted to ascertain people's health status and use such data for the prevention of lifestyle diseases and early detection and treatment of diseases in general.

### 1-2 Coverage

- Residents registered in the covered area\* from March 11, 2011 to April 1, 2012 (including those who moved out of the area)
- · Registered residents in the covered area\* as of April 1 of the examination year
- Others, as warranted, based on Basic Survey results, even if the above conditions are not met
   \* Covered area: municipalities designated as evacuation zones in 2011
   Hirono Town, Naraha Town, Tomioka Town, Kawauchi Village, Okuma Town, Futaba Town, Namie Town,
   Katsurao Village, litate Village, Minamisoma City, Tamura City, Kawamata Town, and a part of Date City
   (specifically recommended for evacuation)

### 1-3 Health check items

Health check items differ according to age groups as follows.

Age group	Health check items
0-6 years old (preschool children and infants)	Height, weight [Following items are optional - pre-application only] CBC (red blood cell count, hematocrit, hemoglobin, platelet count, white blood cell count and differential)
7-15 years old (from 1st to 9th grade)	Height, weight, blood pressure, CBC (red blood cell count, hematocrit, hemoglobin, platelet count, white blood cell count and differential ) [Following items are optional - pre-application only] Blood biochemistry (AST, ALT, γ-GT, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, HbA1c, plasma glucose, serum creatinine, uric acid)
16 years old and older	Height, weight, abdominal circumference (BMI), blood pressure, <u>CBC</u> (red blood cell count, hematocrit, hemoglobin, platelet count, white blood cell count and differential), urinanalysis (urine sugar, urine protein, <u>urine</u> occult blood), blood biochemistry (AST, ALT, γ-GT, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, HbA1c, plasma glucose, <u>serum creatinine</u> , estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR], uric acid) *The underlined values are not routinely measured during specific health checks.

### 2. Implementation Status for FY2011 to FY2021

### 2-1 Methods

Health check venues are arranged as follows for the convenience of eligible persons.

Age group	Place of residence	Implementation method	Number of cooperating medical facilities in FY2021	Tabulation category
/ounger	Those living in Fukushima prefecture	Pediatric health checks at designated health check facilities in the prefecture	84	Pediatric health check in the prefecture
15 and <u>)</u>	Those living outside of the prefecture	Pediatric health checks at designated health check facilities outside of the prefecture	293 (of which, 174 facilities also accept those aged 16 or older)	Pediatric health check outside of the prefecture
		Additional health check items are added to specific health		Municipal general health check in the prefecture
		checks of general health checks conducted by municipalities.	_	Other *1
	Those living in Fukushima prefecture	Individual health checks conducted at designated health check facilities in the prefecture <sup>(*)</sup>	419	Individual health check in the prefecture
6 and older		Group health checks conducted by FMU <sup>(*)</sup>	28 venues in the prefecture (conducted 44 times)	Group health check in the prefecture
-	Those living outside	Additional health check items are added to specific health checks or general health checks conducted by municipalities.	_	Other *2
	the prefecture Individual health checks conducted at designated health check facilities outside of the prefecture		452 (of which, 174 facilities also accept those aged 15 or younger)	Individual health check outside of the prefecture

\*1 Other: Municipal health checks conducted in the prefecture by the county/municipal medical association or medical facilities \*2 Other: Municipal health checks conducted outside of the prefecture by cooperating facilities

### 2-2 Participation status

### A. Number of participants by method and by venue (in or outside the prefecture)

### (a) Participants ages 15 or younger

The participation rate for FY2021 was 12.4%, down by 1.1 points compared with a participation rate of 13.5% for FY2021, but the rate of decline was gradual.



											(Persons, %)
	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
	Confirmed data as of Sep. 11, 2012	Confirmed data as of July 5, 2013	Confirmed data as of Sep. 1, 2014	Confirmed data as of Sep. 1, 2015	Confirmed data as of Sep. 1, 2016	Confirmed data as of Dec. 31, 2017	Confirmed data as of Mar. 31, 2018	Confirmed data as of Mar. 31, 2019	Confirmed data as of Mar. 31, 2020	Confirmed data as of Mar. 31, 2021	Confirmed data as of Mar. 31, 2022
Eligible persons	27,819	27,077	26,474	25,883	25,296	24,600	23,660	22,744	21,580	20,515	19,440
Pediatric health checks in Fukushima	15,002	9,534	8,432	7,432	6,206	5,193	4,474	3,648	2,857	2,335	2,037
Pediatric health checks outside Fukushima	2,949	2,283	1,822	1,792	1,403	1,226	929	834	650	444	377
Number of those participated both of the above	17	37	6	8	6	6	0	3	3	0	0
Total (excluding those participated both)	17,934	11,780	10,248	9,216	7,603	6,413	5,403	4,479	3,504	2,779	2,414
Participation rate	64.5%	43.5%	38.7%	35.6%	30.1%	26.1%	22.8%	19.7%	16.2%	13.5%	12.4%

### (b) Participants ages 16 or older

The participation rate for FY2021 was 17.3%, an increase of 1.3 points compared with the 16.0% in FY2020. The major cause of this increase in the number of participants was considered to be the influence of COVID-19 in FY2020, as people refrained from going out and may have been reluctant to participate for a fear of infection.



											(Persons, %)
	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
	Confirmed data as of Sep. 11, 2012	Confirmed data as of July 5, 2013	Confirmed data as of Sep. 1, 2014	Confirmed data as of Sep. 1, 2015	Confirmed data as of Sep. 1, 2016	Confirmed data as of Dec. 31, 2017	Confirmed data as of Mar. 31, 2018	Confirmed data as of Mar. 31, 2019	Confirmed data as of Mar. 31, 2020	Confirmed data as of Mar. 31, 2021	Confirmed data as of Mar. 31, 2022
Eligible persons	182,370	184,910	186,970	188,328	190,019	191,101	191,636	191,974	192,651	193,358	193,576
Participants in municipal general health checkes in the prefecture	8,798	23,907	25,604	25,913	26,195	26,636	26,411	26,140	25,255	19,002	21,339
Participants in individual health checkes in the prefecture		6,692	5,806	4,927	4,443	3,941	3,782	3,730	2,869	3,771	3,927
Participants in group health checkes in the prefecture	41,949	10,603	6,767	5,808	5,183	4,341	3,963	3,776	2,444	3,496	3,396
Participants in individual health checkes outside the prefecture	3,815	3,055	3,205	3,418	3,332	2,118	2,102	2,087	1,988	1,847	1,809
Other *1, *2	2,045	3,206	2,017	1,846	2,113	3,011	3,154	3,122	3,001	2,941	3,187
Number of those participating in both of the above	208	454	359	38	55	57	45	40	32	92	76
Total (excluding those participating in both)	56,399	47,009	43,040	41,874	41,211	39,990	39,367	38,815	35,525	30,965	33,582
Participation rate	30.9%	25.4%	23.0%	22.2%	21.7%	20.9%	20.5%	20.2%	18.4%	16.0%	17.3%

\*1 Other: Municipal health checks conducted in the prefecture by the county/municipal medical association or medical facilities

\*2 Other: Municipal health checks conducted outside the prefecture by cooperating facilities

### B. Number of participants by age group

In FY2021, by age group, the numbers of participants ages 0 to 6, 7 to 15, and 16 to 39 decreased, while ages 40 to 64 and 65 or older increased compared with the previous year.

As to the trend of participation by age group, number of participants ages 65 or older have been increasing year by year and reached 56.3% in FY2021.

Changes in	the number of	participants by	age group		(persons)
	Ages 0 to 6	Ages 7 to 15	Ages 16 to 39	Ages 40 to 64	Ages 65 or older
FY2011	6,462	11,481	14,762	23,651	16,726
FY2012	4,365	7,437	8,480	19,553	18,642
FY2013	3,802	6,429	6,536	16,922	18,969
FY2014	3,328	5,840	5,843	15,594	19,166
FY2015	2,655	4,903	5,354	14,748	19,559
FY2016	2,057	4,315	4,632	13,386	19,768
FY2017	1,647	3,712	4,309	12,677	20,299
FY2018	1,220	3,169	3,979	11,948	20,337
FY2019	959	2,457	2,984	10,095	19,529
FY2020	783	1,936	3,157	8,791	16,853
FY2021	638	1,739	3,079	8,982	18,566

Changes in the number of participants by age group



\*Percentages in the graph are rounded, so totals may not be 100%.

\*Source: Materials for the 21<sup>st</sup>, 26<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 34<sup>th</sup>, 37<sup>th</sup>, 41<sup>st</sup> and 44<sup>th</sup> meetings of the Oversight Committee for the Fukushima Health Management Survey (including those who have participated in at least 1 health check item).

### [Reference]

FY2021 Number of eligible persons by area of residence (in or outside the prefecture) \*

15 or younger	In the prefecture	Outside the prefecture	Total	16 or older	In the prefecture	Outside the prefecture	Total
Eligible persons	16,510	2,930	19,440	Eligible persons	162,277	31,299	193,576
Participants	2,003	411	2,414	Participants	30,517	3,065	33,582
Participation rate	12.1%	14.0%	12.4%	Participation rate	18.8%	9.8%	17.3%

\*Eligible persons were divided into "in the prefecture" and "outside the prefecture" based on the mailing address to which health check invitations were sent. This method of division differs from that of dividing participants by health check type or by venue.

### 3. Implementation Status for FY2022 (as of March 31, 2023)

Covered population: 211,448 (ages 15 or younger: 18,253; ages 16 or older: 193,195)

F١	Y2022	Apr.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.
r younger	In the prefecture				Pedia	l tric health facilit articipan	 checks at ies in the   t <b>s 1,426</b> (l	designat prefecture Preliminar	ed medica e y data)	al			
Ages 15 o	Outside the prefecture				Pe	ediatric he Parti	alth checł outside cipants 2	ks at desig of the pro <b>78</b> (Prelim	gnated me efecture hinary data	dical facili	ities		
vges 16 or older	In the prefecture			Specific n Tamura town, Okuma	health che nunicipalitie a city, Mina Naraha to town, Futa <b>Particip</b>	ecks or gen es with add ami-soma own, Tomi aba town, and lita ants 22,2	eral health ditional exa ocity, Kaw ioka town, Namie tov ate village 213 (Preli	checks or mination it amata tov Kawauch wn, Katsu minary da	ganized by terms vn, Hironc i village, rao village ta)	/ .,	Group Pa (Prel Individua at mer Partici (Prelin	health chec rticipants 3,717 iminary dat l health che dical facilitie pants 3,67 minary data	a) ecks es )
4	Outside the prefecture				Healt	h checks Particip	l at designa Ft ants 1,75	ated medi ukushima 3 (Prelimi	cal facilitie nary data)	es outside	of		Y

#### 3-1 Eligible persons residing in Fukushima prefecture

#### A. For those ages 15 or younger

In the same manner as in the previous fiscal year, pediatric health checks at designated health check facilities conducted for a period of around six months from July to December 2022 (at 83 cooperating health check facilities).

#### B. For those ages 16 or older

CHC was conducted simultaneously with specific health checks and general health checks by municipalities by adding some health check items to regular health check items (hereinafter referred to as "add-on health checks") in the same manner as in the previous fiscal year in the 12 municipalities, excluding Date City.

Additionally, group health checks and individual health checks at designated health check facilities were also conducted from January 2023, covering eligible persons who could not receive add-on health checks (at 410 cooperating health check facilities for individual health checks).

### 3-2 Eligible persons residing outside the prefecture

After coordinating venues in the eligible participants' prefectures of residence, we prepared and sent invitation for health checks starting from the end of June.

### 3-3 Results reports and feedback

### A. Individual results reports

CHC individual results are mailed to each participant. In addition, face-to-face explanations of results are offered to those ages 15 or younger and their parents/guardians at the health check facilities where they received health checks.

### **B.** Preparation of a leaflet

When sending invitations for group or individual health checks to eligible persons ages 16 or older,

a leaflet summarizing what has been learned from the results of the CHC is enclosed. The leaflet theme changes every year: it was "Lifestyle Diseases" for FY2017, "Diabetes" for FY2018, "Metabolic Syndrome" for FY2019, "The Basis of Your Diet" for FY2020, and "The Importance of continuous health check participation" for FY2021. In FY2022, the theme was CKD (Chronic Kidney Disease), with a leaflet explaining the causes of the disease, incorporating findings of the Fukushima Health Management Survey (FHMS).

Also, in FY2022, the leaflet for those of ages 15 or younger was the same as for the adult CHC, with keys to improve and prevent pediatric obesity and lipid abnormalities, and also findings from the Pediatric CHC.

### C. Preparation of analysis reports on CHC results

We prepared CHC results analysis reports for each of the participating municipalities, showing temporal changes of their residents' health check results so that the residents can understand their health conditions.

We also performed additional analyses (e.g., analysis by age group and analysis of drinking, smoking, and exercise habits) at these municipalities' request.

### D. Holding health seminars

In order to deepen residents' understanding of the importance of receiving health checks every year and to support them to continue receiving health checks, we hold seminars at events such as health check results-reporting meetings or health classes organized by municipalities. In health seminars, medical doctors give health lectures to residents of the participating municipalities, presenting results and analyses of the CHC, and specialists offer individual consultations.

Tealar eennine			as of March of, 2020
Venue	Event name	Times	Contents
Naraha	Locomotion improvement program	9	<ul> <li>Individual consultation</li> <li>with health specialists</li> <li>Panel exhibition, leaflet</li> <li>distribution</li> </ul>
Town	General health check	10	<ul> <li>Panel exhibition</li> </ul>
	Individual Health Consultation Session	3	<ul> <li>Individual consultation</li> <li>with health specialists</li> <li>Panel exhibition</li> </ul>
Katsurao Village	Katsurao Festival	1	Panel exhibition
Tamura City	Stroke Prevention Program	1	<ul> <li>Lecture by a medical doctor</li> <li>Panel exhibition</li> </ul>
Kawamata Town	Health Supporters' Workshop	1	Lecture by a medical doctor

Health seminars conducted in FY2022

as of March 31, 2023

Total 25

### 3-4 Efforts to raise health awareness through the CHC

We have continuously conducted the following activities, using the CHC as an opportunity to raise residents' health awareness in the face of changing living conditions after a lapse of time since the Great East Japan Earthquake.

### A. Publicity efforts

We have requested that municipal and prefectural governments run notices of the CHC in their public

relations magazines to encourage as many residents as possible to receive health checks for prevention and/or treatment of diseases. We have also prepared posters and flyers to promote routine health checks and requested that medical facilities post them on the walls of their premises.

### B. Use of the Fukushima Kenmin App

The Fukushima Kenmin App is a smartphone application developed by the prefectural government to promote the health of Fukushima residents. As a part of our efforts to encourage residents to take interest in their own health and improve their lifestyle habits, such as starting and continuing exercise routines using the Kenmin App, we enclose a Kenmin App flyer in invitations to individual or group health checks for those ages 16 or older and award points for the Kenmin App (200 points with a result report).

### C. Securing venues for group health checks

Since the beginning of the CHC program, we have endeavored to secure health check venues in locations convenient to residents, for example, by setting up group health check venues in areas where there are many eligible residents. With the lifting of evacuation orders, we have also set up new venues in former evacuation zones and changed locations of venues in the area where there are only a small number of eligible residents.

### D. Efforts to deepen residents' understanding of health

We prepared a pamphlet entitled "The Health Check is Your Body's Report Card," which summarizes how to read health check results, explains diseases and preventive methods, and emphasizes the necessity of health checks. The pamphlet serves as a textbook in our health seminars.

### E. Posting and updating articles on the Center's website

To provide the general public with easy-to-understand information on prevention methods for lifestylerelated diseases, we started to post articles on our website and we update them on a regular basis.

# Report on the Results of the FY2021 Comprehensive Health Check Fukushima Health Management Survey (Participants Ages 15 or Younger)

### < Supplementary Notes >

\* Pediatric Health Checks were conducted during the following period.

FY2011 : January to March 2012

FY2012 to FY2021 : July to December of each fiscal year

\* Percentages of obese participants were evaluated using BMI Standard Deviation Scores (BMI SDS), which were calculated based on heights and weights of the participants measured periodically at ages from 0 to 15, and the results from FY2011 to FY2021 were compared.

\* Results of blood tests vary substantially by age, but since participants were divided broadly into two age groups, 0 to 6 years and 7 to 15 years, year-by-year comparisons are not possible and definitive conclusions cannot be drawn.

\* Rules for describing tabulation results are the same as those used for *Vital Statistics in Japan* by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, including this nomenclature:

When there is no data:

When the ratio is minor (lower than 0.05) : 0.0%

### \* Reference materials

- FY2011 to FY2014 : Material 3-2 "Basic Statistics of CHC Results by Health Check Item" for the 21st Prefectural Oversight Committee Meeting for the Fukushima Health Management Survey
- FY2015: Material 3-2 "Basic Statistics of CHC Results by Health Check Item" for the 26th Prefectural Oversight Committee Meeting for the Fukushima Health Management Survey
- FY2016: Material 2-3 "Basic Statistics of CHC Results by Health Check Item" for the 30th Prefectural Oversight Committee Meeting for the Fukushima Health Management Survey
- FY2017: Material 2-3 "Basic Statistics of CHC Results by Health Check Item" for the 34th Prefectural Oversight Committee Meeting for the Fukushima Health Management Survey
- FY2018: Material 4-4 "Tabulation Results by Health Check Item" for the 37th Prefectural Oversight Committee Meeting for the Fukushima Health Management Survey
- FY2019: Material 3-4 "Tabulation Results by Health Check Item" for the 41st Prefectural Oversight Committee Meeting for the Fukushima Health Management Survey
- FY2020: Material 4-4 "Tabulation Results by Health Check Item" for the 44th Prefectural Oversight Committee Meeting for the Fukushima Health Management Survey

### Physical Exam (percentage with obesity based on BMI SD scores):

### 1. Results

### [Participants ages 0 to 5]

The percentage of obese boys who were ages 0 to 5 at the time of the exam (BMI-SDS $\geq$ 2), which was the highest in FY2011, showed a downward trend until FY2014 and then showed no specific trend thereafter. The percentage of obese girls who were ages 0 to 5 at the time of the exam (BMI-SDS $\geq$ 2), which was the highest in FY2011, showed a downward trend until FY2016 and then showed no specific trend thereafter.





BMI-SDS (0-5 years old)

SD

SD score  $\geq 2$  (%)

Boys ages 0 - 5 at the time of health check

	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
Participants	2,710	1,942	1,757	1,517	1,156	908	722	582	454	391	305
Average age	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2
Average BMI-SDS	0.627	0.398	0.405	0.326	0.322	0.335	0.283	0.288	0.265	0.346	0.323
SD	1.011	1.082	1.032	1.033	0.989	1.029	1.047	1.103	1.096	1.038	1.053
SD score $\geq 2$ (%)	7.5	6.3	5.4	4.1	4.2	4.5	5.5	5.8	4.6	4.6	5.9
Girls ages 0 - 5 at th	e time of h	ealth check	ζ.								
	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
Participants	2,688	1,955	1,667	1,467	1,181	893	741	539	437	320	279
Average age	3.5	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.3
Average BMI SDS	0 559	0 333	0 304	0 279	0.314	0 317	0 330	0 201	0.265	0 447	0 447

Cited file for calculation:

0.984

6.8

1.018

5.3

1.010

4.9

0.991

4.5

Growth Research Committee, The Japanese Association for Human Auxology/The Japanese Society for Pediatric Endocrinology: <u>http://jspe.umin.jp/medical/chart\_dl.html</u> (accessed November 18, 2021)

0.988

4.7

0.965

3.7

1.018

5.5

1.011

4.8

1.037

3.9

1.028

6.6

1.008

6.8

#### [Participants ages 6 to 15]

The percentage of obese participants who were ages 6 to 15 at the time of the exam (BMI-SDS≥2), which was the highest in FY2011, showed a downward trend until FY2014 and then showed no specific trend thereafter either boys or girls.





#### BMI-SDS (6-15 years old)

Boys ages 6 - 15 at the time of health check

	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
Participants	6,318	4,042	3,484	3,165	2,711	2,367	1,981	1,650	1,266	1,016	914
Average age	10.9	10.6	10.6	10.6	10.7	10.7	10.6	10.8	11.0	11.3	11.4
Average BMI-SDS	0.168	0.066	0.090	0.051	0.046	0.018	0.076	0.061	0.045	0.154	0.123
SD	1.048	1.127	1.089	1.076	1.097	1.113	1.066	1.074	1.158	1.082	1.075
SD score ≥ 2 (%)	4.0	3.2	3.4	3.1	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	2.6	3.7	2.8
Girls ages 6 -15 at the time of health check											

	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
Participants	6,209	3,862	3,322	3,020	2,510	2,204	1,915	1,614	1,259	992	878
Average age	11.0	10.7	10.6	10.6	10.6	10.6	10.5	10.7	11.1	11.2	11.4
Average BMI-SDS	0.135	0.004	-0.001	-0.014	0.021	0.006	0.000	-0.011	-0.070	0.019	0.001
SD	0.993	1.023	1.002	0.988	0.981	1.017	0.991	1.002	1.000	1.007	0.985
SD score ≥ 2 (%)	3.3	2.5	2.6	2.1	2.4	2.8	2.6	2.2	1.8	2.1	2.3

Cited file for calculation:

Growth Research Committee, The Japanese Association for Human Auxology/The Japanese Society for Pediatric Endocrinology: <u>http://jspe.umin.jp/medical/chart\_dl.html</u> (accessed November 18, 2021)

#### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

A body mass index standard deviation score (BMI SDS) was calculated from height and weight; those with a BMI-SDS of 2 or higher were classified as obese.

### 3. Action Threshold

ltem	Obese
BMI-SDS	≥ 2 SD

\* When evaluating the physical constitution of Japanese children, it is considered appropriate to use thresholds based on the anthropometric data published by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare and the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology in FY2000, as the standard values ("Fundamental Concept for the Evaluation of Japanese Children's Physical Constitution" prepared by the Joint Committee for Standard Values of the Japanese Society for Pediatric Endocrinology and the Japanese Association for Human Auxology).

In this report, the standard values calculated based on the FY2000 measurement results were used.

### Physical Exam: Blood Pressure

### 1. Results

The percentage of boys with systolic blood pressures of 140 mmHg or over was the highest in FY2011 and showed a downward trend thereafter, but it showed increase in FY2021. No specific trend was observed in the percentage of boys with diastolic blood pressures of 90 mmHg or over. The percentage of girls with systolic blood pressures of 140 mmHg or over showed no substantial changes. The percentage of girls with diastolic blood pressures of 90 mmHg or over also showed no substantial changes.



The percentage of boys with systolic blood pressures of 135 mmHg or over was the highest in FY2011 and varied up and down thereafter until FY2020, and slightly increased in FY2021 compare to FY2011. The percentage of boys with diastolic blood pressures of 80 mmHg or over was the highest in FY2011, and showed a declining trend thereafter through FY2021.

The percentage of girls with systolic blood pressures of 135 mmHg or over showed no substantial changes from FY2011 to FY2019, showed an upward trend in FY2020, then a downward trend in FY2021. The percentage of girls with diastolic blood pressures of 80 mmHg or over was the highest in FY2011, varied up and down thereafter, and slightly decreased in FY2021.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

In the Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension (Japanese Society of Hypertension, 2019), systolic blood pressures of 140 mmHg or over and diastolic blood pressures of 90 mmHg are action values used for group and individual health checks for those ages 16 or older; systolic blood pressures of 135 mmHg or over and diastolic blood pressures of 80 mmHg or over are action values for higher-grade elementary school students.

### 3. Reference Intervals for Blood Pressure by Age Group and by Sex

Age group	Systolic blood pressure level (mmHg)	Diastolic blood pressure level (mmHg)
Infants	≥120	≥70
Elementary school: Lower grades	≥130	≥80
Higher grades	≥135	≥80
Junior high school: Boys	≥140	≥85
Girls	≥135	≥80
High school	≥140	≥85

Source: Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension (Japanese Society of Hypertension, 2019)

### Peripheral Blood Test: Red Blood Cells, Hemoglobin, Hematocrit

### 1. Results

There were no substantial changes in red blood cell counts, hemoglobin, or hematocrit for either boys or girls in any age group.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

The graphs show changes in the average values of red blood cell counts, hemoglobin levels, and hematocrit levels.

### 3. Reference Intervals

Age Red blood cells (×10 <sup>12</sup> /L)		Hemoglobin (g/dL)	Hematocrit (%)	
At birth	5.25±0.40	16.6±1.5	53±4.5	
1 day old	5.14±0.60	19.0±2.0	58±5.5	
1 week old	4.86±0.60	17.9±1.5	56±6.0	
1 month old	4.10±0.60	14.2±2.0	43±6.0	
3 months old	3.70±0.35	11.3±1.0	33±3.0	
6 months old	4.60±0.35	12.3±1.0	36±3.0	
12 months old	4.60±0.40	11.6±0.75	36±1.5	
Ages 1 – 4	4.70±0.35	12.6±0.5	38±1.5	
Ages 4 – 12	4.80±0.30	13.0±1.0	40±2.5	
Adult males	5.40±0.35	16.0±1.0	47±3.0	
Adult females	48.0±0.30	14.0±1.0	42±2.5	

\* Average value ± standard deviation

\* By international consensus, red blood cell counts are expressed as numbers  $\times 10^{12}$ /L or  $\times 10^{6}$ /µL). Source: Clinical Management of Laboratory Data in Pediatrics 2017 (2<sup>nd</sup> edition)

### Peripheral Blood Test: Platelet Count

### 1. Results

There were no substantial changes in platelet counts for either boys or girls in any age group.



### 2. Explanation of the Graph

The graph shows changes in the average values of platelet counts.

### 3. Reference Interval

Item	Reference interval
Number of blood	150 - 400
platelets (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	150 - 400

\*By international consensus, platelet counts are expressed as numbers ×10<sup>9</sup>/L or ×10<sup>3</sup>/µL. Source: Clinical Management of Laboratory Data in Pediatrics 2017 (2<sup>nd</sup> edition)

### Peripheral Blood Test: White Blood Cell Count and Differential

### 1. Results

There were no substantial changes in white blood cell count or differential for either boys or girls in any age group.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

The graphs show changes in the average values of white blood cell counts and differentials.

### 3. Reference Intervals

Age	Average	Range	Age	Average	Range
At birth	18.1	9.0-30.0	Ages 1	11.4	6.0-17.5
12 hours old	22.8	13.0-38.0	Ages 2	10.6	6.0-17.0
24 hours old	18.9	9.4-34.0	Ages 4	9.1	5.5-15.5
1 week old	12.2	5.0-21.0	Ages 6	8.5	5.0-14.5
2 weeks old	11.4	5.0-20.0	Ages 8	8.3	4.5-13.5
1 month old	10.8	5.0-19.5	Ages 10	8.1	4.5-13.5
6 months old	11.9	6.0-17.5	Ages 16	7.8	4.5-13.0
			Ages 21	7.4	4.5-11.0

### Total number of white blood cells (×10<sup>9</sup>/L)

\* By international consensus, white blood cell counts are expressed as numbers  $\times 10^{9}$ /L or  $\times 10^{3}$ /µL). Source: Clinical Management of Laboratory Data in Pediatrics 2017 (2<sup>nd</sup> edition)

### Neutrophil, lymphocyte, monocyte and eosinophil counts and percentages

	(x10 <sup>3</sup> /μL; Range is the 95% confidence interval.)							)		
Ago	Neutrophil count		Lym	Lymphocyte count			Monocyte count		Eosinophil count	
Age	Average	Range	%	Average	Range	%	Average	%	Average	%
At birth	11.0	6.0-26.0	61	5.5	2.0-11.0	31	1.1	6	0.4	2
12 hours old	15.5	6.0-28.0	68	5.5	2.0-11.0	24	1.2	5	0.5	2
24 hours old	11.5	5.0-21.0	61	5.8	2.0-11.5	31	1.1	6	0.5	2
1 week old	5.5	1.5-10.0	45	5.0	2.0-17.0	41	1.1	9	0.5	4
2 weeks old	4.5	1.0-9.5	40	5.5	2.0-17.0	48	1.0	9	0.4	3
1 month old	3.8	1.0-9.0	35	6.0	2.5-16.5	56	0.7	7	0.3	3
6 months old	3.8	1.0-8.5	32	7.3	4.0-13.5	61	0.6	5	0.3	3
Ages 1	3.5	1.5-8.5	31	7.0	4.0-10.5	61	0.6	5	0.3	3
Ages 2	3.5	1.5-8.5	33	6.3	3.0-9.5	59	0.5	5	0.3	3
Ages 4	3.8	1.5-8.5	42	4.5	2.0-8.0	50	0.5	5	0.3	3
Ages 6	4.3	1.5-8.0	51	3.5	1.5-7.0	42	0.4	5	0.2	3
Ages 8	4.4	1.5-8.0	53	3.3	1.5-6.8	39	0.4	4	0.2	2
Ages 10	4.4	1.8-8.0	54	3.1	1.5-6.5	38	0.4	4	0.2	2
Ages 16	4.4	1.8-8.0	57	2.8	1.2-5.2	35	0.4	5	0.2	3
Ages 21	4.4	1.8-7.7	59	2.5	1.0-4.8	34	0.3	4	0.2	3

Source: Clinical Management of Laboratory Data in Pediatrics 2017 (2<sup>nd</sup> edition)

### Liver Function: AST, ALT, y-GT

### 1. Results

Liver dysfunction was found more often among boys than among girls in all fiscal years. The percentages showed no substantial changes for either boys or girls.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

An AST level of 31 U/L or over, an ALT level of 31 U/L or over, and a  $\gamma$ -GT level of 51 U/L or over are action values used for group and individual health checks for those ages 16 or older.

### 3. Reference Intervals

AST(GOT)	U/L)
----------	------

Age	Males	Females
1 month old	19-61	20-71
6 months old	25-85	22-76
Ages 1	23-51	22-50
Ages 3	20-45	20-44
Ages 6	17-39	16-38
Ages 12	14-33	12-30
Adults	30 or	lower

#### ALT(GPT) (U/L)

Age	Males	Females
1 month old	10-50	11-68
6 months old	12-62	10-63
Ages 1	5-25	5-31
Ages 3	4-24	5-27
Ages 6	4-23	4-25
Ages 12	3-20	3-18
Adults	30 or	lower

### $\gamma - GT(U/L)$

	Males	Females		
Adults	0-50	0-30		
From children to young adults	γ-GT levels normally reach adult values 5 to 6 months after birth.			
Newborns	5 to 6 times the normal upper limit			

Source: Clinical Management of Laboratory Data in Pediatrics 2017 (2<sup>nd</sup> edition)

### Lipids: LDL Cholesterol, Triglycerides, HDL Cholesterol

### 1. Results

The percentage of both boys and girls with LDL-C levels of 140 mg/dL or over were variable with a repetitive ups and downs, but showed an increase in FY2021.

The percentage of boys with triglyceride levels of 140 mg/dL or over showed cyclic ups and downs from FY2011 to FY2020, but increased in FY2021. There were no substantial changes in the percentage of girls with triglyceride levels of 140 mg/dL or over.

There were no substantial trends in the percentage of either both boys or girls with HDL-C levels lower than 40 mg/dL.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

Determination of hyperlipidemia was based on the following reference intervals.

### 3. Reference intervals for diagnosing hyperlipidemia for children (elementary and junior high school students, fasting blood sampling)

LDL cholesterol (LDL-C)	≥140 mg / dL
Triglycerides (TG)	≥140 mg / dL
HDL cholesterol (HDL-C)	< 40 mg / dL

Source: Japan Atherosclerosis Society (JAS) Guidelines for Prevention of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Diseases 2022

### Blood Glucose: Fasting Blood Glucose, HbA1c

### 1. Results

Both for boys and girls, the percentages of those whose fasting blood glucose level was 100 mg/dL or over hit a peak in FY2011, decreased through FY2012, and maintained almost the same levels thereafter. There were no substantial changes in the percentage of those with fasting blood glucose level of 126 mg/dL or over for either boys or girls.

The percentage of those with HbA1c levels of 5.6% or over cycled up and down both for boys and girls. There were also no substantial differences in the percentages of those whose HbA1c level was 6.5% or over between boys and girls, and the percentages remained unchanged both for boys and girls.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

Determinations of the existence of a high blood glucose level (fasting blood glucose level of 100 mg/dL or over and HbA1c level of 5.6% or over) and diabetes (fasting blood glucose level of 126 mg/dL or over and HbA1c level of 6.5% or over) were based on the following reference intervals, applicable to children and adults.

### 3. Reference Intervals

# Classification and determination criteria based on fasting blood glucose level and through 75g OGTT

		Measurement time				
	Fasting		2-hours postprandial	Classification		
Blood glucose (venous plasma level)	126 mg/dL or over					

### **Renal Function (Serum Creatinine)**

### 1. Results

The percentage of children having stage 2 or higher chronic kidney disease showed no specific trend from FY2011 to FY2021 for either boys or girls.



### 2. Explanation of the Graph

The graph shows the percentages of children who were diagnosed as having stage 2 or higher chronic kidney disease, based on their serum creatinine levels and the following reference intervals.

### 3. Reference Intervals

Table for determining chronic kidney disease (CKD) stages based on serum creatinine levels (mg/dL)

Age	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	Stage 5
7	0.50-	0.75-	1.49-	2.97-
8	0.54-	0.81-	1.61-	3.21-
9	0.55-	0.83-	1.65-	3.29-
10	0.55-	0.83-	1.65-	3.29-
11	0.61-	0.91-	1.81-	3.61-

Age	Staç	Stage 2		Stage 3		ge 4	Sta	ge 5
Sex	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls
12	0.71-	0.70-	1.07-	1.05-	2.13-	2.09-	4.25-	4.17-
13	0.79-	0.71-	1.19-	1.07-	2.37-	2.13-	4.73-	4.25-
14	0.87-	0.78-	1.31-	1.17-	2.61-	2.33-	5.21-	4.65-
15	0.91-	0.75-	1.37-	1.13-	2.73-	2.25-	5.45-	4.49-

Source: "Child Chronic Kidney Disease: Guidelines for Renal Impairment Diagnosis and Renal Function Assessment for Children" (2019) by the Guidelines Editorial Board

### Uric Acid

### 1. Results

The percentage of boys with uric acid of 7.1 mg/dL or over showed an increasing tendency from FY2011 through FY2020, but decreased slightly in FY2021. The percentage of girls with uric acid of 7.1 mg/dL or over showed no substantial changes.

There were no substantial changes in the percentage of boys with uric acid of 7.9 mg/dL or over. The percentage of girls with uric acid of 5.6 mg/dL or over showed cyclic increases and decreases.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

Determination of hyperuricemia was based on the following reference intervals.

### 3. Reference Intervals

Definition of hyperuricemia in the "Guidelines for the Management of Hyperuricemia and Gout" by the Japanese Society of Gout and	Uric acid: 7.1 mg/dL or higher
Uric & Nucleic Acids.	
Values exceeding the upper limits of the common reference	Uric acid
intervals established by the Japanese Committee for Clinical	Boys: 7.9 mg/dL or higher
Laboratory Standards	Girls: 5.6 mg/dL or higher

## Report on the Results of the FY2021 Comprehensive Health Check Fukushima Health Management Survey (Participants Ages 16 or Older)

### < Supplementary Notes >

- \* Participants ages 16 or older were divided into three age groups: 16 to 39 years, 40 to 64 years, and 65 years or older, with results compiled and shown accordingly.
- \* Because individuals shift from one age group to another, year-by-year comparisons are difficult, and definitive conclusions cannot be drawn.
- \* Rules for describing tabulation results are the same as those used for Vital Statistics in Japan by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.
  - When there is no data: -

When the ratio is minor (lower than 0.05): 0.0%

\* Reference materials

FY2011 to FY2014	: Material 3-2 "Basic Statistics of CHC Results by Health Check Item" for the 21st
	Prefectural Oversight Committee Meeting for the Fukushima Health Management
	Survey
FY2015:	Material 3-2 "Basic Statistics of CHC Results by Health Check Item" for the 26th
	Prefectural Oversight Committee Meeting for the Fukushima Health Management
	Survey
FY2016:	Material 2-3 "Basic Statistics of CHC Results by Health Check Item" for the 30th
	Prefectural Oversight Committee Meeting for the Fukushima Health Management
	Survey
FY2017:	Material 2-3 "Basic Statistics of CHC Results by Health Check Item" for the 34th
	Prefectural Oversight Committee Meeting for the Fukushima Health Management
	Survey
FY2018:	Material 4-4 "Tabulation Results by Health Check Item" for the 37th Prefectural
	Oversight Committee Meeting for the Fukushima Health Management Survey
FY2019:	Material 3-4 "Tabulation Results by Health Check Item" for the 41st Prefectural
	Oversight Committee Meeting for the Fukushima Health Management Survey
FY2020:	Material 4-4 "Tabulation Results by Health Check Item" for the 44th Prefectural
	Oversight Committee Meeting for the Fukushima Health Management Survey

### **Physical Exam: BMI**

### 1. Results

Compared with the results for FY2016, the percentage of males with BMI of 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or over increased in FY2017 for all age groups, with no substantial changes thereafter to FY2021.

The percentage of females with BMI of 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or over showed an upward trend among those ages 16 to 39 from FY2011 to FY2021. The same percentage increased slightly among those ages 40 to 64 from FY2014 to FY2020, but decreased slightly in FY2021. Among those ages 65 or older, it showed a decreasing trend through FY2011 to FY2021



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

A BMI was calculated based on measured heights and weights and those with a BMI of 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or over were classified as obese.

BMI = Weight (kg) / Height (m) / Height (m)

### 3. Reference Intervals and Action Thresholds

#### Degrees of obesity

BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Classification		WHO standards
BMI < 18.5	Underweight		Underweight
18.5 ≤ BMI < 25	Normal weight		Normal range
25 ≤ BMI < 30	Obese (level 1)		Pre-obese
30 ≤ BMI < 35	Obese (level 2)		Obese class I
35 ≤ BMI < 40	Severe	Obese (level 3)	Obese class II
40 ≤ BMI	obesity	Obese (level 4)	Obese class III

\*Source: "Guidelines for the Management of Obesity Disease 2022" by the Japan Society for the Study of obesity
### **Physical Exam: Abdominal Circumference**

### 1. Results

The percentage of males with abdominal circumference of 85.0 cm or over decreased among those ages 16 to 39 from FY2011 to FY2013, remained almost the same from FY2014 onward, but showed an upward trend through FY2021.

The percentage of females with abdominal circumference of 90.0 cm or over increased among those ages 40 to 64 from FY2011 to FY2020, but decreased in FY2021.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

Levels of the waist circumference (abdominal circumference), which serve as one of the diagnostic criteria for metabolic syndrome, were evaluated based on the following reference intervals.

#### 3. Reference Intervals

#### Diagnostic criteria for metabolic syndrome

Visceral fat (intra-abdominal fat) accumulation			
Waist circumference	Males ≥ 85 cm Females ≥ 90 cm		
(Visceral fat area: Equivale	nt to $\geq$ 100 cm <sup>2</sup> for both males and		
females)			
Two or more of the fo	llowing, in addition to the above		
Hypertriglyceridemia and/or	≥ 150 mg/dL		
Hypo-HDL cholesterolemia	< 40 mg/dL for both males and females		
Systolic blood pressure and/or	≥ 130 mmHg		
Diastolic blood pressure	≥ 85 mmHg		
Fasting hyperglycemia	≥ 110 mg/dL		

\*Source: "Definition and Diagnostic Criteria for Metabolic Syndrome (2005)" by the Metabolic Syndrome Diagnostic Standards Review Committee

### **Physical Exam: Blood Pressure**

### 1. Results

The percentage of those with systolic blood pressure levels of 140 mmHg or over decreased both among males and females ages 40 or older from FY2011 to FY2014. These percentages showed a cyclic increase and decrease thereafter.

The percentage of those with diastolic blood pressure levels of 90 mmHg or over decreased among both males and females age 40 or older from FY2011 to FY2014, and showed no substantial changes thereafter.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

Determinations of systolic hypertension and diastolic hypertension were based on the following reference intervals.

### 3. Reference Intervals

#### Classification of adults' blood pressure levels

	Office bloc	od pressur	e (mmHg)	Home blo	od pressi	ure (mmHg)
Classification	Systolic BP		Diastolic BP	Systolic BP		Diastolic BP
Normal BP	< 120	and	< 80	< 115	and	< 75
High normal BP	120–129	and	< 80	115–124	and	< 75
High BP	130–139	and/or	80–89	125–134	and/or	75–84
Level 1 hypertension	140–159	and/or	90–99	135–144	and/or	85–89
Level 2 hypertension	160–179	and/or	100–109	145–159	and/or	90–99
Level 3 hypertension	≥ 180	and/or	≥ 110	≥ 160	and/or	≥ 100
(Isolated) systolic hypertension	≥ 140	and	< 90	≥ 135	and	< 85

Source: "Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension 2019" by the Japanese Society of Hypertension

### Urine Tests: Urine Sugar, Urine Protein, Urine Occult Blood

#### 1. Results

The percentage of those with a urine sugar level of 1+ or over showed an upward trend among those ages 40 or older from FY2015.

The percentage of those with a urine protein level of 1+ or over increased among those ages 16 to 39 and those ages 65 or older from FY2011 to FY2020, but showed a decrease in FY2021.

The percentage of those with a urine occult blood level of 1+ or over decreased among those ages 65 or older from FY2011 to FY2021.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

Determination of the existence of abnormalities in urine test results was based on the following reference intervals.

#### 3. Screening Values (Diagnostic criteria used for group and individual health checks)

Diagnosis Item	Expected	Action Threshold	Abnormality
Urine sugar	(-)	(±)	(+) or over
Urine protein	(-)	(±)	(+) or over
Urine occult blood	(-)	(±)	(+) or over

### Peripheral Blood Tests: Red Blood Cells, Hemoglobin, Hematocrit

#### 1. Results

The average red blood cell count and the average hemoglobin level decreased for all age groups from FY2011 to FY2012, but without substantial changes.

The percentage of males with hemoglobin levels of 13.0 g/dL or lower increased among those ages 65 or older from FY2011 to FY2012 and showing no sign of a trend thereafter. The percentage of females with hemoglobin levels of 12.0 g/dL or lower increased among those ages 65 or older from FY2011 to FY2012 and then fluctuated up and down thereafter.



There were no substantial changes in hematocrit levels in any age groups.

#### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

The graphs show changes in average values of red blood cell counts, hemoglobin levels, and hematocrit levels. The WHO standards for anemia are 13.0 g/dL or lower for males and 12.0 g/dL or lower for females.

### 3. Reference Intervals

Item	Unit		Lower reference limit	Upper reference limit
	106/ 1	Male	4.35	5.55
Red blood cell count	10º/µL	Female	3.86	4.92
		Male	13.7	16.8
Hemoglobin	g/dL	Female	11.6	14.8
	0/	Male	40.7	50.1
Hematocrit	%	Female	35.1	44.4

Source: "Guidelines for Clinical Laboratory Tests 2021" (JSLM2021) by the Japanese Society of Laboratory Medicine

### Peripheral Blood Test: Platelet Count

### 1. Results

There were no substantial changes in the average platelet count from FY2011 to FY2021 in any age group.



### 2. Explanation of the Graph

The graph shows changes in average values of platelet counts.

3. Reference Intervals and Action Thresholds (diagnostic criteria used for group and individual health checks)

Diagnosis Item	Reference Interval	Action Thresholds		Abnor	mality	Units
Number of blood platelets	130–369	90–129	370– 449	89 or Iower	450 or over	×10³/µL

### Peripheral Blood Test: White Blood Cell Count and Differential

### 1. Results

There were no substantial changes in the average white blood cell count from FY2011 to FY2021 in any age group.

There were also no substantial changes in the average neutrophil, lymphocyte, monocyte, eosinophil, or basophil counts from FY2011 to FY2021 in any age group.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

The graphs show changes in average values of white blood cell counts and differentials.

# 3. Reference Intervals and Action Thresholds (diagnostic criteria used for group and individual health checks)

Item	Diagnosis	Reference Interval	Action Th	nresholds	Abnor	mality	Unit
Number of w	vhite blood cells	4.0–9.5	3.0–3.9	9.6–11.0	2.9 or lower	11.1 or over	×10³/µL
Differential	Neutrophils	40.0–75.0					
Leucocyte	Lymphocytes	20.0–55.0					
Counts	Monocytes	0–12.0					%
(DLCs,	Eosinophils	0–10.0					
Reterence)	Basophils	0–3.0					

# Liver Function: AST, ALT, y-GT

### 1. Results

The percentages of those with AST of 31 U/L or over, those with ALT of 31 U/L or over, and those with  $\gamma$ -GT of 51 U/L or over showed no substantial changes in any age group.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

Determination of hepatic dysfunction was based on the following reference intervals.

# 3. Reference Intervals and Action Thresholds (diagnostic criteria used for group and individual health checks)

Diagnosis Item	Reference Interval	Action Threshold	Abnormality	Unit
AST (GOT)	30 or lower	31-50	51 or over	U/L
ALT (GPT)	30 or lower	31-50	51 or over	U/L
γ-GT	50 or lower	51-100	101 or over	U/L

### Lipids: LDL Cholesterol, Triglycerides, HDL Cholesterol

### 1. Results

The percentages of those with LDL-C of 140 mg/dL or over and those with triglycerides of 150 mg/dL or over slightly decreased among those ages 65 or older from FY2011 to FY2012, but remained almost the same thereafter.

The percentages of those with HDL-C below 40 mg/dL decreased from FY2011 through FY2017 for ages 65 or older, but tended to increase thereafter.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

Determination of hyperlipidemia was based on the following reference intervals.

### 3. Reference Intervals

#### Diagnostic criteria for hyperlipidemia (fasting blood sampling)

	140 mg/dL or over	Hyper-LDL-cholesterolemia
LDL cholesterol	120–139 mg/dL	Borderline hyper-LDL-cholesterolemia
HDL cholesterol	Lower than 40 mg/dL	Hypo-HDL-cholesterolemia
Triglycerides (neutral fats)	150 mg/dL or over	Hypertriglyceridemia

Source: "Guidelines for the Prevention of Arteriosclerotic Diseases 2022" by the Japan Atherosclerosis Society

### Blood Glucose (Fasting Blood Glucose, HbA1c)

### 1. Results

Among males and females ages 65 or older, the percentages of those with fasting blood glucose of 100 mg/dL or over decreased from FY2011 to FY2012, then showed slight increases thereafter until FY2020, but slightly decreased in FY2021.

The percentage of males ages 65 or older with fasting blood glucose of 126 mg/dL or over was on a downward trend from FY2011 to FY2012, but showed slight increases thereafter until FY2020 and a slight decrease in FY2021.

The percentage of females ages 65 or older with fasting blood glucose of 126 mg/dL or over was on a downward trend from FY2011 to FY2013, then trended slightly upward through FY2020, but decreased slightly in FY2021.

The percentages of those with HbA1c of 5.6% or over increased in ages 40 years and older from FY2011 to FY2019. The relevant percentages decreased slightly in FY2021 but were higher compared with levels in FY2011.

The percentage of those who were diagnosed as having diabetes (HbA1c of 6.5% or over) was on an upward trend from FY2011 to FY2021 for the age group of 65 and older.



# 2. Explanation of the Graphs

Determinations of the existence of a high blood glucose (fasting blood glucose of 100 mg/dL or over and HbA1c of 5.6% or over) and diabetes (fasting blood glucose of 126 mg/dL or over and HbA1c of 6.5% or over) were based on the following reference intervals.

### 3. Reference Intervals

### Classification and diagnostic criteria using fasting blood glucose and 75g OGTT

	Tii			
	Fasting		2 hours postprandial	Classification
Dised shases	126 mg/dL or over	OR	200 mg/dL or over	Diabetes
(venous plasma	Intermediate va	alues, neither diab	etic nor normal	Borderline
level)	Less than 110 mg/dL	AND	Less than 140 mg/dL	Normal

- 1) Fasting plasma glucose of 126 mg/dL or over in the early morning
- 2) Plasma glucose of 200 mg/dL or over at 2 hours after a 75g OGTT
- 3) Casual plasma glucose of 200 mg/dL or over
- 4) HbA1c level of 6.5% or over
- 5) Fasting plasma glucose of lower than 110 mg/dL in the early morning
- 6) Plasma glucose of lower than 140 mg/dL at 2 hours after a 75g OGTT
- •Individuals who are not diagnosed as diabetic or normal will be classified as borderline.

Source: "Japanese Clinical Practice Guideline for Diabetes 2022–2023" by the Japan Diabetes Society

\*In this report, based on the "Epidemiological study: For the purpose of estimating the frequency of diabetes mellitus," 'diabetes mellitus' can be substituted for the determination of 'diabetic type' from a single examination. In this case, HbA1c of 6.5% (HbA1c (JDS)  $\geq 6.1\%$ ) alone can be defined as diagnostic of diabetes mellitus. Source: "Report of the Committee on the Classification and Diagnostic Criteria of Diabetes Mellitus (2012)" (Japan Diabetes Society).

### Criteria for conducting a detailed health check (additional check items based on a doctor's judgment)

Blood glucose level	Fasting blood glucose of 100 mg/dL or over and HbA1c (NGSP level) of 5.6% or over or casual blood glucose of 100 mg/dL or over	
---------------------	--	--

Source: "Guidelines for Smooth Implementation of Specified Health Checkups and Health Guidance (ver. 3.2) 2021" by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare

If any of the items 1) through 4) apply, the person will be diagnosed as having diabetes.

If the blood glucose level is 5) or 6), the person will be diagnosed as normal.

### **Renal Function (Serum Creatinine, eGFR)**

### 1. Results

The percentage of males with serum creatinine of 1.15 mg/dL or over increased slightly among those ages 40 to 64 from FY2011 to FY2021, while the relevant percentage for males ages 65 or older continued to increase until FY2017, but there were no substantial changes thereafter.

The percentage of females ages 65 or older with serum creatinine of 0.95 mg/dL or over increased from FY2011 to FY2017, but showed downward tread thereafter.

The percentage of males ages 40 to 64 with eGFR lower than 60mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> was on an upward trend from FY2011 to FY2019 but decreased slightly in FY2020. The relevant percentage for males ages 65 or older showed an upward trend from FY2011 to FY2020, then decreased slightly in FY2021.

The percentage of females ages 40 or older with eGFR lower than 60mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> was on an upward trend from FY2011 to FY2017, then trended downward.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

The graphs show the percentages of those with eGFR lower than 60mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>, which is one of the diagnostic criteria for chronic kidney diseases.

#### 3. Reference Intervals and Action Thresholds (criteria used for group and individual health checks)

Item	Diagnosis	Reference Interval	Action Threshold	Abnormality	Unit
Serum creatinine	Males	0.45–1.14	1.15–1.34	1.35 or over	
(enzymatic method)	Females	0.35–0.94	0.95–1.14	1.15 or over	mg/aL
eGFR (estimated g filtration rat	lomerular e)	60.0 or over	45.0–59.9	44.9 or lower	mL/min./1.73m <sup>2</sup>

### **Renal Function: Uric Acid**

### 1. Results

The percentage of males with uric acid of 7.1 mg/dL or over increased for all age groups from FY2011 to FY2018 but showed a slight downward trend through FY2021. The percentage among females showed no substantial changes in any age group.

The percentage of males with uric acid of 7.9 mg/dL or over increased among those ages 16 to 39 from FY2011 to FY2020, but showed a downward trend in FY2021.

The percentage of females with uric acid of 5.6 mg/dL or over increased from FY2011 to FY2021 for those ages 16-39 and 40 to 64.



### 2. Explanation of the Graphs

Determination of hyperuricemia was based on the following reference intervals.

### 3. Reference Intervals

Definition of hyperuricemia in the "Guidelines for Management of Hyperuricemia and Gout" by the Japanese Society of Gout and Uric & Nucleic Acids	Uric acid of 7.1 mg/dL or higher
Levels that exceed the upper limit of the common reference	Uric acid of 7.9 mg/dL or higher for
interval established by the Japanese Committee for Clinical	males and 5.6 mg/dL or higher for
Laboratory Standards	females

# FY2021 Comprehensive Health Check Fukushima Health Management Survey Results of Tabulation by Health Check Item

### [Coverage]

- Residents registered at covered areas\* from March 11, 2011 to April 1, 2012 (also after moving out from those covered areas)
- · Residents registered at evacuation zones, etc. as of April 1 of the examination year
- Others, as warranted, based on Basic Survey results, even if the above conditions are not met
- \* Covered areas: Municipalities designated as the evacuation zone in 2011 Hirono Town, Naraha Town, Tomioka Town, Kawauchi Village, Okuma Town, Futaba Town, Namie Town, Katsurao Village, litate Village, Minamisoma City, Tamura City and Kawamata Town, and parts of Date City (containing specific spots recommended for evacuation)

### [Examination Items]

Age Group	Examination items
0-6 years old (Preschool children and infants)	Height, weight (The following items are only as for the applicants) CBC (number of red blood cells, hematocrit, hemoglobin, platelet count, number of white blood cells, differential white blood count)
7-15 years old (from 1st to 9th grades)	Height, weight CBC (number of red blood cells, hematocrit, hemoglobin, platelet count, number of white blood cells, differential white blood count) (The following items are only as for the applicants) Blood biochemistry (AST, ALT, γ-GT, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, HbA1c, plasma glucose, serum creatinine, uric acid)
16 years old and older	Height, weight, abdominal circumference (BMI), blood pressure, <u>CBC</u> (number of red blood cells, hematocrit, hemoglobin, platelet count, number of white <u>blood cells, differential white blood count).</u> Urine test (urine sugar, urine protein, <u>urine occult blood</u> ), Blood biochemistry (AST, ALT, γ-GT, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, HbA1c, plasma glucose, <u>serum</u> <u>creatinine, estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR],uric acid</u> ) The underlined values are not routinely measured during regular health checks.

- \* As general age categories and items for the Comprehensive Health Check do not correspond, we classified the participants into five age groups, namely, those aged 0 to 6, those aged 7 to 15, those aged 16 to 39, those aged 40 to 64, and those aged 65 or older, and tabulated the results by
- \* For each health check item, tabulation was conducted by age group and by gender.
- \* Tabulation results include those who received health checks twice or more in the same fiscal year.
- \* Rules for describing tabulation results are the same as those used for the Vital Statistics in Japan by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.
  - When there is no data: -
  - When an item is not applicable to the relevant age group: •
  - When it is inappropriate to indicate data: ...
  - When the ratio is minor (lower than 0.05): 0.0%
- \* The Data in this document are presented with the same items as those in the previous reports to make comparison possible. Therefore, the results may not correspond to the graphs shown in the Report on the Results of the FY2021 Comprehensive Health Check.
- \* The "number of participants" are the numbers used for the tabulation, and it differs from the actual number of examinees.

# Height

Height (cm) (overall)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value			
0 to 6	637	3.5	94.7			
7 to 15	1,739	11.6	146.1			
16 to 39	3,077	28.9	163.2			
40 to 64	8,979	54.7	161.2			
65 or older	18,558	73.8	156.4			

Height (cm) (males)					
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	150 cm or shorter	170 cm or taller
0 to 6	334	3.5	95.0		
7 to 15	885	11.6	147.5		
16 to 39	1,233	27.9	170.9	0.8%	58.1%
40 to 64	3,218	54.9	169.4	0.1%	47.2%
65 or older	8,672	74.0	163.3	1.9%	14.2%

11 + 2 + 4 + 4 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2						
	Height (cm) (females)					
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	140 cm or shorter	160 cm or taller	
0 to 6	303	3.5	94.5			
7 to 15	854	11.6	144.8			
16 to 39	1,844	29.6	158.1	0.3%	36.4%	
40 to 64	5,761	54.6	156.6	0.3%	26.8%	
65 or older	9,886	73.6	150.3	5.0%	5.2%	

# Weight

Weight (kg) (overall)					
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value		
0 to 6	638	3.5	14.9		
7 to 15	1,739	11.6	40.9		
16 to 39	3,079	28.9	61.4		
40 to 64	8,981	54.7	62.6		
65 or older	18,566	73.8	58.6		

Weight (kg) (males)					
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	50 kg or less	70 kg or over
0 to 6	335	3.5	14.9		
7 to 15	885	11.6	42.1		
16 to 39	1,234	27.9	69.4	6.7%	42.4%
40 to 64	3,219	54.9	71.7	1.5%	51.5%
65 or older	8,675	74.0	64.7	5.4%	27.0%

	Weight (kg) (females)					
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	45 kg or less	65 kg or over	
0 to 6	303	3.5	14.8			
7 to 15	854	11.6	39.6			
16 to 39	1,845	29.6	56.1	11.8%	16.4%	
40 to 64	5,762	54.6	57.5	8.9%	20.8%	
65 or older	9,891	73.7	53.3	16.7%	10.2%	

# 1. Physical Exam (1) BMI

BMI (Weight/Height <sup>2</sup> ) (overall)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age Average value		18 kg/m <sup>2</sup> or lower	25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> or over	
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•	
7 to 15	•	•	•	•	•	
16 to 39	3,077	28.9	22.9	8.4%	25.7%	
40 to 64	8,979	54.7	24.0	3.9%	35.4%	
65 or older	18,558	73.8	23.9	3.2%	34.8%	

BMI (Weight/Height <sup>2</sup> ) (males)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age Average value		18 kg/m² or lower	25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> or over	
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•	
7 to 15	•	•	•	•	•	
16 to 39	1,233	27.9	23.7	7.8%	32.9%	
40 to 64	3,218	54.9	25.0	1.3%	44.6%	
65 or older	8,672	74.0	24.2	1.8%	38.3%	

BMI (Weight/Height <sup>2</sup> ) (females)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	18 kg/m <sup>2</sup> or lower	25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> or over	
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•	
7 to 15	•	•	•	•	•	
16 to 39	1,844	29.6	22.4	8.8%	20.8%	
40 to 64	5,761	54.6	23.5	5.3%	30.3%	
65 or older	9,886	73.6	23.6	4.4%	31.7%	

# 1. Physical Exam (2) Abdominal Circumference

Abdominal circumference (cm) (overall)					
Age group	Number of participants	Average age Average value			
0 to 6	•	•	•		
7 to 15	•	•	•		
16 to 39	743	28.4	78.2		
40 to 64	8,977	54.7	84.3		
65 or older	12,440	70.3	85.2		

Abdominal circumference (cm) (males)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	85 cm or over		
0 to 6	•	•	•	•		
7 to 15	•	•	•	•		
16 to 39	344	28.7	82.0	38.4%		
40 to 64	3,218	54.9	87.5	57.4%		
65 or older	5,746	70.5	86.9	57.7%		

Abdominal circumference (cm) (females)					
Age group	Number of participants Average age Average value			90 cm or over	
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	
7 to 15	•	•	•	•	
16 to 39	399	28.0	75.0	9.0%	
40 to 64	5,759	54.6	82.4	22.9%	
65 or older	6,694	70.2	83.7	24.0%	

# 1. Physical Exam (3) Blood Pressure

Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) (overall)					
Age group	group Number of participants Average age Average value				
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	
7 to 15	1,738	11.6	106.7	0.5%	
16 to 39	3,079	28.9	113.3	2.9%	
40 to 64	8,982	54.7	124.6	14.9%	
65 or older	18,566	73.8	132.1	28.1%	

Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) (males)					
Age group	Number of participants	140 mmHg or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	
7 to 15	885	11.6	107.9	0.9%	
16 to 39	1,234	27.9	117.7	4.2%	
40 to 64	3,219	54.9	127.2	16.7%	
65 or older	8,675	74.0	132.3	28.8%	

	Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) (females)					
Age group         Number of participants         Average age         Average value         140 mmH over						
0 to 6	•	•	•	•		
7 to 15	853	11.6	105.5	0.1%		
16 to 39	1,845	29.6	110.4	2.0%		
40 to 64	5,763	54.6	123.1	14.0%		
65 or older	9,891	73.7	131.8	27.5%		

Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) (overall)						
Age group	Age group         Number of participants         Average age         Average value         90 mmHg over					
0 to 6	•	•	•	•		
7 to 15	1,738	11.6	61.3	0.3%		
16 to 39	3,079	28.9	67.9	3.2%		
40 to 64	8,982	54.7	75.8	11.0%		
65 or older	18,566	73.8	73.8	7.3%		

Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) (males)					
Age group	Number of participants	90 mmHg or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	
7 to 15	885	11.6	61.5	0.2%	
16 to 39	1,234	27.9	70.0	4.8%	
40 to 64	3,219	54.9	78.8	15.7%	
65 or older	8,675	74.0	74.7	8.6%	

	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) (females)				
Age group	Number of participants	90 mmHg or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	
7 to 15	853	11.6	61.1	0.5%	
16 to 39	1,845	29.6	66.4	2.1%	
40 to 64	5,763	54.6	74.1	8.3%	
65 or older	9,891	73.7	73.1	6.2%	

# 2. Urine Test (1) Urine Sugar

Urine sugar (overall)				
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	(1+) or over	
0 to 6	•	•	•	
7 to 15	•	•	•	
16 to 39	3,063	28.9	0.7%	
40 to 64	8,961	54.7	4.6%	
65 or older	18,510	73.8	6.3%	

Urine sugar (males)				
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	(1+) or over	
0 to 6	•	•	•	
7 to 15	•	•	•	
16 to 39	1,234	27.9	1.0%	
40 to 64	3,213	54.9	7.9%	
65 or older	8,652	73.9	9.2%	

Urine sugar (females)				
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	(1+) or over	
0 to 6	•	•	•	
7 to 15	•	•	•	
16 to 39	1,829	29.6	0.5%	
40 to 64	5,748	54.6	2.7%	
65 or older	9,858	73.6	3.7%	

# 2. Urine Test (2) Urine Protein

Urine protein (overall)				
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	(1+) or over	
0 to 6	•	•	•	
7 to 15	•	•	•	
16 to 39	3,063	28.9	2.6%	
40 to 64	8,961	54.7	2.0%	
65 or older	18,510	73.8	3.3%	

Urine protein (males)				
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	(1+) or over	
0 to 6	•	•	•	
7 to 15	•	•	•	
16 to 39	1,234	27.9	2.3%	
40 to 64	3,213	54.9	3.0%	
65 or older	8,652	73.9	5.1%	

Urine protein (females)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	(1+) or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•			
7 to 15	•	•	•			
16 to 39	1,829	29.6	2.8%			
40 to 64	5,748	54.6	1.4%			
65 or older	9,858	73.6	1.8%			

# 2. Urine Test (3) Urine Occult Blood

Urine occult blood (overall)							
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	(1+) or over	(1+) or over and excluding those on their period			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	•	•	•	•			
16 to 39	3,062	28.9	7.4%	2.9%			
40 to 64	8,957	54.7	6.0%	4.6%			
65 or older	18,510	73.8	5.1%	5.1%			

Urine occult blood (males)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age (1+) or ove				
0 to 6	•	•	•			
7 to 15	•	•	•			
16 to 39	1,234	27.9	1.1%			
40 to 64	3,211	54.9	2.3%			
65 or older	8,652	73.9	3.5%			

Urine occult blood (females)							
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	(1+) or over	(1+) or over and excluding those on their period			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	•	•	•	•			
16 to 39	1,828	29.6	11.7%	4.2%			
40 to 64	5,746	54.6	8.0%	6.0%			
65 or older	9,858	73.6	6.4%	6.4%			

# 3. Peripheral Blood Test (1)-1 Red Blood Cells

Red blood cell count (10 <sup>6</sup> /µL) (overall)						
Age group	oup Number of Average age Average					
0 to 6	575	3.6	4.65			
7 to 15	1,730	11.6	4.80			
16 to 39	3,078	28.9	4.77			
40 to 64	8,975	54.7	4.63			
65 or older	18,561	73.8	4.47			

Red blood cell count (10 <sup>6</sup> /µL) (males)							
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	3.69×10 <sup>6</sup> /µL or lower	3.99×10 <sup>6</sup> /µL or lower	5.80×10 <sup>6</sup> /µL or over	
0 to 6	303	3.6	4.67	-	1.0%	0.7%	
7 to 15	879	11.6	4.92	-	0.3%	1.0%	
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	5.19	0.2%	0.2%	5.3%	
40 to 64	3,216	54.9	4.91	0.7%	2.5%	2.7%	
65 or older	8,672	74.0	4.63	3.0%	8.9%	0.9%	

	Red blood cell count (10 <sup>6</sup> /µL) (females)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	3.39×10 <sup>6</sup> /µL or lower	3.69×10 <sup>6</sup> /µL or lower	5.50×10 <sup>6</sup> /µL or over	
0 to 6	272	3.6	4.63	-	-	0.4%	
7 to 15	851	11.6	4.68	-	-	0.4%	
16 to 39	1,843	29.6	4.49	0.2%	1.4%	0.4%	
40 to 64	5,759	54.6	4.47	0.4%	1.8%	0.7%	
65 or older	9,889	73.7	4.32	1.4%	5.4%	0.3%	

# 3. Peripheral Blood Test (1)-2 Hemoglobin

Hemoglobin (g/dL) (overall)						
Age group	Average age	Average value				
0 to 6	575	3.6	12.5			
7 to 15	1,730	11.6	13.6			
16 to 39	3,078	28.9	14.0			
40 to 64	8,975	54.7	13.9			
65 or older	18,561	73.8	13.8			

	Hemoglobin (g/dL) (males)							
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	12.0 g/dL or lower	13.0 g/dL or lower	18.0 g/dL or over		
0 to 6	303	3.6	12.5	31.4%	76.6%	-		
7 to 15	879	11.6	13.9	3.5%	23.3%	-		
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	15.5	0.5%	1.0%	0.8%		
40 to 64	3,216	54.9	15.1	1.1%	4.1%	0.8%		
65 or older	8,672	74.0	14.5	4.9%	14.2%	0.5%		

	Hemoglobin (g/dL) (females)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	11.0 g/dL or lower	12.0 g/dL orlower	16.0 g/dL orover	
0 to 6	272	3.6	12.5	2.9%	29.4%	-	
7 to 15	851	11.6	13.2	2.0%	8.6%	0.2%	
16 to 39	1,843	29.6	13.1	5.3%	14.4%	0.2%	
40 to 64	5,759	54.6	13.3	4.3%	11.9%	0.7%	
65 or older	9,889	73.7	13.1	3.4%	14.9%	0.4%	

# 3. Peripheral Blood Test (1)-3 Hematocrit

Hematocrit (%) (overall)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value			
0 to 6	575	3.6	38.2			
7 to 15	1,730	11.6	41.4			
16 to 39	3,078	28.9	42.5			
40 to 64	8,975	54.7	42.1			
65 or older	18,561	73.8	41.6			

Hematocrit (%) (males)							
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	35.9% or lower	37.9% or lower	55.0% or over	
0 to 6	303	3.6	38.1	19.8%	53.8%	-	
7 to 15	879	11.6	42.1	1.4%	10.2%	-	
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	46.4	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	
40 to 64	3,216	54.9	45.2	0.9%	2.2%	0.4%	
65 or older	8,672	74.0	43.4	4.0%	8.4%	0.2%	

	Hematocrit (%) (females)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	28.9% or lower	32.9% or lower	48.0% or over			
0 to 6	272	3.6	38.4	-	0.7%	-			
7 to 15	851	11.6	40.7	0.1%	0.8%	0.4%			
16 to 39	1,843	29.6	40.0	0.4%	2.2%	0.2%			
40 to 64	5,759	54.6	40.5	0.5%	1.8%	1.0%			
65 or older	9,889	73.7	40.0	0.3%	1.9%	0.8%			

# 3. Peripheral Blood Test (2) Platelet Count

	Platelet count (10 <sup>3</sup> /µL) (overall)							
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	89×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or lower	129×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or lower	370×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or over	450×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or over	
0 to 6	575	3.6	356.9	0.2%	0.2%	38.1%	12.9%	
7 to 15	1,730	11.6	293.5	0.2%	0.3%	10.6%	1.3%	
16 to 39	3,078	28.9	268.8	0.1%	0.4%	4.7%	0.7%	
40 to 64	8,969	54.7	259.8	0.1%	0.6%	4.7%	0.7%	
65 or older	18,556	73.8	228.6	0.3%	1.7%	1.4%	0.2%	

	Platelet count (10 <sup>3</sup> /µL) (males)							
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	89×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or lower	129×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or lower	370×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or over	450×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or over	
0 to 6	303	3.6	356.7	-	-	37.6%	12.5%	
7 to 15	879	11.6	296.7	0.1%	0.2%	11.6%	1.5%	
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	262.1	-	0.3%	3.2%	0.4%	
40 to 64	3,212	54.9	253.2	0.1%	0.7%	3.1%	0.4%	
65 or older	8,668	74.0	220.7	0.2%	2.1%	1.2%	0.3%	

	Platelet count (10 <sup>3</sup> /µL) (females)							
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	89×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or lower	129×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or lower	370×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or over	450×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or over	
0 to 6	272	3.6	357.0	0.4%	0.4%	38.6%	13.2%	
7 to 15	851	11.6	290.1	0.4%	0.4%	9.6%	1.1%	
16 to 39	1,843	29.6	273.4	0.1%	0.4%	5.8%	0.9%	
40 to 64	5,757	54.6	263.5	0.1%	0.6%	5.6%	0.9%	
65 or older	9,888	73.7	235.4	0.3%	1.3%	1.6%	0.2%	

# 3. Peripheral Blood Test (3)-1 White Blood Cell Count

	White blood cell count (10 <sup>3</sup> /µL) (overall)							
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	2.9×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or lower	3.9×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or lower	9.6×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or over	11.1×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or over	
0 to 6	575	3.6	8.4	-	0.5%	25.9%	12.5%	
7 to 15	1,730	11.6	6.3	0.2%	2.6%	3.9%	1.3%	
16 to 39	3,078	28.9	5.9	0.4%	8.0%	3.0%	0.7%	
40 to 64	8,975	54.7	5.7	1.2%	10.9%	2.3%	0.5%	
65 or older	18,561	73.8	5.8	0.6%	7.9%	2.0%	0.5%	

	White blood cell count (10 <sup>3</sup> /µL) (males)							
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	2.9×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or lower	3.9×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or lower	9.6×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or over	11.1×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or over	
0 to 6	303	3.6	8.3	-	0.3%	21.8%	10.6%	
7 to 15	879	11.6	6.3	-	2.0%	4.1%	1.3%	
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	5.9	0.3%	7.0%	2.8%	0.6%	
40 to 64	3,216	54.9	6.0	0.5%	6.7%	3.5%	0.7%	
65 or older	8,672	74.0	6.0	0.4%	6.1%	2.6%	0.7%	

	White blood cell count (10 <sup>3</sup> /µL) (females)							
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	2.9×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or lower	3.9×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or lower	9.6×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or over	11.1×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL or over	
0 to 6	272	3.6	8.6	-	0.7%	30.5%	14.7%	
7 to 15	851	11.6	6.3	0.4%	3.2%	3.8%	1.4%	
16 to 39	1,843	29.6	5.9	0.5%	8.6%	3.2%	0.8%	
40 to 64	5,759	54.6	5.5	1.6%	13.2%	1.6%	0.4%	
65 or older	9,889	73.7	5.6	0.9%	9.6%	1.5%	0.3%	

# 3. Peripheral Blood Test (3)-2 Neutrophil count

N	Neutrophil count (count/µL) (overall)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value				
0 to 6	575	3.6	3,168				
7 to 15	1,730	11.6	3,098				
16 to 39	3,076	28.9	3,405				
40 to 64	8,974	54.7	3,220				
65 or older	18,558	73.8	3,257				

N	Neutrophil count (count/µL) (males)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value				
0 to 6	303	3.6	3,080				
7 to 15	879	11.6	3,015				
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	3,310				
40 to 64	3,216	54.9	3,412				
65 or older	8,671	74.0	3,402				

Ne	Neutrophil count (count/µL) (females)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value				
0 to 6	272	3.6	3,267				
7 to 15	851	11.6	3,184				
16 to 39	1,841	29.6	3,468				
40 to 64	5,758	54.6	3,112				
65 or older	9,887	73.7	3,130				

# 3. Peripheral Blood Test (3)-3 Lymphocyte Count

Lymphocyte count (count/µL) (overall)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value			
0 to 6	575	3.6	4,445			
7 to 15	1,730	11.6	2,562			
16 to 39	3,076	28.9	1,992			
40 to 64	8,974	54.7	1,964			
65 or older	18,558	73.8	1,985			

Lymphocyte count (count/µL) (males)						
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value			
0 to 6	303	3.6	4,366			
7 to 15	879	11.6	2,592			
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	2,057			
40 to 64	3,216	54.9	2,042			
65 or older	8,671	74.0	1,968			

Lymphocyte count (count/µL) (females)					
Age group Number of participants Average age Average v					
0 to 6	272 3.6 4,5				
7 to 15	851	11.6	2,531		
16 to 39	1,841	29.6	1,949		
40 to 64 5,758 54.6 1,9					
65 or older	9,887	73.7	2,001		

# 3. Peripheral Blood Test (3)-4 Monocyte Count

Monocyte count (count/µL) (overall)							
Age group	Age group Number of participants Average age Average valu						
0 to 6	575	3.6 43					
7 to 15	1,730	11.6					
16 to 39 3,076 28.9 32							
40 to 64	o 64 8,974 54.7 31						
65 or older	18,558	73.8	339				

N	Monocyte count (count/µL) (males)					
Age group Number of participants Average age Average v						
0 to 6	303	3.6				
7 to 15	879	11.6	351			
16 to 39	1,235 27.9 3					
40 to 64	3,216 54.9 3					
65 or older	8,671	74.0	371			

M	Monocyte count (count/µL) (females)					
Age group Number of participants Average age Average						
0 to 6	272	3.6	428			
7 to 15	851	11.6	326			
16 to 39	16 to 39 1,841 29.6					
40 to 64	64 5,758 54.6					
65 or older	9,887	73.7	310			

# 3. Peripheral Blood Test (3)-5 Eosinophil Count

Eosinophil count (count/µL) (overall)						
Age group	up Number of Average age Average valu					
0 to 6	575	75 3.6 30				
7 to 15	1,730	11.6	267			
16 to 39 3,076 28.9 1						
40 to 64	64 8,974 54.7 16					
65 or older	18,558	73.8	160			

Eosinophil count (count/µL) (males)						
Age group	Number of participants Average age Average v					
0 to 6	303	303 3.6				
7 to 15	879	11.6	307			
16 to 39 1,235 27.9						
40 to 64	3,216 54.9 1					
65 or older	8,671	74.0	184			

Eosinophil count (count/µL) (females)						
Age group	Age group Number of participants Average age Average va					
0 to 6	272	3.6 2				
7 to 15	851	11.6	225			
16 to 39	16 to 39 1,841 29.6					
40 to 64	4 5,758 54.6					
65 or older	9,887	73.7	139			

# 3. Peripheral Blood Test (3)-6 Basophil Count

Basophil count (count/µL) (overall)						
Age group Number of participants Average age Average valu						
0 to 6	575	575 3.6				
7 to 15	1,730	11.6	39			
16 to 39 3,076 28.9						
40 to 64	40 to 64 8,974 54.7					
65 or older	18,558	73.8	39			

E	Basophil count (count/µL) (males)					
Age group Number of participants Average age Average v						
0 to 6	303	3.6				
7 to 15	879	11.6	41			
16 to 39	16 to 39 1,235 27.9					
40 to 64	40 to 64 3,216 54.9					
65 or older	8,671	74.0	41			

E	Basophil count (count/µL) (males)						
Age group Number of participants Average age Average va							
0 to 6	272	2 3.6					
7 to 15	851	11.6	37				
16 to 39 1,841 29.6							
40 to 64	40 to 64 5,758 54.6						
65 or older	9,887	65 or older 9,887 73.7					

# 4. Blood Biochemistry (1)-1 Liver Function (AST)

	AST (U/L) (overall)					
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	31 U/L or over	51 U/L or over	
0 to 6					•	
7 to 15	1,711	11.6	23.6	12.0%	0.8%	
16 to 39	3,079	28.9	21.6	9.9%	2.6%	
40 to 64	8,976	54.7	23.9	13.9%	2.8%	
65 or older	18,561	73.8	24.6	14.7%	2.5%	

AST (U/L) (males)					
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	31 U/L or over	51 U/L or over
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•
7 to 15	865	11.6	25.3	16.0%	1.3%
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	25.9	18.2%	5.1%
40 to 64	3,216	54.9	27.1	22.5%	4.7%
65 or older	8,673	74.0	25.5	18.1%	3.1%

AST (U/L) (females)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	31 U/L or over	51 U/L or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	846	11.6	21.9	8.0%	0.4%			
16 to 39	1,844	29.6	18.7	4.3%	1.0%			
40 to 64	5,760	54.6	22.1	9.0%	1.7%			
65 or older	9,888	73.7	23.9	11.7%	2.0%			

# 4. Blood Biochemistry (1)-2 Liver Function (ALT)

ALT (U/L) (overall)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	31 U/L or over	51 U/L or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	1,711	11.6	16.9	6.6%	2.2%			
16 to 39	3,079	28.9	24.5	19.6%	8.9%			
40 to 64	8,976	54.7	24.2	20.5%	6.6%			
65 or older	18,561	73.8	20.8	13.1%	3.2%			

ALT (U/L) (males)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	31 U/L or over	51 U/L or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	865	11.6	19.5	9.5%	3.0%			
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	36.7	37.0%	18.5%			
40 to 64	3,216	54.9	31.2	35.3%	12.5%			
65 or older	8,673	74.0	22.8	17.5%	4.1%			

ALT (U/L) (females)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	31 U/L or over	51 U/L or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	846	11.6	14.3	3.7%	1.4%			
16 to 39	1,844	29.6	16.4	8.0%	2.5%			
40 to 64	5,760	54.6	20.3	12.3%	3.3%			
65 or older	9,888	73.7	19.1	9.3%	2.3%			

# 4. Blood Biochemistry (1)-3 Liver Function (γ-GT)

γ to GT (U/L) (overall)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	51 U/L or over	101 U/L or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	1,711	11.6	14.7	0.8%	-			
16 to 39	3,079	28.9	25.7	9.3%	2.5%			
40 to 64	8,976	54.7	38.9	18.8%	6.1%			
65 or older	18,561	73.8	33.5	13.6%	3.7%			

γ to GT (U/L) (males)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	51 U/L or over	101 U/L or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	865	11.6	16.3	1.2%	-			
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	37.0	18.8%	5.5%			
40 to 64	3,216	54.9	57.8	34.0%	12.0%			
65 or older	8,673	74.0	42.8	21.0%	6.2%			

γ to GT (U/L) (females)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	51 U/L or over	101 U/L or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	846	11.6	13.1	0.4%	-			
16 to 39	1,844	29.6	18.2	2.9%	0.5%			
40 to 64	5,760	54.6	28.4	10.3%	2.7%			
65 or older	9,888	73.7	25.2	7.1%	1.5%			

# 4. Blood Biochemistry (2)-1 Lipids (LDL Cholesterol)

LDL-C (mg/dL) (overall)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	120 mg/dL or over	140 mg/dL or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	1,711	11.6	92.7	12.2%	3.4%			
16 to 39	3,079	28.9	111.8	36.7%	17.9%			
40 to 64	8,976	54.7	125.7	55.2%	31.0%			
65 or older	18,561	73.8	116.5	43.8%	20.8%			

LDL-C (mg/dL) (males)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	120 mg/dL or over	140 mg/dL or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	865	11.6	91.2	10.8%	2.8%			
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	115.4	43.2%	23.9%			
40 to 64	3,216	54.9	123.0	52.9%	28.7%			
65 or older	8,673	74.0	112.5	39.5%	17.2%			

	LDL-C (mg/dL) (females)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	120 mg/dL or over	140 mg/dL or over				
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•				
7 to 15	846	11.6	94.2	13.7%	4.0%				
16 to 39	1,844	29.6	109.4	32.3%	13.8%				
40 to 64	5,760	54.6	127.1	56.5%	32.3%				
65 or older	9,888	73.7	120.0	47.6%	23.9%				

# 4. Blood Biochemistry (2)-2 Lipids (Triglyceride)

Triglyceride (TG) (mg/dL) (overall)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	150 mg/dL or over	300 mg/dL or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	1,711	11.6	82.9	9.5%	1.2%			
16 to 39	3,079	28.9	89.9	11.7%	1.5%			
40 to 64	8,976	54.7	117.7	21.1%	3.5%			
65 or older	18,561	73.8	116.0	20.5%	1.9%			

Triglyceride (TG) (mg/dL) (males)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	150 mg/dL or over	300 mg/dL or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	865	11.6	84.6	11.1%	1.6%			
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	108.9	18.8%	3.0%			
40 to 64	3,216	54.9	146.4	33.1%	6.5%			
65 or older	8,673	74.0	121.4	23.4%	2.6%			

Triglyceride (TG) (mg/dL) (females)									
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	150 mg/dL or over	300 mg/dL or over				
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•				
7 to 15	846	11.6	81.1	7.9%	0.7%				
16 to 39	1,844	29.6	77.3	6.9%	0.5%				
40 to 64	5,760	54.6	101.7	14.5%	1.8%				
65 or older	9,888	73.7	111.3	18.0%	1.2%				

# 4. Blood Biochemistry (2)-3 Lipids (HDL Cholesterol)

HDL-C (mg/dL) (overall)									
Age group	Number of participants	Average value	Lower than 40 mg/dL						
0 to 6	•	•	•	•					
7 to 15	1,711	11.6	61.8	2.3%					
16 to 39	3,079	28.9	62.0	3.8%					
40 to 64	8,976	54.7	64.0	4.8%					
65 or older	18,561	73.8	60.2	6.0%					

HDL-C (mg/dL) (males)									
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	Lower than 40 mg/dL					
0 to 6	•	•	•	•					
7 to 15	865	11.6	61.9	2.7%					
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	55.6	7.0%					
40 to 64	3,216	54.9	56.6	10.0%					
65 or older	8,673	74.0	56.0	9.6%					

HDL-C (mg/dL) (females)										
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	Lower than 40 mg/dL						
0 to 6	•	•	•	•						
7 to 15	846	11.6	61.7	1.9%						
16 to 39	1,844	29.6	66.3	1.6%						
40 to 64	5,760	54.6	68.2	1.8%						
65 or older	9,888	73.7	63.9	2.8%						

# 4. Blood Biochemistry (3)-1 Blood Glucose (Fasting Blood Glucose)

Fasting blood glucose (mg/dL) (overall)									
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	110 mg/dL or over	130 mg/dL or over	160 mg/dL or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	1,089	11.7	87.0	0.3%	-	-			
16 to 39	2,746	28.9	89.3	2.4%	0.8%	0.3%			
40 to 64	7,831	54.5	99.2	14.8%	5.0%	1.4%			
65 or older	14,095	73.2	105.5	27.7%	9.5%	2.2%			

Fasting blood glucose (mg/dL) (males)									
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	110 mg/dL or over	130 mg/dL or over	160 mg/dL or over			
0 to 6		•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	529	11.7	87.8	0.2%	-	-			
16 to 39	1,101	27.9	90.9	3.4%	0.8%	0.5%			
40 to 64	2,810	54.8	103.8	21.8%	8.2%	2.5%			
65 or older	6,622	73.3	108.4	33.9%	12.3%	3.0%			

Fasting blood glucose (mg/dL) (females)									
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	110 mg/dL or over	130 mg/dL or over	160 mg/dL or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	560	11.7	86.2	0.4%	-	-			
16 to 39	1,645	29.5	88.3	1.8%	0.7%	0.2%			
40 to 64	5,021	54.4	96.7	10.9%	3.2%	0.9%			
65 or older	7,473	73.1	102.8	22.3%	7.1%	1.5%			

# 4. Blood Biochemistry (3)-2 Blood Glucose (HbA1c)

HbA1c (%) (NGSP) (overall)									
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	6.0% or over	7.0% or over	8.0% or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	1,711	11.6	5.3	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%			
16 to 39	3,078	28.9	5.3	2.2%	0.6%	0.4%			
40 to 64	8,977	54.7	5.6	15.9%	3.7%	1.5%			
65 or older	18,561	73.8	5.8	29.3%	5.8%	1.2%			

HbA1c (%) (NGSP) (males)									
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	6.0% or over	7.0% or over	8.0% or over			
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•	•			
7 to 15	865	11.6	5.3	0.6%	-	-			
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	5.3	2.4%	0.7%	0.5%			
40 to 64	3,217	54.9	5.7	19.6%	5.8%	2.4%			
65 or older	8,673	74.0	5.9	32.3%	7.0%	1.5%			

HbA1c (%) (NGSP) (females)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	6.0% or over	7.0% or over	8.0% or over		
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•	•		
7 to 15	846	11.6	5.3	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%		
16 to 39	1,843	29.6	5.3	2.1%	0.6%	0.3%		
40 to 64	5,760	54.6	5.6	13.8%	2.5%	1.0%		
65 or older	9,888	73.7	5.8	26.7%	4.7%	0.9%		

# 4. Blood Biochemistry (4)-1 Renal Function (Serum Creatinine)

Serum creatinine (mg/dL) (overall)								
Age group         Number of participants         Average age         Average								
0 to 6	•	•	•					
7 to 15	1,711	11.6	0.51					
16 to 39	3,079	28.9	0.70					
40 to 64	8,974	54.7	0.74					
65 or older	18,561	73.8	0.80					

Serum creatinine (mg/dL) (males)									
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	1.15 mg/dL or over	1.35 mg/dL or over				
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•				
7 to 15	865	11.6	0.53	-	-				
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	0.84	1.3%	0.1%				
40 to 64	3,215	54.9	0.88	4.0%	1.0%				
65 or older	8,673	74.0	0.92	10.5%	3.7%				

Serum creatinine (mg/dL) (females)										
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	0.95 mg/dL or over	1.15 mg/dL or over					
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•					
7 to 15	846	11.6	0.49	0.1%	-					
16 to 39	1,844	29.6	0.61	0.3%	0.1%					
40 to 64	5,759	54.6	0.65	1.2%	0.4%					
65 or older	9,888	73.7	0.69	4.6%	1.4%					

# 4. Blood Biochemistry (4)-2 Renal Function (eGFR)

eGFR (mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> ) (overall)								
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value					
0 to 6	•	•	•					
7 to 15	•	•	•					
16 to 39	3,079	28.9	94.6					
40 to 64	8,974	54.7	74.8					
65 or older	18,561	73.8	65.6					

eGFR (mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> ) (males)									
Age group	Average age	Average value							
0 to 6	•	•	•						
7 to 15	•	•	•						
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	93.6						
40 to 64	3,215	54.9	74.4						
65 or older	8,673	74.0	65.5						

eGFR (mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> ) (females)									
Age group	Average value								
0 to 6	•	•	•						
7 to 15	•	•	•						
16 to 39	1,844	29.6	95.3						
40 to 64	5,759	54.6	75.0						
65 or older	9,888	73.7	65.6						

# 4. Blood Biochemistry (4)-3 Renal Function (Uric Acid)

Uric acid (mg/dL) (overall)										
Age group	Number of participants	Average value	7.1 mg/dL or over	8.0 mg/dL or over						
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•					
7 to 15	1,711	11.6	4.7	3.8%	1.3%					
16 to 39	3,079	28.9	5.1	9.1%	3.3%					
40 to 64	8,974	54.7	5.1	8.1%	2.6%					
65 or older	18,561	73.8	5.2	8.3%	2.4%					

Uric acid (mg/dL) (males)											
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	7.1 mg/dL or over	7.9 mg/dL or over	8.0 mg/dL or over					
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•	•					
7 to 15	865	11.6	5.1	6.8%	2.9%	2.3%					
16 to 39	1,235	27.9	6.1	20.7%	9.1%	8.0%					
40 to 64	3,215	54.9	6.0	19.4%	6.9%	6.3%					
65 or older	8,673	74.0	5.7	14.4%	4.9%	4.2%					

Uric acid (mg/dL) (females)											
Age group	Number of participants	Average age	Average value	5.6 mg/dL or over	7.1 mg/dL or over	8.0 mg/dL or over					
0 to 6	•	•	•	•	•	•					
7 to 15	846	11.6	4.4	7.3%	0.7%	0.2%					
16 to 39	1,844	29.6	4.4	12.1%	1.4%	0.2%					
40 to 64	5,759	54.6	4.6	18.0%	1.8%	0.5%					
65 or older	9,888	73.7	4.7	20.9%	2.9%	0.8%					

### Report on the TUE Full-Scale Survey (fifth-round survey)

As of March 31, 2023

### 1. Summary

### 1.1 Purpose

In order to monitor the long-term health of children, we are continuing the Full-Scale Survey (fifthround survey), following the Preliminary Baseline Survey for background assessment of thyroid glands, and prior Full-Scale Surveys (second-, third-, and fourth-round surveys) to continuously assess the status of thyroid glands.

### 1.2 Eligible persons

All Fukushima residents approximately 18 years old or younger at the time of earthquake (those born between April 2, 1992 and April 1, 2012).

### **1.3 Implementation Period**

FY2020 and FY2022, starting in April 2020:

- 1.3-1 For those 18 years old or younger The examination will be carried out over 3 years, from FY2020 through FY2022.
- 1.3-2 For those 19 years old or older

The examination will be carried out on an age-group basis (i.e., school grade). FY2020: those born in FY1998 and FY2000 FY2021: those born in FY1999 and FY2001 FY2022: no eligible persons

1.3-3 For those 25 years old or older
Those who are older than 20 are recommended to receive the examination every 5 years at the ages of 25, 30, and so on.
FY2020: those born in FY1995

FY2021: those born in FY1996

FY2022: those born in FY1997

Results of the survey for those 25 years old will be reported separately.

**1.4 Implementing Organizations** (number of medical facilities with agreements for implementation of thyroid examinations as of March 31, 2023)

Fukushima Prefecture commissioned Fukushima Medical University (FMU) to conduct the survey in cooperation with organizations inside and outside Fukushima for the convenience of participants.

1.4-1 Primary examination facilities	
Inside Fukushima Prefecture	85 medical facilities
Outside Fukushima Prefecture	137 medical facilities

1.4-2 Confirmatory examination facilitiesInside Fukushima Prefecture6Outside Fukushima Prefecture38

6 medical facilities, including FMU 38 medical facilities

#### 1.5 Methods

1.5-1 Primary examination

Ultrasonography of the thyroid gland.

Assessments are made by specialists on the basis of the following criteria:

- Grade A
- A1: No nodules/cysts

A2: Nodules  $\leq$  5.0 mm or cysts  $\leq$  20.0 mm

- Grade B

B: Nodules  $\geq$  5.1 mm or cysts  $\geq$  20.1 mm

Some A2 results may be re-classified as B results when clinically indicated.

-Grade C

C: Immediate need for confirmatory examination, judging from the condition of the thyroid gland.

### 1.5-2 Confirmatory examination

Ultrasonography of the thyroid gland, blood and urine tests, and fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) if needed for those with B or C test results.

Priority is given to those in urgent clinical need. A medical follow-up may be recommended based on confirmatory exam results.

1.5-3 Flow chart



Figure 1 Flow chart

### 1.6 Municipalities Surveyed

The municipalities where examinations (for those 18 years old or younger) were carried out in FY2020 and FY2021 are as follows:



Figure 2 Municipalities covered for primary examinations at elementary and junior high schools



Figure 3 Municipalities covered for primary examinations at high schools and other facilities

Results of these surveys were aggregated based on the year when examinations were originally scheduled, which may differ from the year in which some examinations were actually conducted.

### 2. Results as of March 31, 2023

### 2.1 Results of the Primary Examination

2.1-1 Implementation status

The primary examination was completed for 113,852 participants (45.0%) by March 31, 2023. (Refer to Appendices 1 and 2 for the participation and progress summaries by municipalities and locations outside Fukushima).

Results of 108,250 participants (95.1%) have been finalized and individual reports have been sent to them. (See Appendix 3 for details.)

Of these, 31,319 (28.9%) had Grade A1 results, 75,632 (69.9%) had Grade A2, 1,299 (1.2%) had Grade B, and none had Grade C.

	Eligible	Participants (%)		Participants with finalized results (%)											
	persons			Outoido				1	A		Those ref	ferred to	confirma	tor	y exam
				Fukushima			A	1	A	2	В			с	
	а	b	(b/a)		с	(c/b)	d	(d/c)	е	(e/c)	f	(f/c)	g		(g/c)
FY2020	144,902	69,140	(47.7)	5,473	68,541	l (99.1)	19,821	(28.9)	47,977	(70.0)	743	(1.1)		0	(0.0)
FY2021	108,036	44,712	(41.4)	2,446	39,709	9 (88.8)	11,498	(29.0)	27,655	(69.6)	556	(1.4)		0	(0.0)
Total	252,938	113,852	(45.0)	7,919	108,250	) (95.1)	31,319	(28.9)	75,632	(69.9)	1,299	(1.2)		0	(0.0)

Table 1 Progress and results of the primary examination

### Table 2 Number and proportion of participants with nodules/cysts (See Appendix 4 for details.)

	Participants	Participants with nodules/cysts (%)								
	finalized	Nod	lules	Cysts						
	results	≥ 5.1mm	≤ 5.0mm	≥ 20.1mm	≤ 20.0mm					
	а	b (b/a)	c (c/a)	d (d/a)	e (e/a)					
FY2020	68,541	743 (1.1)	376 (0.5)	1 (0.0)	48,391 (70.6)					
FY2021	39,709	556 (1.4)	273 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	27,969 (70.4)					
Total	108,250	1,299 (1.2)	649 (0.6)	1 (0.0)	76,360 (70.5)					

- · Proportions are rounded to a lower decimal place. This applies to other tables as well.
- Those who receive the examination at 5-year intervals (born between FY1992 and FY1997) are excluded. The results of examinations at 5-year intervals will be shown separately.
- Examinations for those born in FY1992 (approx. 23,000), FY1993 (approx. 22,000), FY1994 (approx. 22,000), FY1995 (approx. 21,000) took place in FY2017, FY2018, FY2019, and FY2020, respectively. Examinations for those born in FY1996 (approx. 21,000) and FY1997 (approx. 20,000) were carried out in FY2021 and FY2022, respectively.

### 2.1-2 Participation rate by age group

Table 3 shows the participation rate for each age group as of April 1 of each year.

			Total		Age group	
	Age group*			8-11	12-17	18-24
EV2020	Eligible persons	(a)	144,902	37,105	61,911	45,886
F12020	Participants	(b)	69,140	27,921	36,144	5,075
	Participation rate (%)	(b/a)	47.7	75.2	58.4	11.1
	Age group *			9-11	12-17	18-24
EV2021	Eligible persons	(a)	108,036	19,771	45,061	43,204
F12021	Participants	(b)	44,712	14,149	25,644	4,919
	Participation rate (%)	(b/a)	41.4	71.6	56.9	11.4
Total	Eligible persons	(a)	252,938	56,876	106,972	89,090
	Participants	(b)	113,852	42,070	61,788	9,994
	Participation rate (%)	(b/a)	45.0	74.0	57.8	11.2

#### Table 3 Participation rates by age group

\* Age groups are formed with the age as of April 1 of each fiscal year

#### 2.1-3 Comparison of the fourth- and fifth-round survey results

Table 4 shows the comparison of results of two Full-Scale Surveys (fourth- and fifth-round surveys).

Among 101,417 participants with Grade A1 or A2 results in the fourth-round survey, 100,682 (99.3%) had Grade A1 or A2 results and 735 (0.7%) had Grade B results in the fifth-round survey.

Among 533 participants with Grade B results in the fourth-round survey, 101 (18.9%) had Grade A1 or A2 results and 432 (81.1%) had Grade B results in the fifth-round survey.

<b>-</b>	<b>`</b>	e e		
Table 4 (	Comparison	of the tourth-	and titth-round	surveys
100010 1 0	Joinpanoon			00110,0

			Results of the	Results of the fifth-round survey**					
			fourth-round survey*	A1	A A2	В	С		
			a (%)	b (b/a)	с (с/а)	d (d/a)	e (e/a)		
Results of the fourth-round survey		A1	33,046 (100.0)	22,839 (69.1)	10,078 (30.5)	129 (0.4)	0 (0.0)		
	A	A2	68,371 (100.0)	6,313 (9.2)	61,452 (89.9)	606 (0.9)	0 (0.0)		
	В		533 (100.0)	11 (2.1)	90 (16.9)	432 (81.1)	0 (0.0)		
	С		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		
	Did not participate		6,300 (100.0)	2,156 (34.2)	4,012 (63.7)	132 (2.1)	0 (0.0)		
Total		108,250 (100.0)	31,319 (28,9)	75,632 (69.9)	1,299 (1,2)	0 (0.0)			

\* Results of the fourth-round survey are from fifth-round survey participants with finalized results, not the breakdown of all fourth-round survey participants.

\*\* Results of the fifth-round survey participants who were diagnosed for each grade in the fourth-round survey.

### 2.2 Results of the Confirmatory Examination

### 2.2-1 Implementation status

By March 31, 2023, of 1,299 eligible persons, 901 (69.4%) had participated in the confirmatory examination, and 812 (90.1%) of them had completed the entire procedure of the examination. (See Appendix 5 for the implementation status of the confirmatory examinations by area.)

Of the aforementioned 812 participants, 76 (A1: 5, A2: 71) (9.4%) were confirmed to meet A1 or A2 diagnostic criteria by primary examination standards (including those with other thyroid conditions) after detailed examination; 736 (90.6%) were confirmed to be outside of A1/A2 criteria.

	Those referred		Those with finalized results (%)					
	to confirmatory	Participants (%)	Total	۸1	۸2	Not A1 or A2		
	exams			AI	AZ.	FNAC		
	а	b (b/a)	c (c/b)	d (d/c)	e (e/c)	f (f/c)	g (g/f)	
FY2020	743	595 (80.1)	556 (93.4)	4 (0.7)	60 (10.8)	492 (88.5)	55 (11.2)	
FY2021	556	306 (55.0)	256 (83.7)	1 (0.4)	11 (4.3)	244 (95.3)	13 (5.3)	
Total	1,299	901 (69.4)	812 (90.1)	5 (0.6)	71 (8.7)	736 (90.6)	68 (9.2)	

Table 5 Progress and results of the confirmatory examination

2.2-2 Results of fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC)

Among those who underwent FNAC, 34 people had nodules classified as malignant or suspicious for malignancy: 8 were male and 26 were female.

Participants' ages at the time of the confirmatory examination ranged from 12 to 24 (mean age: 17.7  $\pm$  3.1 years). The tumor diameters were from 7.0 mm to 46.7mm, and mean tumor diameter was 12.9  $\pm$  7.7 mm.

Of these 34 participants, 23 had Grade A (A1:8, A2:15), 6 had Grade B, consistent with results in the previous survey, and remaining 5 participants did not receive the third-round survey.

Table 6 Results of FNAC.

<ul> <li>A. Municipality surveyed in FY2020</li> <li>Malignant or suspicious for malignancy:</li> <li>Male to female ratio:</li> <li>Mean age±SD (min – max)</li> <li>Mean tumor size±SD (min – max)</li> </ul>	26* 5:21 17.4±3.4 (12–24) 6.6±3.4 (1–12) at the time of the earthquake 11.3±4.9 mm (7.0–30.1 mm)
<ul> <li>B. Municipalities surveyed in FY2021</li> <li>Malignant or suspicious for malignancy:</li> <li>Male to female ratio:</li> <li>Mean age±SD (min – max)</li> <li>Mean tumor size±SD (min – max)</li> </ul>	8* 3:5 18.6±1.6 (16–21) 7.8±2.1 (4–10) at the time of the earthquake 18.2±12.3 mm (8.4–46.7 mm)
<ul> <li>C. Total</li> <li>Malignant or suspicious for malignancy:</li> <li>Male to female ratio:</li> <li>Mean age±SD (min – max)</li> <li>Mean tumor size±SD (min – max)</li> </ul>	34* 8:26 17.7±3.1 (12–24) 6.9±3.1 (1–12) at the time of the earthquake 12.9±7.7 mm (7.0–46.7 mm)

\* Appendix 6 shows surgical cases.

2.2-3 Age distribution of malignant or suspected malignant cases diagnosed by FNAC Age distribution of 34 people with malignant or suspected malignant nodules based on their age as of March 11, 2011 is per Figure 4, and age distribution based on their age at the time of confirmatory examination is per Figure 5.



Note: Those aged between 13 and 18 at the time of disaster are not included in the fifth-round survey participants. The horizontal axis begins at -1 to include those born between April 2, 2011 and April 1, 2012.
 \*Those born between March 12 and April 1, 2011 are included in age 0.







2.2-4 Basic Survey results for those with malignant or suspicious nodules by FNAC Of the 34 people with malignant or suspicious nodules, 21 (61.8%) had participated in the Basic Survey (for external radiation dose estimation), and all 21 received their results. The highest effective dose documented was 2.4 mSv.

Effective	Age at the time of the disaster									
dose (mSv)	0–5		6–10		11–15		16–18		Total	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
< 1	0	3	2	5	0	3	0	0	2	11
< 2	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	3
< 5	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
< 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
< 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
≥ 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	6	3	6	1	4	0	0	5	16

Table 7 A breakdown of dose estimates for Basic Survey participants



Figure 6 Effective doses of Basic Survey participants
## 2.2-5 Blood and urinary iodine test results Table 8 Blood test results

	FT4 <sup>1)</sup> (ng/dL)	)	FT3 (pg/m	<sup>2)</sup> IL)	TSH (µIU/n	TSH <sup>3)</sup> Tg <sup>4</sup> (μIU/mL) (ng/mL)		Tg <sup>4)</sup> (ng/mL)		TPOAb <sup>6)</sup> (IU/mL)
Reference Range	0.95–1.7	′4 <sup>7)</sup>	2.13–4	.07 <sup>7)</sup>	0.340–3	.880 <sup>7)</sup>	≤ 33.	7	< 28.0	< 16.0
Malignant or 34 suspicious:	1.2±0.2	(5.9%)	3.4±0.4	(2.9%)	1.3±0.8	(11.8%)	81.4±362.5	(17.6%)	17.6%	14.7%
Other: 707	1.2±0.2	(4.8%)	3.6±0.9	(7.2%)	1.3±1.2	(9.2%)	32.1±90.4	(15.4%)	8.5%	6.9%

### Table 9 Urinary iodine test results

_	-					(µg/day)
		Minimum	25th percentile	Median	75th percentile	Maximum
Malignant or suspicious:	32	36	125	175	470	1311
Other:	706	21	113	193	328	12670

1) FT4: free thyroxine; thyroid hormone binding 4 iodines; higher among patients with thyrotoxicosis (such as Graves'disease) and lower with hypothyroidism (such as Hashimoto's thyroiditis).

 FT3: free triiodothyronine; thyroid hormone binding 3 iodines; higher among patients with thyrotoxicosis (such as Graves' disease) and lower with hypothyroidism (such as Hashimoto's thyroiditis).

 TSH: thyroid-stimulating hormone; higher among patients with Hashimoto's disease and lower with Graves' disease.

4) Tg: thyroglobulin; higher when thyroid tissue is destroyed or when neoplastic tissue produces thyroglobulin.

5) TgAb: anti-thyroglobulin antibody; higher among patients with Hashimoto's disease and Graves' disease.

6) TPOAb: anti-thyroid peroxidase antibody; higher among patients with Hashimoto's disease or Graves' disease.

7) Reference intervals vary according to age.

### 2.2-6 Confirmatory examination results by area

The percentages of those with malignant or suspicious nodules were 0.03% in the 13 municipalities of the nationally-designated evacuation zone and Nakadori, and 0.02% in Hamadori and Aizu. Table 10 Confirmatory examination results by area

	The fifth-round survey participants (persons)	Those re confirmatory e and ra	efered to xam (persons) te (%)	Those who participated confirmatory exam	Malignant or (persons) ar	suspicious nd rate(%)
	а	b	b/a	(persons)	с	c/a
13 municipalities <sup>1)</sup>	14,777	153	1.0	121	5	0.03
Nakadori <sup>2)</sup>	65,543	734	1.1	561	21	0.03
Hamadori <sup>3)</sup>	20,756	257	1.2	130	5	0.02
Aizu <sup>4)</sup>	12,776	155	1.2	89	3	0.02
Total	113,852	1,299	1.1	901	34	0.03

1) Tamura City, Minamisoma City, Date City, Kawamata Town, Hirono Town, Naraha Town, Tomioka Town, Kawauchi Village, Okuma Town, Futaba Town, Namie Town, Katsurao Village, Iitate Village

2) Fukushima City, Koriyama City, Shirakawa City, Sukagawa City, Nihonmatsu City, Motomiya City, Koori Town, Kunimi Town, Otama Village, Kagamiishi Town, Tenei Village, Nishigo Village, Izumizaki Village, Nakajima Village, Yabuki Town, Tanagura Town, Yamatsuri Town, Hanawa Town, Samegawa Village, Ishikawa Town, Tamakawa Village, Hirata Village, Asakawa Town, Furudono Town, Miharu Town, Ono Town

3) Iwaki City, Soma City, Shinchi Town

4) Aizuwakamatsu City, Kitakata City, Shimogo Town, Hinoemata Village, Tadami Town, Minamiaizu Town, Kitashiobara Village, Nishiaizu Town, Bandai Town, Inawashiro Town, Aizubange Town, Yugawa Village, Yanaizu Town, Mishima Town, Kaneyama Town, Showa Village, Aizumisato Town

### 3. Mental Health Care

We provide the following support for thyroid examination participants.

### 3.1 Support for Primary Examination Participants

After the examination, medical doctors offer person-to-person explanation on examination results, showing ultrasound images in private consultation booths at examination venues set up in public facilities.

Consultation booths were set up at all venues for examinations conducted in and after April 2020; as of March 31, 2023, all 2,753 participants (100%) have visited these consultation booths.

### **3.2 On-location Lectures and Information Sessions**

To help participants and their parents/guardians improve their understanding of the thyroid examination, we have conducted on-location lectures and information sessions since April 2018.

By March 31, 2023, a total of 607 people participated in these sessions offered at 11 locations.

Since the start of these sessions, 15,693 people have participated.

## 3.3 Support for Confirmatory Examination Participants

A support team has been set up within Fukushima Medical University to offer psychological support to address the anxiety and concerns of confirmatory examination participants during examination. The team also answers questions and offers counseling via our website.

Since the start of the fifth-round survey, 390 participants (126 males and 264 females) have received support as of March 31, 2023. The number of support sessions provided was 680 in total. Of these, 387 (56.9%) received support at the participants' first examination and 293 (43.1%) at subsequent examinations.

For those who proceeded to regular insured medical care, the support team continues to provide support in cooperation with teams of medical staff at hospitals.

## Appendix 1 Implementation status of the TUE primary examination, by municipality

### As of March 31, 2023

	Number of eligible persons	Participants	Participation	Participation rate (%)	Number of pa	articipants and te by age grou	participation p <sup>2)</sup>	Participants living outside Fukushima	Participation rate (%)
	а	b	Fukushima <sup>1)</sup>	b/a	8–11	12–17	18–24	c <sup>3)</sup>	c/b
Municipalities su	rveyed in FY2020	)							
Kawamata	1,567	739	14	47.2	238 32.2	431 58.3	70 9.5	25	3.4
Namie	2,478	950	232	38.3	210	546 57 5	194	230	24.2
litate	731	345	20	47.2	88	202	55	22	6.4
					25.5 1,201	58.6 2,252	15.9 519		
Minamisoma	8,849	3,972	570	44.9	30.2	56.7	13.1	582	14.7
Date	7,412	4,039	166	54.5	1,143 28.3	2,284 56.5	612 15.2	153	3.8
Tamura	4 577	2 280	52	49.8	803	1,226	251	62	27
Tuniara	4,011	2,200		40.0	35.2	53.8	11.0		2.1
Hirono	647	289	28	44 7	68	166	55	25	87
		205	20		23.5	57.4	19.0	25	0.1
Naraha	916	369	44	40.3	73	221	75	41	11 1
Indiana	510	505		40.0	19.8	59.9	20.3		
Tomioka	1 980	714	121	36.1	153	412	149	124	17.4
Tonnolla	1,000	714	121	00.1	21.4	57.7	20.9	124	
Kawauchi	225	08	7	13.6	20	59	19	9	8.2
Rawauchi	225	30	1	43.0	20.4	60.2	19.4	0	0.2
Okuma	1 771	670	117	27.0	145	392	133	114	17.0
Okuma	1,771	670	117	37.0	21.6	58.5	19.9	114	17.0
E: to b a	000	0.47	40	00.4	51	155	41	40	40.0
Fulaba	839	247	48	29.4	20.6	62.8	16.6	49	19.8
14 a baseries a		05		40.0	14	39	12		
Katsurao	148	65	3	43.9	21.5	60.0	18.5	4	6.2
					4,861	11,046	2,687		
Fukushima	37,320	18,594	1,409	49.8	26.1	59.4	14.5	1,347	7.2
					1,126	2,156	430		
Nihonmatsu	6,920	3,712	159	53.6	30.3	58.1	11.6	144	3.9
					663	1,302	246		
Motomiya	4,232	2,211	78	52.2	30.0	58.9	11.1	71	3.2
					214	384	83		
Otama	1,122	681	18	60.7	31 /	56.4	12.2	14	2.1
					4 728	12 872	3 006		
Koriyama	45,739	20,606	1,954	45.1	4,720	12,012	3,000	1,878	9.1
					22.9	02.3	14.0		
Koori	1,375	789	25	57.4	224	467	98	26	3.3
					28.4	59.2	12.4		
Kunimi	1,022	559	20	54.7	126	349		21	3.8
					22.5	62.4	15.0		
Tenei	728	332	19	45.6	95	180	57	11	3.3
					28.6	54.2	17.2		
Shirakawa	8 566	4 238	255	49.5	1,229	2,365	644	237	5.6
onnana	0,000	1,200	200	10.0	29.0	55.8	15.2	20.	0.0
Nishigo	2 856	1 344	77	47 1	399	740	205	64	4.8
	2,000	1,044			29.7	55.1	15.3		4.0
kumizaki	802	304	7	AA 1	105	245	44	7	1 0
	093	394	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	44.1	26.6	62.2	11.2	/	1.8
Mibory	1.000	000		AE 4	218	525	160	04	
winaru	1,989	903	30	45.4	24.1	58.1	17.7	31	3.4
0.44.444	111.000	00.175		47-	18,195	41,016	9,929	5.000	
Suptotal	144,902	69,140	5,473	47.7	26.3	59.3	14.4	5,290	1.7

\*1) The number of participants who received the examination at facilities outside Fukushima (as of February 28, 2023).

\*2) Split cells show the number of participants above the corresponding percentage.

\*3) The number of participants who have resident registration outside of Fukushima.

•Age groups are based on participants' age at the Full-Scale Survey (fourth-round survey). This applies to other tables hereafter.

Outside Fukushima <sup>1</sup> )         b/a         8–11         12–17         18–24         c <sup>3</sup> )         c/b           Municipalities surveyed in FY2021		Number of eligible persons	Participation	Participation rate (%)	Ation Number of participants and partici			Participants living outside Fukushima	Participation rate (%)
Municipalities surveyed in FY2021           Waki         42,530         18,553         1,352         43.6         2,129         12,295         4,129         1,203         6           Sukagawa         10,705         4,570         181         42.7         773         3,043         754         169         66.6         16.5         169         66.6         16.5         169         66.6         16.5         169         33           Soma         4,771         1,780         167         37.3         325         1,203         252         184         100           Kagamiishi         1,835         817         28         44.5         177.4         67.4         152.2         184         20         22         184         20		a b	Fukushima <sup>1)</sup>	b/a	8–11	12–17	18–24	c <sup>3)</sup>	c/b
Image: Name of the sector o	Municipalities sur	urveyed in FY2021		1	0.400	10.005	4 400		
Sukagawa10,705 $4,570$ 181 $42.7$ $773$ $3,043$ $754$ 1693Soma $4,771$ $1,780$ $167$ $37.3$ $325$ $1,203$ $252$ $184$ $10$ Kagamiishi $1,835$ $817$ $28$ $44.5$ $142$ $551$ $142$ $20$ $2$ Shinchi $983$ $423$ $29$ $43.0$ $61$ $278$ $84$ $29$ $66$ Nakajima $706$ $266$ $9$ $37.7$ $54$ $169$ $43$ $6$ $2$ Yabuki $2,326$ $976$ $22$ $42.0$ $217$ $638$ $121$ $19$ $1$ Ishikawa $1,860$ $789$ $25$ $42.4$ $161$ $488$ $140$ $20$ $2$ Yamatsuri $685$ $306$ $13$ $44.7$ $66$ $207$ $33$ $7$ $2$ Asakawa $913$ $407$ $21$ $44.6$ $73$ $267$ $67$ $14$ $3$	lwaki	42,530 1	8,553 1,352	43.6	2,129	12,295 66.3	4,129	1,203	6.5
Soma         4,771         1,780         167         37.3         325         1,203         252         184         10           Kagamiishi         1,835         817         28         44.5         142         551         124         20         22         20         22         20         22         20	Sukagawa	10,705	4,570 181	42.7	773 16.9	3,043 66.6	754 16.5	169	3.7
Kagamiishi         1,835         817         28         44.5 $142$ 551 $124$ 20         2           Shinchi         983         423         29         43.0 $61$ 278         84         29         66         278         84         29         66           Nakajima         706         266         9         37.7         54         169         43.3         6         29         6           Yabuki         2,326         976         22         42.0         217         638         124         19         1           Ishikawa         1,860         789         25         42.4         161         488         140         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         6         20         3         63.5         16.2         6         20         19         1         19         1         19         1         19         1         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20 <td< td=""><td>Soma</td><td>4,771</td><td>1,780 167</td><td>37.3</td><td>325 18.3</td><td>1,203 67.6</td><td>252 14.2</td><td>184</td><td>10.3</td></td<>	Soma	4,771	1,780 167	37.3	325 18.3	1,203 67.6	252 14.2	184	10.3
Shinchi         983         423         29         43.0 $61$ $278$ $84$ 29         6           Nakajima         706         266         9 $37.7$ $54$ $169$ $43.7$ $6$ $2$ $6$ $2$ $20.3$ $63.5$ $16.2$ $6$ $2$ $2$ $20.3$ $63.5$ $16.2$ $6$ $2$ $2$ $2$ $2$ $63.5$ $16.2$ $6$ $2$ $2$ $2$ $63.5$ $16.2$ $6$ $2$ $2$ $2$ $65.4$ $12.4$ $19$ $1$ $19$ $1$ Vabuki $2,326$ $976$ $22$ $42.4$ $161$ $488$ $140$ $20$ $22$ $2$ $65.4$ $12.4$ $20$	Kagamiishi	1,835	817 28	44.5	142	551 67.4	124 15.2	20	2.4
Nakajima         706         266         9         37.7         54         169         43 363.5         162 162         43 43.5         6         2           Yabuki         2,326         976         22         42.0         217         638         121 22.2         65.4         12.4         19         1           Ishikawa         1,860         789         25         42.4         161         488         140         20         2         2           Yamatsuri         685         306         13         44.7         66         207         33 7         7         2           Asakawa         913         407         21         44.6         73         267         67 67         14         3	Shinchi	983	423 29	43.0	61 14.4	278 65.7	84 19.9	29	6.9
Yabuki         2,326         976         22         42.0         217         638         121         19         1           Ishikawa         1,860         789         25         42.4         161         488         140         20         22         2         23         23         24         161         488         140         20         22         2         24         20         24         20         25         24         161         488         140         20         22         2         24	Nakajima	706	266 9	37.7	54 20.3	169 63.5	43 16.2	6	2.3
Ishikawa         1,860         789         25         42.4         161         488         140         20         2           Yamatsuri         685         306         13         44.7         666         207         33         7         2           Asakawa         913         407         21         44.6         73         267         67         14         3	Yabuki	2,326	976 22	42.0	217 22.2	638 65.4	121 12.4	19	1.9
Yamatsuri         685         306         13         44.7         666         207         33         7         2           Asakawa         913         407         21         44.6         73         267         67         14         3	lshikawa	1,860	789 25	42.4	161 20.4	488 61.9	140 17.7	20	2.5
Asakawa         913         407         21         44.6         73         267         67           14         3	Yamatsuri	685	306 13	44.7	66 21.6	207 67.6	33 10.8	7	2.3
	Asakawa	913	407 21	44.6	73	267 65.6	67 16.5	14	3.4
Hirata         838         371         9         44.3         86         220         65         7         1	Hirata	838	371 9	44.3	86	220	65	7	1.9
Tanagura         2,049         847         32         41.3         178         562         107         32         3	Tanagura	2,049	847 32	41.3	178	562	107	32	3.8
Hanawa         1,070         416         8         38.9         200         62.5         17.5         12         2	Hanawa	1,070	416 8	38.9	83	260	73	12	2.9
Samegawa         457         191         4         41.8         43         129         19         3         1	Samegawa	457	191 4	41.8	43	129	19	3	1.6
Ono         1,252         501         7         40.0         214         675         112         5         1	Ono	1,252	501 7	40.0	22.5	338	9.9 56	5	1.0
Tamakawa         920         386         9         42.0         68         258         60         5         1	Tamakawa	920	386 9	42.0	68	258	60	5	1.3
Furudono         692         337         17         48.7         71         199         67         7         2	Furudono	692	337 17	48.7	71	199	67	7	2.1
Hinoemata         75         15         1         20.0         3         10         2           0         0         0         66.7         13.3         0         0         0	Hinoemata	75	15 1	20.0	3	10	13.3	0	0.0
Minamiaizu         1,788         666         20         37.2         148         445         73           1 <td>Minamiaizu</td> <td>1,788</td> <td>666 20</td> <td>37.2</td> <td>148</td> <td>445</td> <td>73</td> <td>18</td> <td>2.7</td>	Minamiaizu	1,788	666 20	37.2	148	445	73	18	2.7
Kaneyama         114         38         0         33.3         6         25         7         0         0         0	Kaneyama	114	38 0	33.3	6	25	7	0	0.0
Showa         101         33         5         32.7         9         22         2         5         15	Showa	101	33 5	32.7	9 27.3	22 66.7	2	5	15.2
Mishima         131         45         0         34.4         12         24         9         1         2	Mishima	131	45 0	34.4	12 26.7	24 53.3	9 20.0	1	2.2
Shimogo         646         216         3         33.4         41         143         32         2         0	Shimogo	646	216 3	33.4	41 19.0	143 66.2	32 14.8	2	0.9
Kitakata         5,939         2,225         65         37.5         393         1,514         318         59         2	Kitakata	5,939	2,225 65	37.5	393 17.7	1,514 68.0	318 14,3	59	2.7
Nishiaizu 618 201 5 32.5 43 133 25 4 2	Nishiaizu	618	201 5	32.5	43	133	25 12.4	4	2.0
Tadami         475         212         5         44.6         38         150         24           7         3	Tadami	475	212 5	44.6	38	150	24	7	3.3
Inawashiro 1,760 694 23 39.4 137 452 105 20 2	Inawashiro	1,760	694 23	39.4	137 19.7	452	105 15.1	20	2.9
Bandai         415         159         9         38.3         32         106         21         8         5	Bandai	415	159 9	38.3	32	106	21	8	5.0
Kitashiobara         385         163         6         42.3         32         111         20         6         33	Kitashiobara	385	163 6	42.3	32	111	20	6	3.7
Aizumisato 2,371 987 25 41.6 181 64.1 177 25 2	Aizumisato	2,371	987 25	41.6	179	633 64 1	175	25	2.5
Aizubange         2,012         788         26         39.2         140         504         144         24         3	Aizubange	2,012	788 26	39.2	140	504	144	24	3.0
Yanaizu         393         148         3         37.7         20.9         66.2         12.8         2         1	Yanaizu	393	148 3	37.7	31	98	19	2	1.4
Aizuwakamatsu 15,770 5,975 313 37.9 56.0 17.2 287 4	Aizuwakamatsu	u 15,770	5,975 313	37.9	950 15.9	3,998	1,027	287	4.8
Yugawa         451         211         4         46.8         38         130         43         5         2	Yugawa	451	211 4	46.8	38	130 61.6	43	5	2.4
Subtotal         108,036         44,712         2,446         41.4         6,869         29,603         8,240         2,215         5	Subtotal	108,036 4	4,712 2,446	41.4	6,869 15.4	29,603 66.2	8,240 18.4	2,215	5.0
Total         252,938         113,852         7,919         45.0         25,064         70,619         18,169         7,505         6	Total	252,938 11	3,852 7,919	45.0	25,064	70,619	18,169	7,505	6.6

Appendix 2 Implementation status of the TUE primary examination, by prefecture

As of February	28,	2023
----------------	-----	------

Prefecture	Number of medical facilities	Participants (persons)	Prefecture	Number of medical facilities	Participants (persons)	Prefecture	Number of medical facilities	Participants (persons)
Hokkaido	7	194	Fukui	1	11	Hiroshima	2	17
Aomori	2	93	Yamanashi	2	65	Yamaguchi	1	13
lwate	3	179	Nagano	4	104	Tokushima	1	4
Miyagi	2	1,753	Gifu	2	13	Kagawa	1	13
Akita	1	131	Shizuoka	3	74	Ehime	3	13
Yamagata	3	353	Aichi	5	143	Kochi	1	8
Ibaraki	4	476	Mie	1	17	Fukuoka	3	56
Tochigi	8	537	shiga	1	15	Saga	1	6
Gunma	2	154	Kyoto	3	49	Nagasaki	3	20
Saitama	4	443	Osaka	10	106	Kumamoto	1	19
Chiba	5	353	Hyogo	2	99	Oita	1	12
Tokyo	19	1,344	Nara	2	16	Miyazaki	1	12
Kanagawa	7	534	Wakayama	1	4	Kagoshima	1	6
Niigata	3	344	Tottori	1	2	Okinawa	1	22
Toyama	2	21	Shimane	1	11			
Ishikawa	1	25	Okayama	3	35	Total	136	7,919

The number of participants examined at medical facilities outside Fukushima.

# Appendix 3 TUE primary examination results, by municipality

# As of March 31, 2023

	Number of participants (persons)	Those with finalized results b	Numbe	r of participan	ts by grade (p %	ersons)	Number of pa nod (per au	rticipants with ules sons nd	Number of pa cy (per ai	rticipants with sts sons nd
	а	% b/a	A A1	1 A2	В	С	rato ≥5.1mm	e%) ≤5.0mm	rat ≥20.1mm	e %) ≤ 20.0mm
Municipalities survey	ed in FY2020									
Kowamata	720	739	227	506	6	0	6	5	0	508
Nawamata	739	100.0	30.7	68.5	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.7	0.0	68.7
Namie	950	888	282	591	15	0	15	5	0	600
Name	330	93.5	31.8	66.6	1.7	0.0	1.7	0.6	0.0	67.6
litate	345	345	104	231	10	0	10	0	0	240
litate	040	100.0	30.1	67.0	2.9	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	69.6
Minamisoma	3 972	3,918	1,218	2,657	43	0	43	13	0	2,680
Winamisonia	0,072	98.6	31.1	67.8	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.3	0.0	68.4
Date	4 039	4,034	1,159	2,842	33	0	33	23	0	2,854
Duic	4,000	99.9	28.7	70.5	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.6	0.0	70.7
Tamura	2 280	2,273	716	1,535	22	0	22	10	0	1,542
	2,200	99.7	31.5	67.5	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.4	0.0	67.8
Hirono	289	257	80	172	5	0	5	1	0	173
	200	88.9	31.1	66.9	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.4	0.0	67.3
Naraha	369	303	95	206	2	0	2	1	0	206
- Turunu		82.1	31.4	68.0	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	68.0
Tomioka	714	627	184	437	6	0	6	4	0	441
		87.8	29.3	69.7	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.6	0.0	70.3
Kawauchi	98	95	32	62	1	0	1	0	0	63
		96.9	33.7	65.3	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	66.3
Okuma	98 670 247	587	174	404	9	0	9	9	0	404
		87.6	29.6	68.8	1.5	0.0	1.5	1.5	0.0	68.8
Futaba	247	220	64	155	1	0	1	0	0	156
		89.1	29.1	70.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	70.9
Katsurao	65		29	36	0	0	0	0	0	36
		100.0	44.6	55.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.4
Fukushima	18,594	18,540	5,394	12,962	184	0	184	96	0	13,058
		99.7	29.1	69.9	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	70.4
Nihonmatsu	3,712	3,710	1,158	2,501	51	0	51	27	0	2,532
		99.9	31.2	67.4	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.7	0.0	68.2
Motomiya	2,211	2,208	668	1,519	21	0	21	9	0	1,530
		99.9	30.3	68.8	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.4	0.0	69.3
Otama	681	681	198	472	11	0	11	3	0	479
		100.0	29.1	69.3	1.6	0.0	1.6	0.4	0.0	70.3
Koriyama	20,606	20,524	5,563	14,736	225	0	225	128	0	14,875
		99.6	27.1	/1.8	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.6	0.0	72.5
Koori	789	/89	245	535	9	0	9	2	0	542
		100.0	31.1	67.8	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.3	0.0	68.7
Kunimi	559	100.0	181	3/1	1 2	0	10	2	0	311
		100.0	32.4	00.4	1.3	0.0	1.3	0.4	0.0	07.4
Tenei	332	330	88	237	5	0	5	0	1	240
		99.4	20.7	/ 1.0	1.5	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.3	12.1
Shirakawa	4,238	4,210	1,190	2,970	40	0	40	20	0	3,002
		99.5	20.4	70.0	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	022
Nishigo	1,344	1,338	400	920	10	0	18	5		932
		99.6	29.9	8.80	1.3	0.0	1.3	0.4	0.0	09.7
Izumizaki	394	392	119	269	4	0	4	2	0	2/0
		99.5	30.4	68.6 64F	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	68.9 654
Miharu	903	901	247	74.6	9	0	10	07	0	
		99.8 60 614	10 001	/ 1.0	740	0.0	740	0.7	0.0	12.3
Subtotal	69,140	00,041	13,021	70.0	143	0	143	310	0.0	70.0
	1	99.1	20.9	70.0	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.5	0.0	/0.0

	Number of participants	Those with finalized results	Numbe	r of participan	ts by grade (p	ersons)	Number of pa nod	rticipants with ules	th Number of participants with cysts (persons		
	(persons)	b		9	6		ai ai	nd • %)	ar	nd %)	
Municipalities curren	a	b/a	A1	A2	В	С	≥5.1mm	≤ 5.0mm	≥20.1mm	≤ 20.0mm	
Municipalities survey		13,805	4,032	9,553	220	0	220	98	0	9,666	
Iwaki	18,553	74.4	29.2	69.2	1.6	0.0	1.6	0.7	0.0	70.0	
Sukagawa	4,570	4,516	1,244	3,202	70	0	70	41	0	3,248	
	4 700	1,768	521	1,217	30	0.0	30	12	0.0	1,234	
Soma	1,780	99.3	29.5	68.8	1.7	0.0	1.7	0.7	0.0	69.8	
Kagamiishi	817	807	211	585 72.5	11	0	11	5	0	587 72 7	
Shipchi	423	416	126	283	7	0.0	7	4	0.0	286	
Shinchi	423	98.3	30.3	68.0	1.7	0.0	1.7	1.0	0.0	68.8	
Nakajima	266	263 98.9	78 29.7	184 70.0	1 0.4	0.0	0.4	2	0 0.0	185 70.3	
Yabuki	976	963	275	683	5	0	5	4	0	686	
		98.7	28.6	70.9	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.0	71.2	
lshikawa	789	99.2	224	70.5	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.6	0.0	71.0	
Yamatsuri	306	300	69	225	6	0	6	4	0	230	
		98.0	23.0	75.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	1.3	0.0	76.7	
Asakawa	407	98.0	25.1	74.2	0.8	0.0	0.8	- 1.0	0.0	74.7	
Hirata	371	365	119	241	5	0	5	1	0	245	
		98.4	32.6	66.0 607	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.3	0.0	67.1	
Tanagura	847	99.1	26.3	72.3	1.3	0.0	1.3	0.2	0.0	73.1	
Hanawa	416	412	103	299	10	0	10	0	0	304	
		99.0 190	25.0 49	72.6 140	2.4	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	73.8	
Samegawa	191	99.5	25.8	73.7	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	74.2	
Ono	501	496	140	352	4	0	4	4	0	355	
		99.0 383	28.2 124	71.0 254	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.0	71.6 258	
Tamagawa	386	99.2	32.4	66.3	1.3	0.0	1.3	0.3	0.0	67.4	
Furudono	337	331	90	236	5	0	5	3	0	240	
		98.2	27.2	71.3 11	1.5 0	0.0	1.5	0.9	0.0	72.5	
Hinoemata	15	100.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	73.3	
Minamiaizu	666	655	204	444	7	0	7	2	0	449	
		38	31.1	26	0	0.0	0	0.3	0.0	26	
Kaneyama	38	100.0	31.6	68.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.4	
Showa	33	33	13 39.4	20 60.6	0	0	0	0	0	20 60.6	
Mishime	45	44	8	35	1	0.0	1	1	0.0	36	
wishima	45	97.8	18.2	79.5	2.3	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0	81.8	
Shimogo	216	216	66 30.6	146 67.6	4	0.0	4	0.5	0.0	148 68.5	
Kitakata	2 225	2,208	684	1,498	26	0	26	10	0	1,514	
TTEREE	2,225	99.2	31.0	67.8	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.5	0.0	68.6	
Nishiaizu	201	99.0	21.6	76.9	3 1.5	0.0	3 1.5	3 1.5	0.0	154 77.4	
Tadami	212	212	53	158	1	0	1	3	0	158	
		100.0	25.0	74.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	1.4	0.0	74.5	
Inawashiro	694	98.8	28.0	70.1	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.9	0.0	71.3	
Bandai	159	158	43	114	1	0	1	1	0	114	
		99.4	27.2	72.2	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0	72.2	
Kitashiobara	163	98.8	28.6	69.6	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.6	0.0	70.2	
Aizumisato	987	981	293	679	9	0	9	7	0	684	
		99.4 785	29.9	69.2 568	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.7	0.0	69.7 578	
Aizubange	788	99.6	25.7	72.4	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.6	0.0	73.6	
Yanaizu	148	148	51 24 5	96	1	0	1	1	0	96	
Aimmele	E 075	5,923	1,785	4,069	69	0.0	69	39	0.0	4,109	
AizuwaKamatu	5,975	99.1	30.1	68.7	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.7	0.0	69.4	
Yugawa	211	211	73 34 6	136 64 5	2 ^ 0	0	2	2	0	138	
Subtat-1	44 740	39,709	11,498	27,655	556	0.0	556	273	0.0	27,969	
SUDICICAL	44,/12	88.8	29.0	69.6	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.7	0.0	70.4	
Total	113,852	108,250	31,319	75,632	1,299	0	1,299	649	1	76,360	
		95.1	28.9	69.9	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.6	0.0	70.5	

## Appendix 4 – 1 TUE primary examination results, by age and gender

## As of March 31, 2023

															(Persons)
Grade Gender		A1	ł	4	A2			В			С			Total	
Age group	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
8-11	3,700	3,209	6,909	8,518	8,400	16,918	21	39	60	0	0	0	12,239	11,648	23,887
12-17	9,986	8,519	18,505	23,509	23,706	47,215	240	464	704	0	0	0	33,735	32,689	66,424
18-24	2,764	3,141	5,905	5,316	6,183	11,499	157	378	535	0	0	0	8,237	9,702	17,939
Total	16,450	14,869	31,319	37,343	38,289	75,632	418	881	1,299	0	0	0	54,211	54,039	108,250





#### Results by age group (Female)



### Appendix 4 – 2 Nodule characteristics



					(persons)	
Nodule size	Total	Male	Female	Grad	de	
None	106,302	53,562	52,740	A1	98.2%	
$\leq$ 3.0mm	87	26	61	<u>۸</u> ۵	0.6%	
3.1–5.0mm	562	205	357	AZ	0.0%	
5.1–10.0mm	831	275	556			
10.1–15.0mm	282	82	200	) ) B	1.2%	
15.1–20.0mm	111	41	70			
20.1–25.0mm	37	10	27			
≥ <b>25.1mm</b>	38	10	28			
Total	108,250	54,211	54,039			
( <b></b>						





### Appendix 4 – 3 Cyst characteristics

#### As of March 31, 2023

					(persons)
Cyst size	Total	Male	Female	Grad	de
None	31,889	16,656	15,233	A1	68.2%
≤ 3.0mm	41,976	22,219	19,757		00.270
3.1–5.0mm	29,376	13,566	15,810		
5.1–10.0mm	4,892	1,742	3,150	A2	- / /
10.1–15.0mm	103	25	78		31.8%
15.1–20.0mm	13	3	10		
20.1–25.0mm	0	0	0	D	0.0019/
≥ <b>25.1mm</b>	1	0	1	ם	0.001%
Total	108,250	54,211	54,039		





## Appendix 5 Implementation status of the TUE confirmatory examination, by area

### As of March 31, 2023

	Those who	Those	Those who participate in confirmatory examination					Those with fir	nalized resul	ts (persons)	
	participated in primary examination (persons)	refered to confirmatory examination (persons)	Total	8-11 years old	12-17 years old	18 and older	Total	A1	A2	Other than	n A1 or A2 FNAC
	а	b	с	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k
		b/a (%)	c/b (%)	d/c (%)	e/c (%)	f/c (%)	g/c (%)	h/g (%)	i/g (%)	j/g (%)	k/j (%)
10 municipalities 1)	14 777	153	121	8	58	55	112	0	12	100	7
13 municipalities	14,777	1.0	79.1	6.6	47.9	45.5	92.6	0.0	10.7	89.3	7.0
Nokodori <sup>2)</sup>	65 542	734	561	26	276	259	516	4	53	459	49
	00,040	1.1	76.4	4.6	49.2	46.2	92.0	0.8	10.3	89.0	10.7
Hemedori <sup>3)</sup>	20.756	257	130	2	27	101	106	0	4	102	6
	20,750	1.2	50.6	1.5	20.8	77.7	81.5	0.0	3.8	96.2	5.9
A:	12 776	155	89	4	37	48	78	1	2	75	6
Alzu / 12,776	1.2	57.4	4.5	41.6	53.9	87.6	1.3	2.6	96.2	8.0	
<b>T</b> ( )	440.050	1,299	901	40	398	463	812	5	71	736	68
lotal	113,852	1.1	69.4	4.4	44.2	51.4	90.1	0.6	8.7	90.6	9.2

1) Tamura City, Minamisoma City, Date City, Kawamata Town, Hirono Town, Naraha Town, Tomioka Town, Kawauchi Village, Okuma Town, Futaba Town, Namie Town, Katsurao Village, litate Village

- 2) Fukushima City, Koriyama City, Shirakawa City, Sukagawa City, Nihonmatsu City, Motomiya City, Koori Town, Kunimi Town, Otama Village, Kagamiishi Town, Tenei Village, Nishigo Village, Izumizaki Village, Nakajima Village, Yabuki Town, Tanagura Town, Yamatsuri Town, Hanawa Town, Samegawa Village, Ishikawa Town, Tamakawa Village, Hirata Village, Asakawa Town, Furudono Town, Miharu Town, Ono Town
- 3) Iwaki City, Soma City, Shinchi Town
- 4) Aizuwakamatsu City, Kitakata City, Shimogo Town, Hinoemata Village, Tadami Town, Minamiaizu Town, Kitashiobara Village

Nishiaizu Town, Bandai Town, Inawashiro Town, Aizubange Town, Yugawa Village, Yanaizu Town, Mishima Town, Kaneyama, Town, Showa Village, Aizumisato Town

Appendix 6 Surgical cases for malignancy or suspicion of malignancy

<ol> <li>Municipalities surveyed in FY2020</li></ol>	26
Malignant or suspicious for malignancy:	(Surgical cases: 20, Papillary thyroid carcinoma: 20)
2. Municipalities surveyed in FY2021	8
Malignant or suspicious for malignancy:	(Surgical cases: 6, Papillary thyroid carcinoma: 6)
3. Total	34
Malignant or suspicious for malignancy:	(Surgical cases: 26, Papillary thyroid carcinoma: 26)

## Report on the TUE Full-Scale Survey (Survey for Age 25+)

As of March 31, 2023

## 1. Summary

## 1.1 Eligible Persons

Among Fukushima residents 18 years old or younger at the time of disaster (those born between April 2, 1992 and April 1, 2012), those who turn 25 years old during each fiscal year, including those who moved out of the prefecture, are invited to receive a thyroid ultrasound examination (TUE).

This report includes the Survey status of those born from FY1992 to FY1997 (those born between April 2, 1992 and April 1, 1998)

### **1.2 Implementation Period**

The Survey for Age 25+ (hereinafter "Age 25+ Survey") started in FY2017 for those who turned 25 years old during each fiscal year. If residents are unable to receive the examination in the year they turn 25, they are entitled to one any time through the fiscal year prior to the year they turn 30 (see Figure 1 for the implementation schedule of Age 25+ Survey).

Year of exam	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	
Birth year ````````````````````````````````````	Age	Age	Age	Age	Age	Age	Age	
FY1992	25★	26	27	28	29	30★	31	
FY1993	24	25★	26	27	28	29	30★	
FY1994	23	24	25 <del>★</del>	26	27	28	29	
FY1995	22	23	24	25★	26	27	28	
FY1996	21	22	23	24	25 <del>★</del>	26	27	
FY1997	20	21	22	23	24	25★	26	
FY1998	19	20	21	22	23	24	25★	

• Beginning in FY2017, examinations are offered to those who turn age 25 in each fiscal year.

• Invitations for the examination will be sent to those who turn age 25 in the fiscal year marked with ★.

Figure 1 Implementation schedule for Age 25+ Survey

## 2. Overview of Age 25+ survey as of March 31, 2023

### 2.1 Results of the Primary Examination

2.1-1 Implementation status

Primary examinations for the Age 25 Survey started in May 2017 for those who turned 25 years old in FY2017 (those born between FY1992 and FY1997) and 11,781 (9.1%) people participated. (See Appendix 1 and Appendix 2 for implementation status by area and implementation status outside Fukushima Prefecture, respectively.)

Results for 11,674 (99.1%) participants have been finalized and individual reports have been sent to them. (See Appendix 3 for a breakdown by area.)

Of these, 4,952 (42.4%) had Grade A1 results, 6,087 (52.1%) had Grade A2, 635 (5.4%) had Grade B, and none had Grade C.

	Eligible	Partic	Participants (persons)			Participants with finalized results (persons / %)								
	persons						Breakdown by grade (rate%)							
		Partici	Participation				A				Those re	ferred to	confirmator	ry exam
		rate	(%)	Outside			A	A1		2	В		C	
	а	b	(b/a)	Fukushima	с	(c/b)	d	(d/c)	е	(e/c)	f	(f/c)	g	(g/c)
Born in FY1992	22,651	2,343	(10.3)	770	2,343	(100.0)	980	(41.8)	1,258	(53.7)	105	(4.5)	0	(0.0)
Born in FY1993	21,890	2,348	(10.7)	858	2,331	(99.3)	1,062	(45.6)	1,151	(49.4)	118	(5.1)	0	(0.0)
Born in FY1994	22,093	1,917	(8.7)	733	1,887	(98.4)	790	(41.9)	996	(52.8)	101	(5.4)	0	(0.0)
Born in FY1995	21,056	2,021	(9.6)	757	2,008	(99.4)	830	(41.3)	1,058	(52.7)	120	(6.0)	0	(0.0)
Born in FY1996	21,019	1,816	(8.6)	656	1,795	(98.8)	747	(41.6)	931	(51.9)	117	(6.5)	0	(0.0)
Born in FY1997	20,298	1,336	(6.6)	488	1,310	(98.1)	543	(41.5)	693	(52.9)	74	(5.6)	0	(0.0)
Total	129,007	11,781	(9.1)	4,262	11,674	(99.1)	4,952	(42.4)	6,087	(52.1)	635	(5.4)	0	(0.0)

Table 1 Progress and results of	of the primary	examination
---------------------------------	----------------	-------------

### Table 2 Number and percentage of participants with nodules/cysts (see Appendix 4 for details)

	Participants with	Participants with nodules / cysts (%)								
	finalized results	Nod	ules	Cysts						
	(persons)	≥ 5.1mm	≤ 5.0mm	≥ 20.1mm	≤ 20.0mm					
	а	b (b/a)	c (c/a)	d (d/a)	e (e/a)					
Born in FY1992	2,343	104 (4.4)	53 (2.3)	1 (0.0)	1,305 (55.7)					
Born in FY1993	2,331	118 (5.1)	42 (1.8)	0 (0.0)	1,200 (51.5)					
Born in FY1994	1,887	101 (5.4)	39 (2.1)	0 (0.0)	1,053 (55.8)					
Born in FY1995	2,008	118 (5.9)	36 (1.8)	2 (0.1)	1,111 (55.3)					
Born in FY1996	1,795	116 (6.5)	34 (1.9)	1 (0.1)	983 (54.8)					
Born in FY1997	1,310	73 (5.6)	19 (1.5)	1 (0.1)	730 (55.7)					
Total	11,674	630 (5.4)	223 (1.9)	5 (0.0)	6,382 (54.7)					

Percentages are rounded to a lower decimal place. This applies to other tables as well.

• The numbers and results of the Age 25+ Survey participants are, and will be, presented by birth year (fiscal year), not by survey year.

### 2.1-2 Comparison with previous examination results

Comparison of results of the Age 25+ Survey and previous surveys is shown in Table 3.

Among 6,727 participants with Grade A1 or A2 results in the previous survey, 6,551 (97.4%) had Grade A1 or A2 results and 176 (2.6%) had Grade B results in the Age 25+ Survey.

Among 235 participants with Grade B results in the previous survey, 56 (23.8%) had Grade A (A1 or A2) results and 179 (76.2%) had Grade B results in the Age 25+ Survey.

			Results of the	R	esults of the A	ge 25+ survey	**
			previous survev*	1	4		
			p	A1	A2	В	С
		а	b	С	d	е	
FF		(%)	(b/a)	(c/a)	(d/a)	(e/a)	
		۸1	2,703	2,191	485	27	0
	<u>،</u>	AI	(100.0)	(81.1)	(17.9)	(1.0)	(0.0)
	A	A2	4,024	656	3,219	149	0
			(100.0)	(16.3)	(80.0)	(3.7)	(0.0)
Results of		Р	235	5	51	179	0
		В	(100.0)	(2.1)	(21.7)	(76.2)	(0.0)
Survey		0	0	0	0	0	0
		C	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)
Not participated Total		4,712	2,100	2,332	280	0	
		(100.0)	(44.6)	(49.5)	(5.9)	(0.0)	
		11,674	4,952	6,087	635	0	
		(100.0)	(42.4)	(52.1)	(5.4)	(0.0)	

Table 3 Comparison with the previous survey results

\* Results of the previous survey, just from Age 25+ survey participants with finalized results

\*\* Results of the Age 25+ Survey participants who were diagnosed for each grade in the previous survey. Lower figures are proportions (%).

## 2.2 Results of the Confirmatory Examination

2.2-1 Implementation status

Of 635 eligible persons, 523 (82.4%) participated, of whom 500 (95.6%) completed the entire process of the confirmatory examination.

Of the aforementioned 500 participants, 42 (8.4%) were confirmed to meet Grade A diagnostic criteria by primary examination standards (A1:5, A2:37) (including those with other thyroid conditions). The remaining 458 (91.6%) were confirmed to be out of A1/A2 criteria.

Table 4 Progress of the Confirmatory Examination

	Those referred to	Participants (persons)		Those with	finalized results	s (%)		
	exams	Participation	Total	A1	42	Other than	n A1 or A2	
	(persons)	rate (%)	Total	AI	A2		FANC	
	а	b (b/a)	c (c/b)	d (d/c)	e (e/c)	f (f/c)	g (g/f)	
Those born in FY1992	105	88 (83.8)	85 (96.6)	0 (0.0)	4 (4.7)	81 (95.3)	8 (9.9)	
Those born in FY1993	118	101 (85.6)	100 (99.0)	1 (1.0)	9 (9.0)	90 (90.0)	8 (8.9)	
Those born in FY1994	101	81 (80.2)	76 (93.8)	2 (2.6)	7 (9.2)	67 (88.2)	6 (9.0)	
Those born in FY1995	120	108 (90.0)	107 (99.1)	0 (0.0)	4 (3.7)	103 (96.3)	11 (10.7)	
Those born in FY1996	117	92 (78.6)	83 (90.2)	2 (2.4)	7 (8.4)	74 (89.2)	7 (9.5)	
Those born in FY1997	74	53 (71.6)	49 (92.5)	0 (0.0)	6 (12.2)	43 (87.8)	3 (7.0)	
Total	635	523 (82.4)	500 (95.6)	5 (1.0)	37 (7.4)	458 (91.6)	43 (9.4)	

### 2.2-2 Results of fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC)

Among those who underwent FNAC, 22 were classified as malignant or suspicious for malignancy: 4 were male and 18 were female. Participants' age at the time of the confirmatory examination ranged from 24 to 27 years (mean age:  $25.3 \pm 0.7$  years). The minimum and maximum tumor diameters were 5.3 mm and 49.9 mm (mean tumor diameter:  $14.4 \pm 10.7$  mm).

Of these 22 participants, 4 had Grade A results (A1:1, A2:4), and 4 had Grade B results in the previous survey. The remaining 13 people did not participate in the previous survey.



\*Appendix 5 shows surgery cases.

2.2-3 Age distribution of malignant or suspected malignant cases diagnosed by FNAC

Age distributions of those 22 people with malignant or suspicious nodules based on their age as of March 11, 2011 is per Figure 2, and age distribution based on their age at the time of confirmatory examination is per Figure 3.





Those who were born between March 12, 2011 and April 1, 2011 are included as age 0.

Figure 2 Age as of March 11, 2011



Figure 3 Age at the time of confirmatory examination

2.2-4 Basic Survey results of those with malignant or suspicious nodules by FNAC Of the 22 people with malignant or suspicious nodules, 12 (54.5%) had participated in the Basic Survey (for external radiation dose estimation), and all 12 received their results. The highest effective dose documented was 1.9 mSv.

Table 6 A breakdown	of dose	estimates for	r Basic	Survev	partici	pants

Effective deep		Age at the time of the disaster											
	0-5		6-10		11-15		16-18		Total				
(1137)	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female			
< 1	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	2	1	6			
< 2	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	2	3			
< 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
< 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
< 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
≥ 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	0	1	6	2	3	3	9			



Figure 4 Effective doses of Basic Survey participants

## 2.2-5 Blood and urinary iodine test results

### Table 7 Blood test results

	FT4 <sup>1)</sup> (ng/dL)	FT3 <sup>2)</sup> (pg/mL)	TSH <sup>3)</sup> (µIU/mL)	Tg <sup>4)</sup> (ng/mL)	TgAb <sup>5)</sup> (IU/mL)	TPOAb <sup>6)</sup> (IU/mL)
Reference Range	0.95–1.74 <sup>7)</sup>	2.13-4.077)	0.340-3.880 <sup>7)</sup>	≤ 33.7	< 28.0	< 16.0
Malignant or suspicious : 22	1.2±0.1 (4.5%)	3.3±0.4 (9.1%)	1.6±1.6 (22.7%)	35.2±36.1 (40.9%)	13.6%	13.6%
Other : 456	1.2±0.2 (6.4%)	3.3±0.4 (6.8%)	1.2±0.7 (7.0%)	78.9±604.7 (21.3%)	11.8%	10.7%

Table 8 Urinary iodine test results

(µg/day)

	Minimum	25th percentile	Median	75th percentile	Maximum
Malignant or suspicious 22	66	102	171	271	953
<b>Other</b> : 453	29	118	181	327	11,060

1) FT4: free thyroxine, thyroid hormone binding 4 iodines; higher among patients with thyrotoxicosis (such as Graves' disease) and lower with hypothyroidism (such as Hashimoto's thyroiditis).

2) FT3: free triiodothyronine, thyroid hormone binding 3 iodines; higher among patients with thyrotoxicosis (such as Graves' disease) and lower with hypothyroidism (such as Hashimoto's thyroiditis).

3) TSH: thyroid-stimulating hormone; higher among patients with Hashimoto's disease and lower with Graves' disease.

4) Tg: thyroglobulin; higher when thyroid tissue is destroyed or when neoplastic tissue produces thyroglobulin.

5) TgAb: anti-thyroglobulin antibody; higher among patients with Hashimoto's disease and Graves' disease.

6) TPOAb: anti-thyroid peroxidase antibody; higher among patients with Hashimoto's disease or Graves' disease.

7) Reference interval varies according to age.

### **3 Mental Health Care**

### 3.1 Support for Primary Examination Participants

Since April 2017, medical doctors offer person-to-person explanations on examination results, showing ultrasound images in private consultation booths at examination venues set up in public facilities. As of March 31, 2023, of 987 participants 986 (99.9%) visited these consultation booths.

#### 3.2 Support for Confirmatory Examination Participants

A support team has been set up within Fukushima Medical University to offer psychological support to address the anxieties and concerns of confirmatory examination participants during examination. The team also answers questions and offers counseling via our website.

Since the start of the Age 25+ survey, 138 participants (30 males and 108 females) have received support as of March 31, 2023. The number of support sessions provided was 265 in total. Of these, 138 sessions (52.1%) were offered at the participants' first examination and 127 (47.9%) at subsequent examinations.

For those who proceeded to regular health insurance medical care, the support team continues to provide support in cooperation with teams of medical staff at hospitals.

### As of March 31, 2023

		Participant	s (persons)			Participants	Proportion of participants
	Eligible persons		Participated outside the	Participation rate (%)		living outside the prefecture (persons)	living outside the prefecture (%)
	а	b	prefecture"	b/a		c <sup>2)</sup>	c/b
Number of eligible perso	ons (Those born ir	n 1992-1997)		r	1	-	
13 municipalities <sup>3)</sup>	17,312	1,623	618	9.4		603	37.2
Nakadori <sup>4)</sup>	68,486	6,408	2,285	9.4		2,012	31.4
Hamadori <sup>5)</sup>	24,800	2,606	958	10.5		849	32.6
Aizu <sup>6)</sup>	18,409	1,144	401	6.2		371	32.4
					-		
Total	129,007	11,781	4,262	9.1		3,835	32.6

- 1) The number of those who received examinations at medical facilities outside the prefecture (as of February 28, 2023)
- 2) The number of those whose place of residence is outside the prefecture
- 3) Tamura City, Minamisoma City, Date City, Kawamata Town, Hirono Town, Naraha Town, Tomioka Town, Kawauchi Village, Okuma Town, Futaba Town, Namie Town, Katsurao Village, Iitate Village
- 4) Fukushima City, Koriyama City, Shirakawa City, Sukagawa City, Nihonmatsu City, Motomiya City, Koori Town, Kunimi Town, Otama Village, Kagamiishi Town, Tenei Village, Nishigo Village, Izumizaki Village, Nakajima Village, Yabuki Town, Tanagura Town, Yamatsuri Town, Hanawa Town, Samegawa Village, Ishikawa Town, Tamakawa Village, Hirata Village, Asakawa Town, Furudono Town, Miharu Town, Ono Town
- 5) Iwaki City, Soma City, Shinchi Town

6) Aizuwakamatsu City, Kitakata City, Shimogo Town, Hinoemata Village, Tadami Town, Minamiaizu Town, Kitashiobara Village, Nishiaizu Town, Bandai Town, Inawashiro Town, Aizubange Town, Yugawa Village, Yanaizu Town, Mishima Town, Kaneyama Town, Showa Village, Aizumisato Town

## Appendix 2 Implementation status by prefecture

## As of February 28, 2023

		1			I			
Prefecture	No. of medical facilities	Participants (persons)	Prefecture	No. of medical facilities	Participants (persons)	Prefecture	No. of medical facilities	Participants (persons)
Hokkaido	7	68	Fukui	1	4	Hiroshima	2	17
Aomori	2	18	Yamanashi	2	12	Yamaguchi	1	2
lwate	3	56	Nagano	4	22	Tokushima	1	3
Miyagi	2	441	Gifu	2	5	Kagawa	1	2
Akita	1	17	Shizuoka	3	41	Ehime	3	3
Yamagata	3	53	Aichi	5	74	Kochi	1	2
Ibaraki	4	203	Mie	1	3	Fukuoka	3	21
Tochigi	8	202	Shiga	1	6	Saga	1	1
Gunma	2	50	Kyoto	3	33	Nagasaki	3	2
Saitama	4	252	Osaka	10	63	Kumamoto	1	6
Chiba	5	213	Hyogo	2	33	Oita	1	3
Tokyo	19	1,804	Nara	2	3	Miyazaki	1	3
Kanagawa	7	410	Wakayama	1	3	Kagoshima	1	2
Niigata	3	76	Tottori	1	2	Okinawa	1	7
Toyama	2	6	Shimane	1	1			
lshikawa	1	5	Okayama	3	9	Total	136	4,262

The number of those who received examinations at medical facilities outside the prefecture

## As of March 31, 2023

	Number of participants (persons)	Those with finalized results (persons)	Numt	per of particip pers) (%	oants by final sons) ⁄⁄)	result	Those wit pers) (%	h nodules sons) %)	Those with cysts (persons) (%)	
	а	b	A		P	C	> 5 1mm	< 5.0mm	> 20 1mm	< 20 0mm
		b/a (%)	A1	A2	Б	0	2 <b>0</b> . min	<u>⊐</u> 0.0mm	£ 20. mm	⊇ 20.0mm
Number of eligible per	sons (Those b	orn in 1992-	1997)	-			-	-		
13 municipalities 1)	1 623	1,605	688	832	85	0	84	26	1	871
13 municipalities 1)	1,025	98.9	42.9	51.8	5.3	0.0	5.2	1.6	0.1	54.3
Nekodori 2)	Nakadori 2) 6 408	6,361	2,693	3,337	331	0	329	121	2	3,499
Nakauon 2)	0,408	99.3	42.3	52.5	5.2	0.0	5.2	1.9	0.0	55.0
Homodorl 2)	2 606	2,577	1,114	1,326	137	0	136	51	1	1,377
Hamadon 3)	2,000	98.9	43.2	51.5	5.3	0.0	5.3	2.0	0.0	53.4
(Ai=1, 4)	1 1 1 1	1,131	457	592	82	0	81	25	1	635
Alzu 4)	1,144	98.9	40.4	52.3	7.3	0.0	7.2	2.2	0.1	56.1
	I			I			I	1	I	
Total	Total 11 781	11,674	4,952	6,087	635	0	630	223	5	6,382
1.5101	.1,701	99.1	42.4	52.1	5.4	0.0	5.4	1.9	0.0	54.7

1) Tamura City, Minamisoma City, Date City, Kawamata Town, Hirono Town, Naraha Town, Tomioka Town, Kawauchi Village, Okuma Town, Futaba Town, Namie Town, Katsurao Village, litate Village

2) Fukushima City, Koriyama City, Shirakawa City, Sukagawa City, Nihonmatsu City, Motomiya City, Koori Town, Kunimi Town, Otama Village, Kagamiishi Town, Tenei Village, Nishigo Village, Izumizaki Village, Nakajima Village, Yabuki Town, Tanagura Town, Yamatsuri Town, Hanawa Town, Samegawa Village, Ishikawa Town, Tamakawa Village, Hirata Village, Asakawa Town, Furudono Town, Miharu Town, Ono Town

3) Iwaki City, Soma City, Shinchi Town

4) Aizuwakamatsu City, Kitakata City, Shimogo Town, Hinoemata Village, Tadami Town, Minamiaizu Town, Kitashiobara Village, Nishiaizu Town, Bandai Town, Inawashiro Town, Aizubange Town, Yugawa Village, Yanaizu Town, Mishima Town, Kaneyama Town, Showa Village, Aizumisato Town

## Appendix 4.1 Summary for participants with finalized results, by gender

As of March 31, 2023

				4				_			_		Tatal		
Grade		A1			A2			В		C			lotai		
Participants	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Those born in FY1992	360	620	980	397	861	1,258	20	85	105	0	0	0	777	1,566	2,343
Those born in FY1993	383	679	1,062	385	766	1,151	22	96	118	0	0	0	790	1,541	2,331
Those born in FY1994	303	487	790	351	645	996	18	83	101	0	0	0	672	1,215	1,887
Those born in FY1995	310	520	830	396	662	1,058	19	101	120	0	0	0	725	1,283	2,008
Those born in FY1996	261	486	747	309	622	931	19	98	117	0	0	0	589	1,206	1,795
Those born in FY1997	173	370	543	252	441	693	17	57	74	0	0	0	442	868	1,310
Total	1,790	3,162	4,952	2,090	3,997	6,087	115	520	635	0	0	0	3,995	7,679	11,674

### Primary examination results by age group (Male)



Primary examination results by age group (Female)



### **Appendix 4.2 Nodule characteristics**

As of March 31, 2023



11

## **Appendix 4.3 Cyst characteristics**

5,287

J

(persons)

As of March 31, 2023

				(1	persons)	
Cyst size	Total			Grad	de	
e yet e i ze	rotar	Male		olado		
None	5,287	1,865	3,422	A1	71.0%	
$\leq$ 3.0mm	3,003	1,063	1,940		71.0%	
3.1–5.0mm	2,245	759	1,486			
5.1–10.0mm	1,074	296	778	A2	20.00/	
10.1–15.0mm	58	9	49		20.9%	
15.1–20.0mm	2	1	1			
20.1–25.0mm	2	0	2	D	0.049/	
≥ 25.1mm	3	2	1	D	0.04%	
Total	11,674	3,995	7,679			





# Appendix 5 Surgical cases for malignancy or suspicion of malignancy

Among those whe	o underwent the Age 25 Surve	y:	
<ul> <li>Malignant or</li> </ul>	suspicious for malignancy	22	
	Surgical cases	s 14	٦
	Papillary thyroid carcinomas	s 13	
	Follicular thyroid carcinoma	s 1	

## Report on the TUE Full-Scale Survey (Survey for Age 30+)

As of March 31, 2023

## 1. Summary

## 1.1 Eligible Persons

Among Fukushima residents 18 years old or younger at the time of disaster (those born between April 2, 1992 and April 1, 2012), those who turn 30 years old during each fiscal year are invited to receive a thyroid ultrasound examination (TUE).

This report summarizes the results for those born in FY1992 (born between April 2, 1992 and April 1, 1993)

### **1.2 Implementation Period**

The Survey for Age 30+ (hereinafter "Age 30+ Survey") started in FY2022 for those who turn 30 years old during each fiscal year. If residents are unable to receive the examination in the year they turn 30, they are entitled to one any time through the fiscal year prior to the year they turn 35 (see Figure 1 for the implementation schedule of Age 30+ Survey).

Year of exam	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	
Birth year of examinees	Age							
FY1992	30★	31	32	33	34	35★	36	
FY1993	29	30★	31	32	33	34	35★	

• The examinations are offered to those who turn age 30 in each fiscal year.

Invitations for the examination will be sent to those who turn age 30 in the fiscal year marked with ★.

Figure 1 Implementation schedule for Age 30+ Survey

## 2. Overview of Age 30+ Survey as of March 31, 2023

### 2.1 Results of the Primary Examination

2.1-1 Implementation status

Primary examinations for the Age 30+ Survey started in April 2022 for those who turned 30 years old (those born in FY1992) in FY2022, of whom 1,524 (6.7%) people participated. (See Appendix 1 and Appendix 2 for implementation status by area and implementation status outside Fukushima Prefecture, respectively.)

Results for 1,474 (96.7%) participants have been finalized and individual reports have been sent to them. (See Appendix 3 for The Survey results by area.)

Of these, 655 (44.4%) had Grade A1 results, 693 (47.0%) had Grade A2, 126 (8.5%) had Grade B, and none had Grade C.

	Fligible	Parti	Participants (persons)			Participants with finalized results (persons / %)									
	persons Participation rate (%)		pation	Participated				A		۹		Those referred to		confirmatory exam	
		rate	(%)	outside			A	1	A	2	В		(	0	
	а	b	(b/a)	Fukushima	С	(c/b)	d	(d/c)	е	(e/c)	f	(f/c)	g	(g/c)	
Born in FY1992	22,626	1,524	(6.7)	562	1,474	(96.7)	655	(44.4)	693	(47.0)	126	(8.5)	0	(0.0)	
Total	22,626	1,524	(6.7)	562	1,474	(96.7)	655	(44.4)	693	(47.0)	126	(8.5)	0	(0.0)	

Table 1 Progress and results of the primary examination

	Participants with		Participants with nodules / cysts (%)								
	finalized results	Nodules					Cysts > 20.1mm < 20.0mm				
	(persons)	≥ 5.1mm		≤ 5	.0mm	≥ 20	0.1mm	≤ 20	).0mm		
	а	b	(b/a)	с	(c/a)	d	(d/a)	е	(e/a)		
Born in FY1992	1,474	12	5 (8.5)	55	5 (3.7)		1 (0.1)	757	7 (51.4)		
Total	1,474	12	5 (8.5)	55	5 (3.7)		1 (0.1)	757	7 (51.4)		

· Percentages are rounded to a lower decimal place. This applies to other tables as well.

• The number and results of the Age 30+ Survey participants are, and will be, presented by birth year (fiscal year), not by survey year.

### 2.1-2 Comparison with previous examination results

Table 3 shows a comparison of results of the Age 30+ Survey and previous surveys.

Among 785 participants with Grade A1 or A2 results in the previous survey, 748 (95.3%) had Grade A1 or A2 results and 37 (4.7%) had Grade B results in the Age 30+ Survey.

Among 36 participants with Grade B results in the previous survey, 4 (11.1%) had Grade A (A1 or A2) results and 32 (88.9%) had Grade B results in the Age 25+ Survey.

			Desults of the		Results of the A	ge 30+ survey**	
				ļ	4	Р	C
				A1	A2	D	C
			а	b	с	d	е
			(%)	(b/a)	(c/a)	(d/a)	(e/a)
		۸1	290	248	36	6	0
	۸	AI	(100.0)	(85.5)	(12.4)	(2.1)	(0.0)
	А	40	495	99	365	31	0
Results of		PZ	(100.0)	(20.0)	(73.7)	(6.3)	(0.0)
the previous	D		36	1	3	32	0
survey		D	(100.0)	(2.8)	(8.3)	(88.9)	(0.0)
(Age 25+ survey)		C	0	0	0	0	0
		C	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)
	I	Did not	653	307	289	57	0
	ра	articipate	(100.0)	(47.0)	(44.3)	(8.7)	(0.0)
Tota			1,474	655	693	126	0
lotal		(100.0)	(44.4)	(47.0)	(8.5)	(0.0)	

Table 3 Comparison with the previous survey results

\* Results of the previous survey refers Age 25+ survey participants with finalized results

\*\* Results of the Age 25+ Survey participants who were diagnosed for each grade in the previous survey. Lower figures are their proportion (%).

### 2.2 Results of the Confirmatory Examination

### 2.2-1 Implementation status

Of 126 eligible persons, 75 (59.5%) participated, of whom 58 (77.3%) completed the entire process of the confirmatory examination.

Of the aforementioned 58 participants, 3 (5.2%) were confirmed to meet Grade A diagnostic criteria by primary examination standards (A1:1, A2:2) (including those with other thyroid conditions). The remaining 55 (94.8%) were confirmed to be out of A1/A2 criteria.

	Those referred	Participants (persons)		Those with	finalized results	(%)	
	to confirmatory					Other than	n A1 or A2
	(persons)	Participation rate (%)	Total	A1	A2		FANC
	а	b (b/a)	c (c/b)	d (d/c)	e (e/c)	f (f/c)	g (g/f)
Those born in FY1992	126	75 (59.5)	58 (77.3)	1 (1.7)	2 (3.4)	55 (94.8)	5 (9.1)
Total	126	75 (59.5)	58 (77.3)	1 (1.7)	2 (3.4)	55 (94.8)	5 (9.1)

Table 4 Progress of the Confirmatory Examination

2.2-2 Results of fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC)

Among those who underwent FNAC, 3 were classified as malignant or suspicious for malignancy, all of whom were female. (See Appendix 5 for surgery cases.)

### 3 Mental Health Care

### 3.1 Support for Primary Examination Participants

At examination venues, we set up consultation booths where our medical doctors offer consultation and explain examination results using ultrasonographic images. As of March 31, 2023, all 165 (100%) examinees have visited the booths.

### 3.2 Support for Confirmatory Examination Participants

A support team has been set up within Fukushima Medical University to offer psychological support to address the anxieties and concerns of confirmatory examination participants during examination. The team also answers questions and offers counseling via our website.

Since the start of the Age 30+ Survey, 31 participants (6 males and 25 females) have received support as of March 31, 2023. The number of support sessions provided was 55 in total. Of these, 31 sessions (56.4%) were offered at the participants' first examination and 24 (43.6%) at subsequent examinations.

For those who proceeded to regular health insurance medical care, the support team continues to provide support in cooperation with teams of medical staff at hospitals.

		Participar	nts (persons)	Participati	Participants reside		
	Eligible persons		Participated outside	on rate (%)	outside of (perso	-ukushima ns / %)	
	а	b	Fukushima <sup>1)</sup>	b/a	c <sup>2)</sup>	c/b	
Number of eligible pe	rsons (Those born i	n 1992)	ſ				
13 municipalities <sup>3)</sup>	2,997	211	78	7.0	76	36.0	
Nakadori <sup>4)</sup>	12,131	871	323	7.2	312	35.8	
Hamadori <sup>5)</sup>	4,226	285	99	6.7	104	36.5	
Aizu <sup>6)</sup>	3,272	157	62	4.8	62	39.5	
Total	22,626	1,524	562	6.7	554	36.4	

1) The number of those who received examinations at medical facilities outside the prefecture (as of February 28, 2023)

- 2) The number of those whose place of residence is outside the prefecture
- 3) Tamura City, Minamisoma City, Date City, Kawamata Town, Hirono Town, Naraha Town, Tomioka Town, Kawauchi Village, Okuma Town, Futaba Town, Namie Town, Katsurao Village, Iitate Village
- 4) Fukushima City, Koriyama City, Shirakawa City, Sukagawa City, Nihonmatsu City, Motomiya City, Koori Town, Kunimi Town, Otama Village, Kagamiishi Town, Tenei Village, Nishigo Village, Izumizaki Village, Nakajima Village, Yabuki Town, Tanagura Town, Yamatsuri Town, Hanawa Town, Samegawa Village, Ishikawa Town, Tamakawa Village, Hirata Village, Asakawa Town, Furudono Town, Miharu Town, Ono Town

5) Iwaki City, Soma City, Shinchi Town

6) Aizuwakamatsu City, Kitakata City, Shimogo Town, Hinoemata Village, Tadami Town, Minamiaizu Town, Kitashiobara Village, Nishiaizu Town, Bandai Town, Inawashiro Town, Aizubange Town, Yugawa Village, Yanaizu Town, Mishima Town, Kaneyama Town, Showa Village, Aizumisato Town

## As of February 28, 2023

Prefecture	No. of medical facilities	Participants (persons)	Prefecture	No. of medical facilities	Participants (persons)	Prefecture	No. of medical facilities	Participants (persons)
Hokkaido	7	7	Fukui	1	0	Hiroshima	2	2
Aomori	2	3	Yamanashi	2	1	Yamaguchi	1	1
lwate	3	7	Nagano	4	8	Tokushima	1	0
Miyagi	2	56	Gifu	2	0	Kagawa	1	1
Akita	1	1	Shizuoka	3	2	Ehime	3	1
Yamagata	3	11	Aichi	5	11	Kochi	1	0
Ibaraki	4	37	Mie	1	1	Fukuoka	3	3
Tochigi	8	28	Shiga	1	1	Saga	1	1
Gunma	2	8	Kyoto	3	6	Nagasaki	3	1
Saitama	4	38	Osaka	10	13	Kumamoto	1	0
Chiba	5	17	Hyogo	2	1	Oita	1	0
Tokyo	19	234	Nara	2	0	Miyazaki	1	0
Kanagawa	7	49	Wakayama	1	0	Kagoshima	1	0
Niigata	3	6	Tottori	1	0	Okinawa	1	1
Toyama	2	0	Shimane	1	0			
lshikawa	1	1	Okayama	3	4	Total	136	562

The number of those who received examinations at medical facilities outside the prefecture

### Appendix 3 Primary Survey results, by area

## As of March 31, 2023

	Number of participants	Those with finalized results	Number	of participants (%	by final result (j %)	persons)	Those with nodules (%)		Those with cysts (%)	
	а	b b/a (%)	A1	A A2	В	С	$\geq$ 5.1mm	$\leq$ 5.0mm	$\geq$ 20.1mm	$\leq$ 20.0mm
Number of eligible pe	ersons for A	ge 30+ (Thos	je 30+ (Those born in 1992)							
12 municipalitica1)	011	203	90	93	20	0	20	8	0	106
rs municipalities r)	211	96.2	44.3	45.8	9.9	0.0	9.9	3.9	0.0	52.2
Nakadari2)	971	845	357	413	75	0	74	36	1	450
Nakadoliz)	071	97.0	42.2	48.9	8.9	0.0	8.8	4.3	0.1	53.3
Homodori 2)	205	273	134	116	23	0	23	7	0	124
Hamadons)	205	95.8	49.1	42.5	8.4	0.0	8.4	2.6	0.0	45.4
A:(1)	157	153	74	71	8	0	8	4	0	77
Aizu4)	157	97.5	48.4	46.4	5.2	0.0	5.2	2.6	0.0	50.3
Total	1 524	1,474	655	693	126	0	125	55	1	757
1500	1,024	96.7	44.4	47.0	8.5	0.0	8.5	3.7	0.1	51.4

1) Tamura City, Minamisoma City, Date City, Kawamata Town, Hirono Town, Naraha Town, Tomioka Town, Kawauchi Village, Okuma Town, Futaba Town, Namie Town, Katsurao Village, Iitate Village

2) Fukushima City, Koriyama City, Shirakawa City, Sukagawa City, Nihonmatsu City, Motomiya City, Koori Town, Kunimi Town, Otama Village, Kagamiishi Town, Tenei Village, Nishigo Village, Izumizaki Village, Nakajima Village, Yabuki Town, Tanagura Town, Yamatsuri Town, Hanawa Town, Samegawa Village, Ishikawa Town, Tamakawa Village, Hirata Village, Asakawa Town, Furudono Town, Miharu Town, Ono Town 3) Iwaki City, Soma City, Shinchi Town

4) Aizuwakamatsu City, Kitakata City, Shimogo Town, Hinoemata Village, Tadami Town, Minamiaizu Town, Kitashiobara Village, Nishiaizu Town, Bandai Town, Inawashiro Town, Aizubange Town, Yugawa Village, Yanaizu Town, Mishima Town, Kaneyama Town, Showa Village, Aizumisato Town

## Appendix 4.1 Summary for participants with finalized results, by gender

As of March 31, 2023

														(	persons)
Grade			A	4				П			0			Total	
		A1			A2			D			U			Total	
Participants	Male	Female	Total												
Those born in FY1992	241	414	655	183	510	693	20	106	126	0	0	0	444	1,030	1,474
Total	241	414	655	183	510	693	20	106	126	0	0	0	444	1,030	1,474

## Primary examination results by age group (Male)



## Primary examination results by age group (Female)

Those born in FY1992		A1, 4	10.2%			Ļ	A2, 49.59	%		B, 10.3	□A1 % □A2	 2
											■C ■C	
0'	% 10	0% 20	)% 30	0% 40	)% 50	0% 60	0% 70	0% 80	90%	0% 10	0%	

### **Appendix 4.2 Nodule characteristics**

As of March 31, 2023

				(p	ersons)
Nodule size	Total	Grad	de		
None	1,294	411	883	A1	87.8%
$\leq$ 3.0mm	12	3	9	<b>^</b> 2	2 70/
3.1–5.0mm	43	10	33	AZ	3.1%
5.1–10.0mm	73	14	59		8.5%
10.1–15.0mm	34	3	31		
15.1–20.0mm	7	1	6	В	
20.1–25.0mm	7	1	6		
≥ <b>25.1mm</b>	4	1	3		
Total	1,474	444	1,030		





## **Appendix 4.3 Cyst characteristics**



				(r	persons)
Cystsize	Total			Grad	
Cyst size	TOtal	Male	Female	Glade	
None	716	251	465	A1	72.3%
$\leq$ 3.0mm	350	90	260	-	12.070
3.1–5.0mm	258	69	189		27.6%
5.1–10.0mm	138	34	104	A2	
10.1–15.0mm	11	0	11		
15.1–20.0mm	0	0	0		
20.1–25.0mm	0	0	0	D	0.07%
≥ 25.1mm	1	0	1	ם	0.07%
Total	1,474	444	1,030		





# Appendix 5 Surgical cases for malignancy or suspicion of malignancy

Among those who underwent the Age 30 Survey	:
<ul> <li>Malignant or suspicious for malignancy</li> </ul>	3
Surgical cases	1 ]
Papillary thyroid carcinomas	1